1	OFFICE OF HEARINGS
2	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
3	
4	In the Matter of:)
5	JOHN NUNEZ) Docket No. G13-23-021
6	Respondent) Case No. 2021SW210109
7	
8	
9	TRIAL VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE
10	
11	Tuesday, October 8, 2024
12	9:00 a.m. Eastern
13	The Honorable Douglas M. Rawald
14	U.S. Administrative Law Judge
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Reported by: Melissa Dunn, CVR

10/8/2024

Trial

John Nunez

1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	ON BEHALF OF THE FAA:	
4	KIMBERLY R. VILLIERS, ESQ.	
5	Aviation Litigation Division, Miramar Te	eam
6	Office of the Chief Counsel	
7	Federal Aviation Administration	
8	2895 SW 145th Ave., Suite 120	
9	Miramar, FL 33027	
10	(202) 702-4697	
11	kimberly.villiers@faa.gov	
12		
13	ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENT:	
14	JOHN NUNEZ, PRO SE	
15	14475 SW 23rd Terrace	
16	Miami, FL, 33175	
17	johnnunez@ymail.com	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

$\overline{}$	•	1
- 1	rıal	
•	IIU	

John I	Nunez		mui			10/8/2024	
1			I N D	ΕX			
2	Complainant's Opening:			12			
3	Respondent'	s Opening	ı:		16		
4							
5	WITNESS:	DIRECT:	CROSS:	COURT:	RED:	REB:	
6	Quiroz:	19	32	_	46	-	
7	Sanchez:	48	63	_	78	-	
8	Habib:	81	87	-	103	-	
9	Moon:	106	122	_	133	200	
10	Nunez:	139	156	149	-	-	
11	Pineda:	178	192	-	-	-	
12							
13	Complainant's Closing: 202						
14	Respondent'	s Closing	; :		219		
15							
16		EXH	HIBITS	(premarke	ed)		
17		NUMBEF	₹:		PAGE AI	OMITTED:	
18	COMPLAINANT	: C-1, C	C-3, C-4, C	-5,		12	
19		C-6, C	C-9, C-10,	C-11,		12	
20		C-12,	C-14 C-15,	C-16,		12	
21		C-17				12	
22	RESPONDENT:	R-1A,	R-1B, R-3A			10	
23		R-3D,	R-4A, R-5A			10	
24		R-8, F	R-9, R-10			138	
25							

John Nunez 10/8/2024

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Proceeding called to order, 9:00 a.m.)
4	JUDGE RAWALD: Good morning. I'm Administrative
5	Law Judge Doug Rawald. Today's date is October 8th, 2024.
6	This is a virtual hearing of the civil penalty case for
7	John Nunez, Docket No. G13-23-021.
8	I want to remind everyone as a courtesy to help
9	reduce the amount of background noise, I ask that
10	participants mute their microphone when they're not
11	speaking. Also, please keep in mind that while people
12	sometimes become more casual in a virtual environment,
13	this is a hearing and we're supposed to act as if we're
14	in a courtroom even though we're not physically in one.
15	And I ask everyone to act professionally and courteous as
16	if we actually were together in a room today.
17	Government Counsel, if you could please state your
18	name for the record.
19	MS. VILLIERS: Good morning, Your Honor. Kimberly
20	Villiers. Last name
21	JUDGE RAWALD: Okay sorry. Ms. Villiers, I see
22	you're joined today by Mr. Moon. Is he also here as a
23	technical representative for you?
24	MS. VILLIERS: He is. He's our Aviation Safety
25	Investigator.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- And Mr. Nunez, just so you know, I'll allow Mr.
- 3 Moon to stay here to give advice to Ms. Villiers as is
- 4 allowed in the rules of these proceedings.
- 5 So, Mr. Moon, if you could please state your full
- 6 name for the record.
- 7 MR. MOON: Morning, sir. Ronny Moon.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 And Mr. Nunez, if you could please state your name
- 10 for the record.
- 11 MR. NUNEZ: My name is John Nunez, I am the
- 12 Respondent.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you very much. Let's turn to
- 14 the exhibits. Before the hearing, the Complainant
- 15 submitted Exhibits C-1 through C-17 for me to consider.
- 16 I have reviewed all the material and had difficulty
- 17 understanding the relevance of C-2 or C-10.
- 18 Ms. Villiers, can you explain to me what the
- 19 relevance is of those documents?
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, well, C-2 is just the,
- 21 I guess the, kind of, transmittal sheet when American
- 22 Airlines sent their package. It's really just the cover
- 23 sheet of the package.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I don't think it has any relevance
- 25 to my decision making in this case. It may have helped Mr.

6

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 Moon in assembling the file, but I don't think it has
- 2 anything to do with what I'm looking at, so I'm not going
- 3 to admit it.
- 4 MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And what about C-10?
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: And the second one you mentioned is
- 7 C-10. Your Honor, it shows, one, the amount of
- 8 passengers that was on that flight for that particular
- 9 day. It also shows where one of the passenger witnesses,
- 10 Ms. Habib, was seated.
- 11 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- MS. VILLIERS: So, just that documentary evidence.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, the sole purpose of
- 14 those two things. Okay. I'll allow for those reasons,
- 15 pending any objection from Mr. Nunez.
- Mr. Nunez, what objections, if any, do you have to
- 17 documents C-1 and C-3 through C-17?
- MR. NUNEZ: I don't have any, Your Honor. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. There being no objection,
- 21 I'll be admitting C-1 and C-3 through C-17.
- Let's turn then to Mr. Nunez's exhibits. So, I'll
- 23 note the Respondent did not submit any documents by the
- 24 September 9th, 2024, deadline set in the order finalizing
- 25 litigation schedule. During a prehearing conference on

John Nunez 10/8/2024

7

October 20th, 2024, the Respondent, Mr. Nunez, stated he

- 2 had previously submitted some documents for
- 3 consideration. With this in mind, I asked the Respondent
- 4 to -- before the end of the day, September 30th, 2024, to
- 5 submit those documents.
- 6 The Respondent didn't submit any documents that
- 7 day, but on October 1st, 2024, the Respondent did provide an
- 8 email with a number of unnumbered and mislabeled PDF
- 9 files. My staff and I went through those documents,
- 10 tried to figure out what was what, and then my staff had
- 11 to correspond with Mr. Nunez over the following days to
- 12 figure out what documents he wanted to have admitted.
- 13 At this point, what I have in my file is documents
- 14 that are labeled R-1A, R-1B, R-1C, R-2A, R-2B, R-3A,
- 15 R-3B, R-3C, R-3D, R-4A, R-5A, R-6A, R-6B, and R-7. I'll
- 16 note that in reviewing those documents, R-7 seems to be
- 17 the Respondent's witness and exhibit list, which is a
- 18 filing in this case. Because it's not an actual exhibit,
- 19 I won't consider it an exhibit. R-2A is the exact same
- 20 as C-16, which has already been admitted, so I won't
- 21 separately admit that.
- MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, Mr. Nunez?
- MR. NUNEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: Exhibit 6A is a complete copy of

1 the regulations found at 14 CFR Part 382. It is a 66-page

- 2 document that is publicly available law, and as such,
- 3 will not be admitted as an exhibit. I will review the
- 4 law, and I will refer to it in my decision if I believe
- 5 it to be relevant, I just don't need to admit it as a
- 6 document, because it is law and it's on the books.
- 7 Similarly, Exhibit 3B is a copy of the regulations
- 8 found at 49 CFR Section 41705. For the same reason, I'm not
- 9 going to admit it as a document. I will review that law,
- 10 if I believe it to be relevant to my decision, I will
- 11 cite it in my decision. I just want to make sure that
- 12 I'm not going to put it in the record, because it would
- 13 not need to be in the record in this case.
- 14 Finally, I'll note that Exhibit R-1A contains
- 15 personally identifiable information, namely Mr. Nunez's
- 16 credit card information that could be misused if publicly
- 17 available. I therefore will seal that document and will
- 18 not allow it to be viewed by the public. I'll also issue
- 19 an order in writing to make sure that we treat that
- 20 carefully. I don't want that to be actually released
- 21 accidentally to the public, and so I want to keep very
- 22 careful care of that document.
- 23 I'll further note that on October 7th, the
- 24 Complainant submitted a notice that the Exhibits R-1C,
- 25 R-2B, R-3B, and R-3C were not produced in the course of

9

- 1 discovery, and so objected to their admission. In
- 2 looking at that objection, I consider the fact that in a
- 3 prehearing conference back in January 4th, 2024, I
- 4 ordered that all discovery be submitted and exchanged by
- 5 April 30th, 2024. At the Complainant's request, I
- 6 extended that deadline to May 30th, 2024, to give the
- 7 Respondent more time to respond to the Complainant's
- 8 discovery request.
- 9 This material was still not produced in that time
- 10 frame, and there's simply no justification for admitting
- 11 this previously unproduced material. So, pursuant to the
- 12 rules found at 14 CFR, Section 13.220(n)(3) I will not
- 13 admit these documents. So, Exhibits R-1C, R-2B, R-3B,
- 14 and R-3C will not be admitted because they were not
- 15 produced in the course of discovery and were only
- 16 disclosed to opposing counsel the week before trial, well
- 17 after the deadline to submit evidence for this hearing.
- 18 Ms. Villiers, any objection to my considering as
- 19 evidence R-1A, R-1B, R-3A, R-3D, R-4A, or R-5A?
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: We do not, Your Honor. I do have
- 21 something to note about the Complainant's Exhibits.
- 22 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Well, first, I will note
- that I will be admitting exhibits R-1A, R-1B, R-3A, R-3D,
- 24 R-4A, or R-5A.
- 25 (Respondent's Exhibits Nos. R-1A, R-1B, R-3A,

- 1 R-3D, R-4A, and R-5A were admitted into evidence.)
- JUDGE RAWALD: Now, Mr. Nunez had something he
- 3 wanted to say while I was talking before, so let me go to
- 4 him first before I go to Ms. Villiers.
- 5 Mr. Nunez?
- 6 MR. NUNEZ: I'm sorry for interrupting, first and
- 7 foremost.
- JUDGE RAWALD: That's okay.
- 9 MR. NUNEZ: My question was, I had a compression
- 10 issue. I had sent the email when you told us to have the
- 11 documents submitted by the end of the day. I had a
- 12 compression issue, which I explained. I had to reduce
- 13 the size of the video, so the email -- in complying with
- 14 the Court's request to have the documents in on time by a
- 15 certain time. And I explained that to them, that I had
- 16 to reduce the video because of the size file. And that's
- 17 why the requested documents weren't in on time. But I
- immediately, when I noticed that the file was in the
- 19 inbox, I cut the file so I could accommodate the email.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: I understand, sir. And we're past
- 21 the point now of worrying about that. We are -- we are --
- 22 we have the documents that we have. I have the video I
- 23 think you really wanted to have for some response back
- 24 and forth about what video is, so I think we're all clear
- 25 on there.

- 1 MR. NUNEZ: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Villiers, what do you
- 3 want to have to say to me about the correspondence?
- 4 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, particularly our
- 5 exhibits C-7, C-8, and C-13, we're not requesting that they
- 6 be admitted at this time because those witnesses are
- 7 expected to testify.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: I already admitted them. So,
- 9 you're withdrawing your request to have them admitted?
- MS. VILLIERS: At this time, C-7, C-8, and C-13.
- 11 Go ahead, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: So, at this time. Are you saying
- 13 you're going to go back to them later?
- 14 MS. VILLIERS: Possibly. Possibly. But Ms.
- 15 Sanchez, Ms. Quiroz, they are here to testify. C-13, we
- 16 may seek to admit that at a later time, just depending on
- 17 how the case kind of develops, if that makes sense, Your
- 18 Honor. But at this time, we're not requesting that C-7,
- 19 C-8, and C-13 be admitted.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Then I will admit Exhibits
- 21 C-1, C-3 through C-6, C-9 through C-12, and C-14 through
- 22 C-17, noting that by the time you close your case, you
- 23 have to decide what you want to do with the other three
- 24 exhibits. So, okay.
- 25 (Complainant's Exhibits Nos. C-1, C-3 C-6,

- 1 C-9 C12, and C-14 C-17 were admitted into evidence.)
- MS. VILLIERS: That's understood, Your Honor.
- 3 Thank you.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, let's turn to now the
- 5 opening statements.
- Anything to say in opening, Ms. Villiers?
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: The Complainant has an opening
- 8 statement, Your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: So, of course, the Complainant in
- 11 this case is the Federal Aviation Administration, and we
- 12 seek to affirm the assessment of a civil penalty of
- 13 \$10,500 against the Respondent, John Nunez.
- 14 In this case, Your Honor, we will demonstrate by
- 15 preponderance of evidence that John Nunez interfered with
- 16 crew members' duties aboard an aircraft being operated on
- 17 the 14 CFR Part 121 in violation of Section 121.580, the
- 18 Interference Rule. In doing so, Your Honor, you will
- 19 hear from four witnesses that the FAA will call in its
- 20 case-in-chief. The FAA will call Flight Attendants Maria
- 21 Quiroz and Ana Maria Sanchez. Also, a passenger that was
- 22 on that flight, Rebecca Habib, along with our FAA
- 23 Aviation Safety Inspector, Ronny Moon.
- The Respondent has admitted in his answer that he
- 25 was a passenger aboard that Flight 1124 from

1 Barranquilla, Colombia, to Miami, Florida, on June 3rd,

- 2 2022. You will hear from ASI Ronny Moon -- that's
- 3 Inspector Ronny Moon -- that Flight 1124 was a commercial
- 4 flight operated under Part 121 of the Federal Aviation
- 5 Regulations and carried over 100 passengers.
- The evidence tendered here today will also show
- 7 that during that flight, Respondent became upset and created
- 8 a disturbance over two particular issues. First, he was
- 9 seated in seat 17C, the main cabin, and wanted to use the
- 10 business class lavatory located at the front of the
- 11 aircraft. However, the Respondent was instead directed
- 12 by a Flight Attendant to the lavatory serving the main
- 13 cabin. After this occurred, Respondent became upset,
- 14 argumentative, and aggressive with the Flight Attendants
- 15 onboard the aircraft.
- 16 Evidence would also be offered that he continued
- 17 to be aggressive with crew members because he ordered a
- 18 drink with alcohol, which upon making their assessment,
- 19 the cabin crew declined to serve him the beverage. You
- 20 will also hear from a Flight Attendant that Respondent
- 21 approached the Flight Attendants at the back of the
- 22 aircraft and began yelling at them, terrifying the cabin
- 23 crew, and continued to do so. As a result, it was
- 24 reported to the Captain, and he initiated a Level 2 threat
- 25 and diverted the flight back to Barranquilla, Colombia,

- 1 instead of continuing to its scheduled destination in
- 2 Miami, even though the aircraft at that time had traveled
- 3 a substantial distance towards Miami.
- 4 Your Honor, you will also hear from a passenger on
- 5 the flight, Ms. Rebecca Habib, who will testify to what
- 6 she observed about the Respondent. Finally, you will
- 7 hear from the FAA's Aviation Safety Inspector, or ASFI,
- 8 about his investigation and collection of the evidence
- 9 regarding this incident. Testimony will show that as
- 10 crew members attempted to perform their duties,
- 11 Respondent's conduct displaced their attention, requiring
- 12 them to address his disruptive behavior and interfered
- 13 with the performance of those duties onboard the
- 14 aircraft.
- 15 With that being said, the evidence will show, Your
- 16 Honor, that the civil penalty of \$10,500 is appropriate
- 17 under these circumstances, taking into account the
- 18 aggravating factors. Based on the testimony that you
- 19 will hear today, and the evidence that is admitted to the
- 20 record, the Complainant will ask that you find that the
- 21 Respondent, one, violated 14 CFR Section 121.580, the
- 22 Interference Rule, and also request that an order be
- 23 entered assessing a civil penalty of \$10,500. Thank you,
- 24 Your Honor.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Mr. Nunez, do you have an

- 1 argument?
- MR. NUNEZ: Yes, Your Honor. Before, I didn't get
- 3 a chance to finish my thought before I got to it. I had a
- 4 question for you regarding cross-examination. I know
- 5 you're going to ask the questions for me to the
- 6 witnesses, but how do I redirect my questions if I have
- 7 to engage the witness some more? I don't know.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Right, this is my plan, Mr. Nunez.
- 9 I'm going to go through the questions I have from you,
- 10 and as I go through them, I will rule on them. So, some
- 11 of them will not be allowed for various reasons, because
- 12 they may be argumentative or they may be not relevant or
- 13 they may not be allowed because of testimony. So, I will
- 14 issue any rulings at that time as well.
- I will also, at the time that I go through them,
- 16 provide a copy to the record, which will go into the docket
- 17 for the case and will also go to Ms. Villiers. She has not
- 18 seen them yet, but she will get them before the case is
- 19 over, because that's what she's entitled to. But she
- 20 doesn't have them now, because I don't want her to have
- 21 advanced preparation on what you're going to ask her.
- Once I've asked the witnesses questions, we will
- 23 put the witness in a waiting room, and I will ask you, do
- 24 you have anything else you wish to ask. We will then
- 25 discuss those questions, and I will then bring the witness

1 back in, and I will ask anything I deem to be relevant at

- 2 that point, based on what you have, that you want to be
- 3 asked.
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: One last question, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes?
- 6 MR. NUNEZ: Am I allowed to question Mr. Moon?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes. Mr. Moon, is a fair game.
- 8 You have not offered to sue him, so he is fair game for you
- 9 to question.
- 10 MR. NUNEZ: No worries. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 11 I'll proceed with my opening statement.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
- MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, members of the Court,
- 14 thank you for allowing me the opportunity to speak today.
- 15 The events of June 3rd aboard American Airlines flight 1124
- 16 not have only been a source of profound stress for me,
- 17 but also have deeply affected my family, especially my
- 18 seven-year-old son, Shay.
- 19 I've been a loyal American Airlines passenger my
- 20 whole life. My father worked for the airlines for 33
- 21 years, instilling in me respect for this company that has
- 22 been central to our lives. Over the years, I've flown
- 23 more than 121,000 miles without incident, primarily to
- 24 visit my son in Barranquilla, whom I love and am
- 25 dedicated to him as a father. Excuse me.

On that day, I was struggling with an ongoing

- 2 medical condition, which I'm still suffering from today,
- 3 specifically kidney stones and an enlarged prostate.
- 4 This condition causes me pain and requires me to use the
- 5 restroom frequently. I asked for simple access to the
- 6 laboratory, but the situation escalated. When I was
- 7 accused of being intoxicated, I immediately requested a
- 8 breathalyzer test, which was conducted by my witness,
- 9 Major Diego Pined, of the Colombian National Police.
- 10 While the actual results aren't in hand, Maj. Pineda's
- 11 attestation confirms that I was not intoxicated.
- 12 Furthermore, it is important to highlight that
- 13 Captain Jaffe, who was in charge of the flight that day,
- 14 is not present to testify. His absence speaks volumes,
- 15 especially given that his direct testimony could have
- 16 provided clarity on whether I was truly a disruptive
- 17 passenger. Instead, much of the weight has been placed
- 18 on the testimony of Ms. Habib, who I believe has shown
- 19 bias in her account of the events. Her statements have
- 20 exaggerated the situation, further inflaming the
- 21 narrative against me. I intend to raise these concerns
- 22 of potential bias, as Ms. Habib was not directly involved
- 23 in the interaction but has positioned herself as a key
- 24 witness.
- 25 Unfortunately, this incident just didn't end with

1 me. The video of my deplaning went viral. My son has

- 2 been bullied at school because of it. The nature of this
- 3 situation has caused immense emotional stress for me and
- 4 my family. I cooperated fully with the authorities and
- 5 deplaned without incident, yet I am now banned from
- 6 flying with an airline that I have been loyal to for
- 7 decades. This has taken away my ability to regularly
- 8 visit my son and perform my duties as a father. I stand
- 9 here today seeking fairness.
- 10 I acknowledge the seriousness of the situation,
- 11 but I ask the Court to consider the facts. I did not
- 12 interfere with the duties of the flight, I was not
- 13 intoxicated, and the situation escalated unnecessarily
- 14 due to the action of certain employees. I hope today can
- 15 bring clarity and help restore my ability to be a father
- 16 to my son's needs. Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you. Okay. And just so it's
- 18 clear, Mr. Nunez, anything I decide in this case, my
- 19 initial decision, will not be directive to American
- 20 Airlines. My decision is only limited to the question of
- 21 civil penalty. There may be some sort of cascading
- 22 effect that will impact your ability to fly with American
- 23 Airlines, but I do not have any actual influence or any
- 24 ability to order them to do anything. So, just so it's
- 25 very clear.

Okay. Let's turn to the case-in-chief for the

- 2 Agency Counsel, then.
- 3 So, can you please call your first witness, Ms.
- 4 Villiers?
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, we would like to call
- 6 Ms. Maria Quiroz.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Booker, if you could
- 8 please allow Ms. Maria Quiroz into the waiting room --
- 9 from the waiting room.
- 10 Ms. Quiroz, I see you have joined us, so please
- 11 raise your right hand.
- 12 Whereupon,
- 13 MARIA OUIROZ
- 14 a witness, called for examination, having first been duly
- 15 sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, your witness.
- 17 MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MS.VILLIERS:
- 20 Q. Good morning, Ms. Quiroz.
- 21 A. Good morning.
- 22 Q. Can you please state your full name for the
- 23 record?
- 24 A. Maria Quiroz.
- Q. And Ms. Quiroz, who is your current employer?

Trial
John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 A. American Airlines.
- 2 Q. And how long have you been employed with
- 3 American Airlines?
- 4 A. Eight years and ten months.
- 5 Q. And what is your position at American Airlines?
- 6 A. I'm a flight attendant.
- 7 Q. Okay. And how long have you been in that
- 8 position?
- 9 A. Eight years and ten months.
- 10 Q. And Ms. Quiroz, what are your responsibilities as
- 11 a flight attendant?
- 12 A. Assist customers as they need, operating
- 13 equipment, demonstrate safety equipment, respond to an
- 14 emergency, if it's medical, provide first aid or assist
- 15 with evacuation, also monitor for suspicious behavior,
- 16 de-escalate disruptive situations, secure all the cabin,
- 17 and ensure that everyone follows the security
- 18 regulations.
- 19 Q. Thank you. And have you ever testified in a
- 20 court proceeding before today?
- 21 A. No, this is my first time.
- 22 Q. And are you familiar with the Respondent, John
- 23 Nunez, in this matter?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And how do you know Mr. Nunez?

1 A. Well, he was a passenger on my flight from

- 2 Barranquilla two years ago.
- 3 Q. Okay. And do you recall what happened on that
- 4 flight, if anything?
- 5 A. Yes. After we took off, like 20 minutes after
- 6 departure, I was sitting at the back with my friend Ana
- 7 Maria, in our jump seats at the back. So, Mr. Nunez came
- 8 and approached me, and he was complaining because the
- 9 purser didn't allow him to use the lavatory in the
- 10 business class. And I was sitting in my jump seat, and
- 11 he approached to my face, and he was yelling why she
- 12 denied him.
- 13 And I explained the situation that there is a
- 14 federal law that all the flights that are going to the
- 15 United States, passengers must use the lavatories where
- 16 the seat belongs. And he told me that he was a good
- 17 passenger and nobody treated him like shit. So, he was
- 18 very loud. So Ana Maria stood up, and she told him to
- 19 relax and he didn't need to yell at us. He replied, I'm
- 20 not talking to you. So, I told him, well, you can use
- 21 the lavatories that are open. So, I went to the front to
- 22 talk to the purser and report the situation, and she
- 23 called the captain.
- We gave him all the information, where he was
- 25 sitting, his name, and everything. So, the purser, the

- 1 two flight attendants -- me and my other friend -- we
- 2 decided not to serve alcohol during the service. So I went
- 3 to the back to start the service, and Mr. Nunez called me
- 4 and asked me for rum and coke. And I told him --
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Ma'am, if you could, I don't mean
- 6 to interrupt you. So, you're -- very narrative, Ms.
- 7 Villiers, so I need to have more questions of what's
- 8 going on.
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: Yes.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: And I have some follow-up questions
- 11 I need to ask and clarify first before we go further.
- 12 So, ma'am, you said that Mr. Nunez said that he
- 13 was told he could not use the front lavatory by the purser.
- 14 Is that what you said, the purser?
- MS. QUIROZ: Yes.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And who is the purser? What
- 17 is that job on the aircraft?
- MS. QUIROZ: Of the purser?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, ma'am.
- 20 MS. QUIROZ: She assists the passengers in
- 21 business class, and she's the supervisor of the plane.
- 22 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And then I heard you mention
- 23 that he was told by Ms. Sanchez that he could use the
- 24 bathroom in the back of the aircraft. Did he, at that
- 25 point, use the bathroom in the back of the aircraft?

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 MS. QUIROZ: Yes.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, he was allowed to go
- 3 into the lavatory in the back of the aircraft?
- 4 MS. QUIROZ: Yes.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Now, Ms. Villiers, what
- 6 follow-up questions?
- 7 And please, Ms. Quiroz, if you could please give
- 8 shorter answers so Ms. Villiers can ask more questions,
- 9 that would be helpful to us.
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, thank you. I didn't
- 11 want to, kind of, interrupt her, but I do have some follow-
- 12 up questions.
- 13 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Okay. So, Ms. Quiroz, was there an issue with the
- 15 lavatory in the back of the aircraft, or the main
- 16 cabin?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Was there an issue, Ms. Villiers?
- 18 Was it broken, was it operational?
- 19 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Yeah, was it operational, was it unoccupied?
- 21 A. Yes, it was unoccupied.
- 22 Q. And also, you mentioned that he began -- he was
- 23 yelling about having to use the main cabin lavatory; is
- 24 that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And if you don't mind just kind of clarifying
- 2 for me, do you remember what was he yelling?
- 3 A. Well, after that incident, he requested for rum
- 4 and coke.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: No, no. Ma'am, her question was
- 6 limited to the initial interaction you had with Mr. Nunez
- 7 and what you said he said, no one treats me like shit and
- 8 I'm not talking to you. Did he yell anything else
- 9 besides that, ma'am? That was Ms. Villiers' question.
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Correct. Thank you, Your Honor.
- MS. QUIROZ: Well, yes. He was yelling at us, and
- 12 I told him, I'm not treating you bad. I'm trying to
- 13 explain what happened, why the purser didn't let him use
- 14 the lavatory in the business class, but he was very rude.
- 15 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 16 Q. Ms. Quiroz, once he used the lavatory, what
- 17 happened next?
- 18 A. He asked for rum and coke.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: Ma'am, just so I can be clear, you
- 20 mentioned in your narrative response earlier that you and
- 21 your companion decided to not serve alcohol. Was that
- 22 limited to Mr. Nunez or to all passengers you weren't
- 23 serving alcohol at that point?
- MS. QUIROZ: All the passengers. Yeah, all the
- 25 passengers.

Trial John Nunez

10/8/2024

JUDGE RAWALD: You were not going to serve alcohol

- 2 to anyone?
- 3 MS. QUIROZ: Yeah. Because he was very loud, he
- 4 was very rude, and so we tried to not escalate more the
- 5 problem.
- JUDGE RAWALD: But just so I'm clear, because you
- 7 had the interaction with Mr. Nunez, you decided to not
- 8 serve alcohol to any passenger on the flight?
- 9 MS. QUIROZ: Yes. Because if we served alcohol to
- 10 one passenger and we denied to him, he would probably
- 11 complain about why the passenger drank and he is denied.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, now you have your
- 13 questions.
- 14 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 15 Q. And Ms. Quiroz, why did you deny Mr. Nunez
- 16 specifically alcohol?
- 17 A. Because he was very aggressive. And after
- 18 that, he became more aggressive. He started insulting
- 19 us, he said we were stupid. He was speaking in Spanish
- 20 and in English. He realized that we were a Latin
- 21 American base, so we were speaking in Spanish as well.
- Q. And Ms. Quiroz, where in the aircraft did this
- 23 conversation take place?
- A. At the back of the aircraft, in the galley.
- 25 O. And was this after he exited the main cabin

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 lavatory?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So, just to be clear, after he exited the main
- 4 cabin lavatory, he requested an alcoholic beverage?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And what happened when he started, you said,
- 7 being aggressive towards you?
- 8 A. We didn't feel safe with him because he yelled at
- 9 us. So, Ana Maria had the beverage cart ready, so we put
- 10 it, like protection for us in the aisle, between the
- 11 aisle and the galley. And while he was insulting us and
- 12 yelling at us, I called the captain, and the captain could
- 13 hear all his yelling. So, the captain decided to talk to
- 14 Rose, the purser, and she delivered the warning notice.
- 15 Q. And Ms. Quiroz, just a few follow-up questions.
- 16 So, during this back-and-forth between you and the
- 17 Respondent, why did you not tell him to return to his
- 18 seat?
- 19 A. While I was calling the captain, Ana Maria
- 20 talked to him and said, please lower your voice and go
- 21 back to your seat. And he was a passenger using the
- 22 benefits of one of the tickets of an employee of American
- 23 Airlines. So, I told him, you know the rules, you
- 24 shouldn't be disrespectful to any employee of American
- 25 Airlines. He talked to us, he said, I can buy my own

1 ticket, you're a fucking idiot, stupid. He kept yelling

- 2 at us.
- 3 Q. And did he return to his seat?
- 4 A. Yes. After that, yes.
- Q. And Ms. Quiroz, you also mentioned that you had
- 6 moved the beverage -- moved the beverage cart. Can you
- 7 provide more detail as to why you did that?
- 8 A. Because he was approaching to us, so we didn't
- 9 know what was the next reaction from him because he was
- 10 very aggressive, so we put the cart in the middle as a
- 11 protection for us.
- 12 Q. And he was approaching towards you from where?
- 13 Where was he coming from?
- 14 A. He was in the aisle, where the last row of the
- 15 seat of the passengers.
- 16 Q. And you mentioned that he did return to his
- 17 seat. What interaction did you have with him, if any,
- 18 when he returned to his seat?
- 19 A. No, I didn't have any more interaction with
- 20 him.
- Q. And then what happened next on the flight?
- 22 A. The person approached to him and delivered the
- 23 warning notice. We have a notice for disruptive
- 24 situations so he can be aware if he is still with this
- 25 behavior, he can get a fine.

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

1 Q. And once he received that notice, what happened

- 2 next?
- 3 Α. He complained to her.
- 4 Ms. Quiroz, did anything else happen for the Ο.
- 5 remainder of the flight?
- 6 No, because after he complained to Rose, he
- 7 talked to the captain, and we decided to return to
- Barranquilla so this problem didn't escalate anymore. 8
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Ma'am, you have used the name Rose.
- 10 Is that the person who is the purser?
- 11 MS. QUIROZ: Yes. Because all the passengers in
- 12 business class could listen to all his yelling, so we
- were in an unsafe situation. 13
- BY MS. VILLIERS: 14
- 15 You mentioned unsafe, Ms. Quiroz. Why did you
- 16 feel as though you were in an unsafe situation?
- Because we didn't know if he maybe can react 17
- physically. He was very aggressive. 18
- 19 Understood. And what, if anything, did you say
- 20 to the captain?
- 21 When I called the captain, I told him, can you
- 22 listen to him? And he said, yes. He's the passenger
- 23 that, at the beginning, Rose reported the situation. So,
- 2.4 then he talked to Rose and delivered the warning notice.
- 25 And he kept with the same behavior, so the captain

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 decided to return to Barranquilla.
- Q. And Ms. Quiroz, you used the word aggressive.
- 3 You felt he was being aggressive. What do you mean by
- 4 that?
- 5 A. Because his insults, because all his yelling.
- 6 When he was yelling, he was doing like this (gesturing).
- 7 I don't know how you say it in English.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: The witness is showing that she's
- 9 moving her right hand up and down, waving her hands
- 10 around.
- MS. QUIROZ: With his hands, talking, yelling at
- 12 us, and we tried to keep the distance.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, the witness was showing
- 14 that her depiction of the events, where the Respondent
- 15 was using his hands to, at least, express his emotion
- 16 while he was talking with the flight attendants.
- 17 Ms. Villiers?
- MS. VILLIERS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 19 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 20 Q. So, during kind of this altercation, Ms.
- 21 Quiroz, that you have described, or his aggressive
- 22 behavior, what duties were you doing, which one of your
- 23 -- sorry. Strike that, Your Honor.
- 24 During his aggressive behavior and your
- 25 interaction with him, Ms. Quiroz, were you able to

- 1 perform any of your flight attendant duties?
- 2 A. No. We couldn't start the service, the
- 3 beverage service. No.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry, you mentioned that you -- did you
- 5 say that you stopped the beverage service?
- A. We went to start the beverage service.
- 7 Q. To start. Okay.
- 8 A. But after that situation, we couldn't do it,
- 9 because we didn't know if we start the service, he can go
- 10 to the aft galley to grab something. So, no, it wasn't
- 11 safe.
- 12 Q. Understood. I have two follow-up questions for
- 13 you. So, you -- during that portion of the flight, you
- 14 did not conduct the beverage service?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You also just testified and said you felt like
- 17 he was going to grab something. What do you mean?
- 18 A. No. If we do the service, maybe he can go to
- 19 the back and grab something. We didn't know.
- Q. I'm sorry, but if you could clarify, grab
- 21 something for what purpose?
- 22 A. Maybe, I don't know, take something off the
- 23 cart, off the beverage -- or, I don't know. Something to
- 24 hit us.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, this is all

1 speculation. I'm not going to consider it at all for any

- 2 substance, so let's move on.
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: Okay.
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 5 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. And then, Ms. Quiroz, did anything else happen
- 7 on the particular flight?
- 8 A. No. After that, we returned to Barranquilla,
- 9 and the agents and the police were waiting and deplaned
- 10 the passenger, and all the passengers applauded about the
- 11 decision that was taken.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry, you just mentioned that all the
- 13 passengers applauded?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And why did they applaud?
- 16 A. Because some of the passengers told the purser
- 17 that Mr. Nunez was in the VIP lounge in Barranquilla, and
- 18 he was drinking alcohol, and he was very rude with the
- 19 police over there and also with the agents of American
- 20 Airlines, so we didn't know why the agents allowed him to
- 21 board the aircraft.
- Q. Okay. Ms. Quiroz, I'll just ask the question
- 23 again. So, you mentioned that the passengers applauded.
- 24 Why did the passengers applaud when you landed in
- 25 Barranquilla?

1 A. Because I think they felt insecure, like us.

- JUDGE RAWALD: No, no. Just to be clear, Ms.
- 3 Villiers, they applauded when Mr. Nunez was taken off the
- 4 aircraft, not when the aircraft landed.
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Right. So, I didn't --
- 6 JUDGE RAWALD: So, the presumption, Ms. Villiers,
- 7 is clear that they applauded because Mr. Nunez was removed
- 8 from the aircraft. I understand the point.
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: Okay.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: Is there anything else, Ms.
- 11 Villiers?
- 12 MS. VILLIERS: No, Your Honor. I have no further
- 13 questions for Ms. Quiroz.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Quiroz, I have questions
- 15 that were submitted by Mr. Nunez for me to ask for you.
- 16 I will go through them, and I will make rulings on them.
- 17 So, don't answer them until I actually have made those
- 18 rulings and tell you what to answer. Okay, Ms. Quiroz?
- 19 MS. QUIROZ: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, I have question number
- 21 one for Mr. Nunez. It is a bit confusing as phrased, so I'm
- 22 going to rephrase it some, Mr. Nunez.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 25 Q. So, first of all, Ms. Quiroz, if you could

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 please tell me, what is a non-revenue passenger?
- 2 A. Non-revenue is a -- as employees, we have some
- 3 tickets that you can give to our family, relatives, or
- 4 friends. So, you only pay the taxes, you have a seat
- 5 when there are empty or available seats.
- 6 Q. Okay. And were you aware that on the flight
- 7 Mr. Nunez was a non-revenue passenger?
- A. At the beginning, no, I didn't realize that.
- 9 Q. Okay. When did you become aware of that fact?
- 10 A. About the first incident when he complained
- 11 about a lavatory problem in the business class. So, when
- 12 I went to talk to the purser, I have the tablet, and we
- 13 realized that he was a D3 passenger.
- 14 O. Okay. And you use the term D3. Is that the
- 15 same thing as saying someone's non-revenue? That's a
- 16 code for non-revenue passenger?
- 17 A. Yes, it's a code.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. Because we have to give all the information to
- 20 the captain, so --
- 21 Q. That's okay. I just want to make sure I
- 22 understand what you're saying. And so, when you heard
- 23 that he was a D3 passenger, did you announce, or did you
- 24 say out loud to Mr. Nunez in your interaction with him
- 25 that he was a D3 or non-revenue passenger?

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

1 A. No.

- 2 Q. You never once said it out loud? Because
- 3 before, you did say, you should know better because
- 4 you're a non-revenue passenger?
- 5 A. Yes, but I told him that when he was at the
- 6 back.
- 7 Q. So, no passengers could possibly have heard you
- 8 say that information, ma'am?
- 9 A. I wasn't yelling.
- 10 Q. Okay. But, ma'am, were there other passengers
- 11 present in the flight in the back of the aircraft?
- 12 A. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. So, you did, in fact, express out loud
- 14 that he was a non-revenue passenger, ma'am?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You did not or you did?
- 17 A. Loud? No.
- 18 Q. No, out loud, as in you verbalized. You said
- 19 the words, you are a non-revenue passenger, you should
- 20 know better than this.
- 21 A. Ah, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. And do you think that the fact that he
- 23 was a non-revenue passenger affected your interaction
- 24 with him at all?
- 25 A. No.

1 Q. Okay. Would you have treated a paying customer

- 2 this same way -- and this is Mr. Nunez's second question,
- 3 the same way as you treated a non-revenue passenger?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, in the discussion here today, you didn't
- 6 mention this, but you did in a previous statement that
- 7 Mr. Nunez is aware of and that was previously provided by
- 8 the Government as an exhibit but has not been admitted in
- 9 this case, I think that you had said that Mr. Nunez was
- 10 possibly intoxicated.
- 11 Mr. Nunez's third question is, what specific
- 12 behavior led you to believe that he may be intoxicated?
- 13 Was there anything he was doing that made you think Mr.
- 14 Nunez was intoxicated, ma'am?
- MS. VILLIERS: Excuse me, Your Honor?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, Ms. Villiers?
- 17 MS. VILLIERS: I have a quick question. In terms
- 18 of objections, I know that this case is a little peculiar
- 19 where you are asking --
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: You can object to the question, Ms.
- 21 Villiers. I will tell you that I'm going to overrule the
- 22 objection because I'm already reviewed it. But go ahead.
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor. But just
- 24 for the record, we'd like to enter a standing objection as
- 25 it relates to the matter of intoxication based on relevancy.

- 1 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Well, what I will say,
- 2 ma'am, is that we have in evidence that Mr. Nunez would be
- 3 showing that he wasn't here trying the case on his own
- 4 behalf, but I have limited his ability to do so, a
- 5 statement from the witness saying that she believes him
- 6 to be intoxicated at the time. You can, of course, hide
- 7 that from being dissented in the actual decision opinion,
- 8 but he views it as impeachment. So, he believes, at
- 9 least, that she has alleged he is intoxicated and that
- 10 affected her perception of the incident.
- 11 So, fair game is for me to ask her, why did she
- 12 think he was intoxicated?
- 13 MS. OUIROZ: No. I didn't think he was
- 14 intoxicated.
- JUDGE RAWALD: You did not? Okay, ma'am.
- MS. QUIROZ: No.
- 17 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 18 Q. So, okay. Did any of your other colleagues
- 19 believe him to be intoxicated?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And if you were aware that he passed
- 22 breathalyzer tests -- this is Mr. Nunez's fourth
- 23 question. If you believe -- if you were aware of the
- 24 fact that he passed the breathalyzer test upon getting to
- 25 the ground, would it affect your opinion of his behavior

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 on the flight at all?
- 2 A. No, because we serve alcohol in all the flights,
- 3 and people drink and don't react like that. You don't
- 4 have to be disrespectful.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Mr. Nunez's fifth question
- 6 is argumentative, and the testimony is not quite the same as
- 7 what he's arguing would be in the fifth question. I'm
- 8 going to have objection to it and sustain it, and also
- 9 rephrase it.
- 10 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 11 Q. So, what was the reason why you thought that
- 12 Respondent, Mr. Nunez, was unruly or disruptive? What
- 13 exactly was it that he was doing?
- 14 A. He -- well, his behavior. He tried -- he was very
- 15 close when he was yelling at me. He was yelling at me in
- 16 my face.
- 17 Q. So, the fact that he was yelling and that he
- 18 was close to you, those are the things that you thought
- 19 were unruly?
- 20 A. Yes. Well, and his insults.
- Q. So, actually, the words he was using, too, were
- 22 also unruly to you?
- 23 A. Yeah. I mean, I told him, please, please keep
- 24 your voice down, I can listen to you. But he didn't
- 25 manage, so he is still with his behavior.

1 Q. Okay. Mr. Nunez asked you only for an alcoholic

- 2 drink, not a non-alcoholic beverage, ma'am?
- 3 A. No. He only asked for rum and coke, and I
- 4 offered him non-beverage only.
- 5 Q. And he did not accept that offer?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: I will not allow Mr. Nunez's sixth
- 8 question to respond to the evidence that we have before
- 9 us. The seventh question has two parts. The second half
- 10 is argumentative, but I will allow the first part.
- 11 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 12 Q. Why did you choose to involve the captain and
- 13 escalate the situation by turning the plane around
- 14 instead of de-escalating the situation in a different
- 15 manner?
- 16 A. When he started insulting us, and he was more
- 17 loud and loud, so that's why I called the captain and he
- 18 could listen in by the intercom.
- 19 Q. Okay. And who made the decision to divert the
- 20 aircraft, yourself or the captain?
- 21 A. No, the captain. The captain.
- 22 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The eighth question is also
- 23 argumentative as phrased, but I'm going to rephrase it.
- 24 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 25 Q. Were there other tools you could have used,

- 1 based upon your training in de-escalation that you
- 2 described in your opening comments here, were there other
- 3 tools you could have used to resolve the situation rather
- 4 than diverting the aircraft, ma'am?
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, ma'am?
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Sorry, Your Honor. Objection to
- 8 that question in terms of relevance. Ms. Quiroz testified
- 9 that she had no role in the diversion of the aircraft.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Well, she didn't choose to divert
- 11 the aircraft, but the question is, could she or the flight
- 12 attendant trained in de-escalation have used other tools
- and said to the captain, no, we have this, we'll
- 14 de-escalate it. And so she could say, there's not, but I
- 15 want to hear from her. And that's certainly a relevant
- 16 question. So, objection overruled.
- 17 MS. VILLIERS: Understood.
- 18 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 19 Q. Ms. Quiroz, so were there other tools you could
- 20 have used in your training to de-escalate the situation
- 21 rather than allowing the captain to divert the aircraft
- 22 back to Colombia?
- 23 A. Yes. The next step was the purser gave him the
- 24 warning notice, the paper.
- Q. Okay. So, you believe you did all the steps

1 you had available to you before the aircraft was diverted

- 2 then, ma'am?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, the next questions, the ninth and
- 5 tenth questions relate to the fact this is occurring on
- 6 during the pandemic that we had due to COVID-19.
- 7 Were you aware of any heightened tensions or
- 8 stress among the crew due to the pandemic?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Okay. And were there any tensions or stress
- 11 due to the fact the flight had already been delayed due
- 12 to weather problems in Miami?
- 13 A. What? Can you repeat?
- 14 O. Were there any heightened tensions or stress
- 15 among the crew that day because the flight had been
- 16 delayed on the way because of weather in Miami?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Okay. Do you believe the flight being delayed
- or the fact that there was a pandemic may have affected
- 20 how you or your other crew members responded to the
- 21 situation with Mr. Nunez?
- 22 A. No.
- JUDGE RAWALD: The thirteenth question I'm not
- 24 going to ask because it's not relevant. The fourteenth
- 25 question is argumentative in nature, I'm not going to

1 allow it. The fifteenth question calls for speculation,

- 2 I'm not going to allow it, as well as the sixteenth
- 3 question.
- 4 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 5 Q. Question number seventeen, how long have you
- 6 been a flight attendant? So, you mentioned before that
- 7 it had been -- how long, ma'am? You did say in your
- 8 testimony how long that was.
- 9 A. In that moment, in that year?
- 10 Q. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 A. Six years.
- 12 Q. Okay. And in the six years before that, had
- 13 you ever dealt with any issues regarding passengers who
- 14 were elite status?
- 15 A. Like this? No.
- 16 Q. Okay. And were you aware that Mr. Nunez, in
- 17 addition to being a non-revenue passenger, also was an
- 18 elite status flyer at that time?
- 19 A. Excuse me?
- 20 Q. Were you aware at the time of the incident that
- 21 Mr. Nunez, in addition to being a non-revenue passenger,
- 22 he also held an elite status on the airline?
- A. No. At the beginning, no.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The eighteenth question has
- 25 already been asked and answered, I'm not going to allow

Trial John Nunez

1 it.

- 2 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 3 Q. The nineteenth question, do you believe -- and
- 4 I asked you this somewhat, but I'll ask it again to be
- 5 very clear, that your personal views regarding
- 6 non-revenue passengers or frequent flyers influenced your
- 7 handling of the situation at all?
- 8 A. No. I treat all passengers the same. Yeah.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The twentieth question is
- 10 argumentative, I'm not going to allow it.
- 11 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 12 Q. Twenty-one. Were you personally feeling
- 13 stressed or under pressure during the flight due to the
- 14 pandemic or the fact that the flight had been delayed?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Okay. And do you believe that your emotional
- 17 state or fatigue could have influenced your decision
- 18 making during this incident?
- 19 A. No.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The twenty-third question is
- 21 argumentative, I'm not going to allow it. The
- 22 twenty-fourth question is argumentative, but I will
- 23 rephrase it.
- 24 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. What exactly was the basis for returning the

- 1 plane to Colombia? What was the reason to divert the
- 2 aircraft, as you understood from the captain at the time?
- 3 A. Because the behavior of Mr. Nunez, he was very
- 4 intimidating.
- 5 Q. Okay. So, after the purser interacted with
- 6 him, it somehow got worse? Because the purser had gave
- 7 him the notice and then the decision was to divert; is
- 8 that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So, what happened after Mr. Nunez interacted
- 11 with the purser that required the plane to then be
- 12 diverted?
- 13 A. I think when the purser delivered the note, he
- 14 could read it, he complained to her. So, she reported
- 15 that to the captain and he decided to return to
- 16 Barranquilla.
- 17 Q. So, you were not physically present for the
- 18 conversation between the purser and Mr. Nunez?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 O. Okay. So, you don't know what that was like or
- 21 how it went, but as you understand it, that conversation
- 22 is what then justified or resulted in the captain saying,
- 23 let's go back to Colombia?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you. That's all the

John Nunez

1 questions I have at the moment, ma'am. But I do want to

- 2 put you in a witness waiting room. We'll bring you back
- 3 out in just a few moments, I just want to talk to Mr.
- 4 Nunez and Ms. Villiers without you present. Okay?
- 5 MS. QUIROZ: Okay.
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Excuse me?
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms Booker, if you could
- 8 please -- hold on a second, Ms. Villiers.
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: Sure.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Villiers, you have
- 11 something you want to ask?
- 12 MS. VILLIERS: Yes. Your Honor, I just had one
- 13 question on redirect.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. But let's finish with the
- 15 cross-examination first.
- MS. VILLIERS: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: So, Mr. Nunez, do you have anything
- 18 else on cross-examination you wish to ask this witness?
- 19 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, to be honest with you, the
- 20 questions that were argumentative and have been stricken
- 21 from the record. So, I mean, those were points that I
- 22 tried to bring up. But, I mean, the elite status and her
- 23 treatment of me. You know, the foul language was never
- 24 mentioned in the original report, I didn't call anyone an
- 25 effing idiot or anything like that.

JUDGE RAWALD: Sir, so just so we're clear. So,

- 2 the three things that are argumentative is because I'll say,
- 3 hey, you didn't really think that, right? And she'll
- 4 say, yes, of course I did. So, then we'll argue back and
- 5 forth. That's not productive. So, what's clear is that
- 6 she has testified what she testified to.
- 7 Now, it's different than what she said before.
- 8 You can, of course, offer as exhibits, the exhibits from her
- 9 past statements. And you have, which we have and have
- 10 not been admitted by Plaintiff at the moment. You can,
- of course, testify yourself and say, hey, it's different.
- MR. NUNEZ: I'll say that.
- 13 JUDGE RAWALD: Yeah. But I can't go back and
- 14 forth with her about what she said and say, no, this is
- 15 wrong. Because she's going to say, no, it's right, and
- 16 that's not productive.
- MR. NUNEZ: I understand, sir.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Any other questions you have
- 19 for her? What was that?
- 20 MR. NUNEZ: No further questions, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, we'll bring her back in
- 22 and do a redirect, Ms. Villiers, and then we'll let her
- 23 go.
- Okay. So, Ms. Booker, if you could please bring
- 25 back in the witness.

Trial John Nunez

Okay. Ma'am, Ms. Quiroz, you are back. Ms.

- 2 Villiers has some follow-up questions she's going to ask
- 3 you and then we will release you.
- 4 Ms. Villiers?
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 8 Q. Ms. Quiroz, you just testified in the last
- 9 question that Judge Rawald asked you that I believe you
- 10 said that the flight was returned because he was given
- 11 the disruptive pamphlet or the disruptive passenger --
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: What she explained, Ms. Villiers,
- 13 is that after being given the disruptive pamphlet, the
- 14 Respondent's interactions with the purser were such that
- 15 the purser and the captain decided the plane should be
- 16 turned around. Correct, Ms. Quiroz?
- MS. QUIROZ: Yes.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 19 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 20 Q. So, to clarify, Ms. Quiroz, was that the only
- 21 reason, meaning the interaction between the purser and
- 22 the Respondent, was that the only reason that the plane
- 23 was returned to Barranquilla?
- 24 A. Well, no. It was all the interaction that we
- 25 had. When we gave the delivery note, we tried to

John Nunez

1 de-escalate the problem because the passenger is aware of

- 2 the fines and everything, but we couldn't. I think the
- 3 purser even couldn't de-escalate the problem. His
- 4 attitude was more aggressive, so.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Any other questions, Ms. Villiers?
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Understood. No, Your Honor.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Then I'm going to let the
- 8 witness be released for her normal life.
- 9 So, ma'am, you can go ahead and log off Zoom and
- 10 return to your normal business. Thank you.
- Okay. Ms. Villiers, what's next?
- MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, we would like to call
- 13 Ana Maria Sanchez.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Sanchez could please
- 15 enter the hearing room, Ms. Booker. And if you could,
- 16 please help Ms. Quiroz off the Zoom as well, Ms. Booker.
- Okay. Ms. Sanchez, I see you're here. If you
- 18 could, please raise your right hand.
- 19 Whereupon,
- 20 ANA MARIA SANCHEZ
- 21 A witness, called for examination, having first been
- 22 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you. And let's hold
- 24 on one second.
- Ms. Booker, are you able to take Ms. -- okay.

Trial John Nunez

1 She's off now. Okay. Thank you.

- So, your witness, Ms. Villiers.
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 6 Q. Good morning, Ms. Sanchez.
- 7 A. Good morning.
- 8 Q. Can you please state your full name for the
- 9 record?
- 10 A. Yes. My name is Ana Maria del Pilar Sanchez
- 11 Borras.
- 12 Q. Thank you. And, Ms. Sanchez, who is your
- 13 current employer?
- 14 A. American Airlines.
- 15 Q. And how long have you been employed with
- 16 American Airlines?
- 17 A. On December, 34 years.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Sorry, did you say 34, 34?
- MS. SANCHEZ: Yes, 34.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you.
- 21 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. And what is your position at American Airlines,
- 23 Ms. Sanchez?
- 24 A. I'm a flight attendant.
- Q. And how long have you been a flight attendant

Trial John Nunez

1 at American Airlines?

- 2 A. 34 years.
- 3 Q. And, Ms. Sanchez, based on your experience,
- 4 what are your responsibilities in that position as a
- 5 flight attendant?
- 6 A. For the safety of the passengers, and also to
- 7 give them beverage and snacks. But the priority is the
- 8 safety of them.
- 9 Q. Thank you. And is there anything else that is
- 10 your duty as a flight attendant?
- 11 A. Can you repeat? I don't understand that.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry. Are there any other duties in your
- 13 flight attendant position?
- 14 A. No, only that.
- 15 Q. And you mentioned safety of passengers. What
- do you mean by safety of passengers?
- 17 A. In case of an emergency, for example, I am
- 18 trained to -- in those cases, to solve the problems. For
- 19 example, to make evacuations or, I don't know, in case
- 20 someone is sick. Things like that.
- Q. Understood. And, Ms. Sanchez, in your 34 years
- 22 of experience, roughly how many flights do you work per
- 23 week?
- A. It depends on each month. Different months
- 25 will have different sequence. But a sequence, it can be

50

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 of two days or five days.
- Q. Okay. Okay. And, Ms. Sanchez, have you ever
- 3 testified in a court proceeding before today?
- 4 A. No, never.
- 5 Q. And are you familiar with the Respondent, Mr.
- 6 John Nunez, in this matter?
- 7 A. Can you repeat, please?
- 8 Q. I'm sorry. Sure. Are you familiar -- do you
- 9 know the Respondent, John Nunez, in this matter?
- 10 A. Yes, yes.
- 11 Q. And how do you know Mr. Nunez?
- 12 A. Because we had a problem with him when we were
- 13 going from Barranquilla to Miami. He made problems on
- 14 that.
- 15 Q. Understood. But just to clarify, was this a
- 16 flight that you were on? Just to clarify for the record.
- 17 A. If I was on the flight?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. Oh, yes. Yes, I was working position number
- 20 two.
- 21 Q. You were working position number two?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And was Mr. Nunez on that flight?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And what, if anything, happened on that flight?

1 A. We were in the takeoff. First, the flight, we

- 2 arrived late from Miami to Barranquilla. Then, the
- 3 boarding, we were in the takeoff, and he comes at the
- 4 back of the airplane where we were sitting, because we
- 5 were with seatbelts, because it's takeoff.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry, Ms. Sanchez, but I just -- I'm sorry
- 7 to interrupt, but I'll just have some follow-up
- 8 questions. When you say we, who is we?
- 9 A. It's another flight attendant, Rosario Quiroz
- 10 [sic]. She was in the jump seat at the back with me.
- 11 Q. Okay. Understood. So, you mentioned that he
- 12 came to the back. What happened when he came to the back
- 13 of the aircraft?
- 14 A. Well, I saw -- when I turned around, because
- 15 she was on my left side, she was looking at the
- 16 passengers. I was looking to the galley. And I saw him,
- 17 he invaded her personal space, because he was very close
- 18 to her, and he was shouting at her. He was standing up,
- 19 shouting at her, to Rosario Quiroz.
- 20 So, I told him, please go to your seats, because
- 21 takeoff. And he continued shouting at her.
- Q. And Ms. Sanchez, what was he shouting?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Hold on one moment, ma'am. It
- 24 appears that witness Rebecca Habib has joined the audio,
- 25 so she must go into a witness waiting room.

So, Ms. Booker, if you could please move Ms. Habib

- 2 into a waiting room. Thank you.
- 3 Okay. Let's go back to Ms. -- I'm sorry, Ms.
- 4 Sanchez. If you could please go on.
- 5 MS. SANCHEZ: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Sanchez?
- 7 MS. SANCHEZ: Then, I told him to go to her seat
- 8 -- to his seat, no? And he continued on, and he said he's
- 9 a -- I don't know, a gold member. Something like he has a
- 10 privilege, or I don't know. But that is not necessary to
- 11 tell me, you have to sit because takeoff is dangerous to
- 12 be standing up in the aircraft. But he continued, and he
- 13 was also -- he told us also that he went before to
- 14 forward the aircraft to talk. He wants the restroom, but
- 15 in that moment, the purser, Rosa Maria Selly, told him
- 16 not -- he could not use. Because first is takeoff, no, you
- 17 cannot use the restroom, and second, that in that period
- 18 of time, any passengers of main cabin cannot use the
- 19 restroom of business class.
- 20 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Understood. Ms. Sanchez, you mentioned, you
- 22 said the forward. What is the forward?
- 23 A. The forward part of the aircraft.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 A. Near the cockpit.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 Q. Near the cockpit, okay. Thank you.
- 2 A. Yeah. And number one, the purser sits close to
- 3 the cockpit. We were aft, totally aft the aircraft.
- 4 Q. And just for the record, what is the aft of the
- 5 aircraft?
- A. Aft is at the final of the aisle, when is the
- 7 galley.
- 8 Q. Understood. Thank you.
- 9 A. And there are the jump seats.
- 10 Q. Understood. Thank you so much, Ms. Sanchez.
- 11 And so, you mentioned that he was, I guess, you said that
- 12 he was shouting, or he was shouting to the flight
- 13 attendant that was with you --
- 14 A. Yeah, he was at the restroom.
- 15 Q. What, if anything, did you say to him?
- 16 A. To go to his seat. Because I was afraid, no,
- 17 that he was going to hit her. Because he's like, he
- 18 talked and shouted with his hands, that his eyes was
- 19 like, I don't know, he was so, like, crazy. And then he
- 20 want alcohol, and I --
- 21 Q. Understood, Ms. Sanchez. I just have a few
- 22 follow-up questions for you. You mentioned you told him
- 23 to go to his seat. Did he go to his seat?
- A. No, not immediately. He continued to shout.
- Q. And then, what happened next?

Trial John Nunez

- 1 A. Then, he went to his seat. And then I am
- 2 number two and I have to make the carts for the beverage,
- 3 and I make it very fast. And in the moment, that the
- 4 beverage cart was ready to go, he came again.
- 5 And I said, oh, Rosario, he's coming again.
- 6 And he began to shout again, I want alcohol. And I said,
- 7 you can't, we don't have alcohol. It was a lie, but I
- 8 don't want to give him, because it seemed like he was so
- 9 aggressive that I don't want to give him alcohol, no.
- 10 So, he began to shout and, like, very close to us.
- 11 Q. Understood. And, Ms. Sanchez, when he was
- 12 shouting, what was he saying?
- 13 A. That he had, like, a gold member. I don't
- 14 understand that. He's very important, and, like, he was
- 15 very important because he was a golden card. I don't
- 16 understand him. But I told him not to shout at us, no.
- 17 And then in a moment, I put the cart across the aisle,
- 18 no, so he cannot push closer to us, no.
- 19 O. Understood. So, Ms. Sanchez, just a few follow
- 20 up questions based on what you just stated. So, you said
- 21 eventually he returned to his seat, right?
- 22 A. In the first, yes.
- Q. You said, in the first?
- A. I'm going to tell you. When we take off, he
- 25 stands up. Then after that, he returned to his seat.

- 1 Then after more or less 15 minutes, more or less, we
- 2 finished the cart, the beverage cart, and he came again
- 3 at the back. And in that moment, he was so aggressive
- 4 that I have to put the cart.
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, I would like to bring
- 6 to your attention Exhibit C-14.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: Yes.
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: Is it possible?
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: I've admitted it. It's the video
- 10 of the orange juice cart. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 So, you can go ahead and show it, Ms. Booker.
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you.
- 13 JUDGE RAWALD: Let the record reflect that we're
- 14 showing what is being admitted as Exhibit C-14.
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you.
- 16 (Exhibit C-14 video was played.)
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Booker, thank you. If
- 18 you could please take the video down.
- 19 And Ms. Villiers, do you have a question about the
- 20 video for Ms. Sanchez?
- 21 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Ms. Sanchez, do you recognize that video?
- 23 A. Yes, I make it.
- Q. Okay. And can you explain to us why you made
- 25 that video?

1 A. Because he was treating us. So, I put -- the cart

- 2 was not there, but I put it across so he could not come
- 3 closer to us. And I, with my hand, he was shouting too
- 4 loud and like -- he was, like, close to us. And I put my
- 5 hand like this on his face (indicating). Not on his face,
- 6 but like this, not to come closer to us, no.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: So, the witness is showing that she
- 8 put her hand with her palm open in the face of the
- 9 Respondent.
- 10 MS. SANCHEZ: It's like this, between the carts,
- 11 no. Because he was going to come, and I said, don't come
- 12 here. And in that moment, I told Rosario Quiroz to call
- 13 the captain because he was, like, very -- I was afraid of
- 14 him. So, in that moment, when Rosario Quiroz called the
- 15 captain, she has to know the seat of the passenger. So,
- in the moment that she finds the seat number, she knew
- 17 that he was using our tickets, the tickets of American
- 18 Airlines. He was a non-rec passenger.
- 19 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 20 Q. Understood, Ms. Sanchez. So,
- 21 just, I want to go back to that video. I want to go back
- 22 to that video. I do not see Mr. Nunez in that video.
- A. No, because I was so nervous.
- Q. Why is that?
- 25 A. I was so nervous. I don't want that he sees

57

1 filming because maybe he's going to throw my telephone or

- 2 something.
- 3 Q. Okay. So, where was Mr. Nunez in that video?
- 4 A. Yeah, he was there in the -- near the bathroom,
- 5 the restroom.
- 6 Q. He was near the -- but we don't see him in that
- 7 actual video?
- 8 A. No, no.
- 9 Q. Why is that?
- 10 A. Because in that moment, he was there, but I
- 11 don't put -- I don't film his face because I was afraid
- 12 that he was going to, yeah, to hit me.
- 13 Q. Understood. And so, what happened after you
- 14 were behind the beverage cart? What happened next? What
- 15 next did you do?
- 16 A. And then after that, after Rosario talked with
- 17 the captain, he's still there, and I said, go to your
- 18 seat, go to your seat. And then he went to his seat.
- 19 Then we talked with the purser and the purser said, I
- 20 went -- then we start the beverage cart and all the
- 21 things. And I went to the front of the airplane to tell
- 22 to the purser that the problem with this passenger, no.
- 23 Q. So, I'm sorry. Ms. Sanchez, you just mentioned
- 24 that you started the beverage cart?
- 25 A. No. I store all.

1 JUDGE RAWALD: She stored it? You put it away?

- 2 MS. VILLIERS: Oh, you put it away.
- 3 MS. SANCHEZ: Because the captain said that we
- 4 were -- we are going to return to Barranquilla.
- 5 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Understood. And why did the captain say that
- 7 you were going to return to Barranquilla?
- 8 A. Because it was a dangerous situation with the
- 9 passenger.
- 10 Q. And, I'm sorry, but why was it a dangerous
- 11 situation with the passenger?
- 12 A. Because if you see that he shout us out, he
- insult us, he told us, you are perras. A lot of bad
- 14 words.
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. Can you say that word in English?
- 16 Do you know that word in English?
- 17 A. Bitches.
- 18 Q. And Ms. Sanchez, during this, during your
- 19 interaction with the Respondent, Mr. Nunez, were you able
- 20 to conduct your flight attendant duties?
- A. No, no. We don't, we don't do any beverage
- 22 service in that moment because we have to close
- 23 everything because we were going to return.
- Q. Because you were going to, I'm sorry, to return
- 25 where?

Trial John Nunez

- 1 A. To Barranquilla.
- 2 Q. Understood. And Ms. Sanchez --
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, do you have a question?
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: No.
- 5 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 6 Q. Okay. And Ms. Sanchez, what happened when you
- 7 returned to Barranguilla?
- 8 A. Before we returned, when I went to forward to
- 9 the purser, all the passengers that were sitting near
- 10 him, all the passengers want to change their seats. They
- 11 don't want to sit near him. So, in that moment before we
- 12 return, I have to change all the passengers near him to
- 13 the back, because at the back there were no passengers.
- 14 It was not full at the back.
- 15 Q. And why did these passengers want to change
- 16 their seats?
- 17 A. Because all the passengers were afraid of him.
- 18 All the passengers. Because he -- when he showed us on the
- 19 airplane, he heard that -- I think, because I saw, when I
- 20 saw in front, I saw the passengers, like, watching all
- 21 terrified because of that, that shout of him, no, to us.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ma'am, when you said, all of the
- 23 passengers, how many passengers did you reseat at that
- 24 moment in time?
- 25 MS. SANCHEZ: Like, like six, seven passengers.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. SANCHEZ: That was around him, no. Around him
- 3 still.
- 4 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 5 Q. And Ms. Sanchez, after you reseated these
- 6 passengers, what did you do next?
- 7 A. I went to talk to the purser about all of this
- 8 problem that happened at the back. So, I don't -- so she
- 9 can talk to the passenger to -- I don't know, to tell
- 10 something to him, no.
- 11 Q. And what happened then?
- 12 A. Well, then I go to my seat to close all my
- 13 galley because we were going to land to Barranquilla.
- 14 Q. And once you landed in Barranquilla, what, if
- 15 anything, happened?
- 16 A. I'm sorry? I don't understand.
- Q. What happened when you landed in Barranquilla?
- 18 A. Ah, what happened? Yeah, we landed to
- 19 Barranquilla and the purser or the -- I don't -- I am not
- 20 sure, or the captain told that nobody can stand up. They
- 21 only are going to enter an agent, and so everybody was
- 22 seated. And then an agent -- the ground agent come to the
- 23 airplane, talk with the Passenger Nunez. And then I saw,
- 24 and I also make a video. And then he go, and when he go
- 25 with the agent, every passenger claps because they don't

- 1 want to be during the flight.
- MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, if I may turn your
- 3 attention to exhibit C-15.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Would you like Ms. Booker to
- 5 play it?
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Booker, if you could
- 8 please play C-15.
- 9 (Exhibit C-15 video was played.)
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, I'm not hearing the
- 11 sound on this.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: That's okay. We don't need to have
- 13 any audio, that's fine. So, let the record reflect the
- 14 video was shown of C-15. So, there was no audio but we
- 15 have seen the video.
- 16 So, would you like to have the witness identify
- 17 this video?
- 18 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. The audio is
- 19 particularly important in this video, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: That's fine, I can hear the audio,
- 21 I don't need to --
- 22 MS. VILLIERS: Oh, you can hear the audio?
- JUDGE RAWALD: I can hear the audio when I listen
- 24 to the exhibit. I've reviewed it, I will listen to it
- 25 again. I don't need her -- are you having her identify

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 it? Is that why you're having her look at it?
- MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 4 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 5 Q. Ms. Sanchez, do you recognize this video?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Okay. And what is this a video of?
- 8 A. Sorry?
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. How do you recognize this video?
- 10 A. Because I taped it.
- 11 Q. Okay. And when did you tape this video?
- 12 A. When we arrived, when we returned to
- 13 Barranquilla.
- 14 Q. And if you could just let us know for the
- 15 record, what is this video of? What is it showing?
- 16 A. When the agent came inside and took this
- 17 passenger outside the airplane because he was a threat,
- 18 no.
- MS. VILLIERS: Understood. Your Honor, I have no
- 20 further questions for Ms. Sanchez.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Sanchez, before the
- 22 hearing, Mr. Nunez gave me questions that he would like
- 23 to have asked of you. I will go through each one. I
- 24 will offer some rulings about some of them as I go
- 25 through them, and then I will let you know which ones I

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 need you to answer. Okay, ma'am?
- 2 MS. SANCHEZ: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 6 Q. Okay. You mentioned during your examination
- 7 that you were aware of the fact that Mr. Nunez was
- 8 non-revenue at some point in the flight; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. What significance did any of that have
- 11 to you? The fact that he was a non-revenue passenger,
- 12 why did that matter to you?
- 13 A. Ah, because when Rosario Quiroz told me that,
- 14 it's supposed to when you have the tickets, privileged
- 15 tickets, no, you have to be nice. Because the person
- 16 that gave you the -- one of the workers of American
- 17 Airlines gave him, he was going to have troubles with his
- 18 tickets.
- 19 Q. So, you are saying the person who has a
- 20 non-revenue status needs to be a polite passenger because
- 21 otherwise it will impact the person who gave them that
- 22 status? Is that what you're saying, ma'am?
- 23 A. Yeah. Because if not, he's going to have
- 24 problems. Maybe they are going to take out that ticket
- 25 to that person, no. Or maybe he's going to lose his

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 work, no.
- Q. Did you in any way mention to Mr. Nunez that
- 3 you were aware of his status as a non-revenue passenger?
- 4 A. Yes. In that moment, I told him, you have to
- 5 be nice. Why do you do that?
- 6 Q. Did you say to him, you are a non-revenue
- 7 passenger, or you are a D3, the code for a non-revenue
- 8 passenger, when you were saying those words to him?
- 9 A. I told him, in that moment that I told him, you
- 10 are using a D3 pass and you have to be nice because the
- 11 person that gave you this ticket is going to have
- 12 problems, no. So, he can come, no.
- 13 Q. Okay. And did the fact that he was a
- 14 non-revenue passenger affect the way you were treating
- 15 him at all?
- 16 A. No, no, no, no. I told you that so he can come
- 17 and think of the other person, no. But he was not
- 18 interested, I think.
- 19 Q. In regard to the second question, I will ask
- 20 part of it as being relevant and part of it as not.
- 21 Would you have treated a paying customer the same
- 22 way that you treated Mr. Nunez, knowing that he was a
- 23 non-revenue passenger?
- A. No. I treat all my passengers the same, the
- 25 same.

1 Q. Okay. Ma'am, there is at least some indication

- 2 in the record that you may have believed that Mr. Nunez
- 3 was intoxicated in an interaction with you.
- 4 What behavior, if any, did you alleged to believe
- 5 that Mr. Nunez may have been intoxicated?
- A. Because he shouts a lot, then he smells
- 7 alcohol, he smells a lot.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. And I don't know, he was so irritated, like his
- 10 eyes were like -- he was totally different from yesterday
- 11 or today I saw Mr. Nunez. He looks totally different.
- 12 He was, like, crazy. I don't know. He looks so
- 13 different today or yesterday I saw him.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. This is Mr. Nunez's fourth
- 15 question. If you were told that he passed a breathalyzer
- 16 test once the plane got on the ground, would it affect
- 17 your opinion of how he was acting on the aircraft, ma'am?
- 18 A. Please, can you repeat, because I don't
- 19 understand.
- 20 Q. Sure. If you were told that upon arriving in
- 21 Barranquilla that he was given a breathalyzer test by the
- 22 officers, he was tested for alcohol, and he was found to
- 23 not be drunk, would that affect your opinion of his
- 24 behavior at all?
- 25 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, if I may object?

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 JUDGE RAWALD: You may.
- 2 MS. VILLIERS: Thank you. Just, just --
- 3 JUDGE RAWALD: The objection is overruled.
- 4 MS. VILLIERS: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Sanchez?
- 6 MS. SANCHEZ: Because I don't understand so much.
- 7 If in that moment --
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: If I told you -- if I told you that
- 9 upon arriving in Colombia, Mr. Nunez received a breathalyzer
- 10 test to check him for whether he was intoxicated, and it was
- 11 found that he was not intoxicated, would that affect your
- 12 opinion of his behavior on the aircraft?
- 13 A. No, no, no.
- 14 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Okay. The fifth question is argumentative, it
- 17 is not consistent with what was testified to, but there
- 18 is part of it that is allowable.
- 19 What reason do you have for thinking that the
- 20 Respondent was an unruly or disruptive passenger? What
- 21 exactly was he doing that you felt was inappropriate or
- 22 unsafe?
- 23 A. That, no, that -- too fast you talk. Sorry,
- 24 not a word.
- 25 Q. That's okay. What exactly did Mr. Nunez do

67

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 that you believed was unsafe or unruly? What was his
- 2 behavior?
- A. Ah. When he shout to us and like this, he
- 4 threaten us, he make, he insult us. He -- he was so close
- 5 to us.
- 6 Q. Okay. So, his physical closeness, his
- 7 language, and his volume of his voice were the things
- 8 that were disruptive?
- 9 A. Yeah. I was so afraid and the passengers also.
- 10 Q. Okay.
- 11 A. But no, no passenger, no male passenger help
- 12 us, nobody, I don't know. Because he was so rude, all
- 13 the passengers were afraid.
- 14 O. Okay. Now the sixth question Mr. Nunez has
- isn't quite what you testified to, so I will rephrase it
- 16 to reflect what was testified.
- 17 So, you explain that you told him there was no
- 18 alcoholic on the plane. Did you offer him a
- 19 non-alcoholic drink?
- 20 A. I offered him.
- 21 Q. So, he -- you explained that he said, I would
- 22 like an alcoholic drink. And, he -- you told him a lie
- 23 that there was no alcohol on the plane.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 O. Correct?

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you offer him a non-alcoholic drink at that
- 3 point?
- A. No. Because he was very rude in that moment.
- 5 He was shouting, and I said, no, I am not going to give
- 6 you any alcohol.
- 7 Q. Okay. But what did you offer him? Did you
- 8 offer him a non-alcoholic drink?
- 9 A. Yeah, maybe water, no. Water, I have a lot in
- 10 the cart in that moment.
- 11 Q. Okay. The seventh question, the second half is
- 12 argumentative, but the first half I will allow.
- Why did you choose to involve the captain and
- then of course allow the plane to turn around?
- 15 A. Because -- because it's a threat, no. And we
- 16 have safety, safety things that we have to call the
- 17 captain, no. And I think that that was threatening, no.
- 18 Q. Okay. You explained that you received -- this
- 19 is regarding the eighth question which I am rephrasing.
- 20 You explained that you received de-escalation
- 21 training as part of your training in this, to become a
- 22 flight attendant. You've been a flight attendant for 34
- 23 years, that's a long time.
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Were there other tools you could have used to

John Nunez

1 resolve the situation rather than having the plane

- 2 diverted to deal with this passenger?
- 3 A. No, there is no other. There is no other.
- 4 Q. Okay. Why is that, ma'am?
- 5 A. Why?
- Q. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 A. Because we have in all the years that I go,
- 8 that, for example when a passenger maybe shouts -- but
- 9 not so much like him, no. First we gave him a paper that
- 10 telling that he has to be calm or et cetera. But, yeah,
- 11 he was like another level, no. It was -- he looks, like,
- 12 dangerous.
- Q. Okay. He looked dangerous, ma'am? Is that
- 14 what you said, dangerous?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. The ninth question for the Respondent is
- 17 regarding the pandemic.
- Were you aware of any heightened tension or stress
- 19 among the crew due to the pandemic, the COVID pandemic,
- 20 ma'am?
- 21 A. Can you repeat that please?
- 22 Q. Sure. Were you or the crew experiencing any
- 23 additional stress on the flight due to the pandemic at
- 24 the time, the COVID pandemic?
- 25 A. Ah. When he was, because he was so close to

- 1 Rosario, not too close because of the pandemic.
- Q. Okay. So, you're saying -- the question is
- 3 generally, ma'am, is were you more stressed out or under
- 4 more stress than normal because we were in that time
- 5 because of the pandemic?
- 6 A. No. Zero stress, no.
- Q. Okay.
- 8 A. I was not using anything. No, zero stress.
- 9 Q. Okay. And you also mentioned the flight had
- 10 been delayed in arriving to Barranquilla, so was being --
- 11 was at that point delayed.
- 12 Was there any additional stress on you or the
- other crew members because of the delay in the flight?
- 14 A. No, no, no. Usually, we have always delays
- 15 most of the time. In Miami now, always. First,
- 16 mechanical, or then because of the hurricane or the
- 17 weather, no.
- 18 Q. The tenth question of the Respondent, do you
- 19 believe the fact the flight was delayed or the fact that
- 20 there was a pandemic affected the way that you responded
- 21 in this situation?
- 22 A. No. Neither of them. No, neither of them.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The thirteenth question is
- 24 not relevant. The fourteenth question is argumentative.
- 25 The fifteenth question calls for speculation, I will not

1 allow it. The sixteenth question is speculation and not

- 2 relevant.
- 3 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 4 Q. The seventeenth question, you described that
- 5 you've been in a flight for 34 years. How often do you
- 6 deal with situations involving passengers like this?
- 7 A. Well, this is the first time for me that I have
- 8 a passenger like that.
- 9 Q. Okay. The eighteenth question, have you
- 10 received training on how to handle conflicts with
- 11 passengers, including those who are non-revenue?
- 12 A. Yeah. All the passengers, for me, it's
- 13 supposed to be the same for every passenger, no? When I
- 14 go, when I use my passes, they treat me like I am
- another, the same as others, no, as other passengers.
- 16 Q. Okay. The nineteenth question is, do you
- 17 believe your personal view about -- or assumptions about
- 18 non-revenue passengers or frequent flyers affected the
- 19 way you handled the situation with Mr. Nunez?
- 20 A. No, no, no. I am to every passenger the same.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The twentith question is
- 22 argumentative. I'm not going to allow it. The
- 23 twenty-first has already been asked and answered. The
- 24 twenty-second as well. The twenty-third is
- 25 argumentative. The twenty-fourth, I will rephrase

Trial John Nunez

1 because that's the way it's phrased.

- 2 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 3 Q. What was the reason for turning the plane
- 4 around exactly?
- 5 A. Sorry, what was the reason of what?
- 6 Q. To turn the plane around back to Colombia.
- 7 What was the exact reason for turning the plane around?
- 8 A. To -- so he could go outside the airplane.
- 9 Q. To get Mr. Nunez off the aircraft?
- 10 A. Yeah. To return because of that. Because he
- 11 was dangerous to go on the flight to Miami with him.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Sanchez,
- 13 we're going to put you back in the witness room for a moment
- 14 while I talk to the attorneys, and then I'll come back to
- 15 you, okay?
- MS. SANCHEZ: Yeah, perfect.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Booker?
- Okay. Mr. Nunez, do you have any other additional
- 19 cross-examination questions you wish to be considered to
- 20 be asked?
- 21 MR. NUNEZ: I had explained to them -- and I'll
- 22 save it for my testimony. But I explained my -- they never
- 23 -- she never mentioned, you know, the -- I mentioned my
- 24 medical condition. I mentioned the status. I told her
- 25 that we were on the same team. I was trying to deflect.

- 1 But no further questions, I'll save it for my testimony.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I can ask her if she recalls you
- 3 mentioning your medical situation to her if you want me
- 4 to, sir.
- 5 MR. NUNEZ: Please. Because I tried to say -- I
- 6 tried to say that to her. But -- and then, you know, when
- 7 she -- when she mentioned, she put her hands in my face.
- 8 And, you know, she doesn't make mention of her striking me
- 9 on the nose.
- 10 And lastly, just that I tried to explain to them,
- 11 my father -- she said, some passenger. I said, my father
- 12 worked for this company for 33 years, and that -- and that
- 13 I understand what's going on here. I said, we are on the
- 14 same team, which I said in my testimony to Mr. Moon. No
- 15 further questions.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, the things I will ask
- 17 about will be whether she recalls her palm actually
- 18 coming physically in contact with your face.
- 19 MR. NUNEZ: Yes, sir.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: Whether she recalls you mentioning
- 21 your medical situation. And whether she recalls you
- 22 mentioning your family relationship, and the fact that
- 23 you, what does not -- which was a lot of reason for your
- 24 non-revenue status. Okay?
- MR. NUNEZ: And -- and the fact that I was a

10/8/2024

John Nunez

1 platinum -- you know, that I wasn't -- I wasn't demanding

- 2 anything. I was -- I just reminded her of my status. And
- 3 according to the -- according to the policy of American
- 4 Airlines, which I had as evidence but it wasn't allowed, it
- 5 would have -- it would have noted that certain policies
- 6 regarding de-escalation was there.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: She already explained that she --
- 8 you mentioned that you were a gold or something, she
- 9 didn't know what it was, and that -- and that she didn't
- 10 think that, and she didn't see that that was important.
- 11 So, I'm not going to get anywhere by asking about that,
- 12 about your status, but she's already explained that to
- 13 me, what she remembers about it. So, I think it's
- 14 covered. Yes, sir?
- MR. NUNEZ: One last thing, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes.
- 17 MR. NUNEZ: They have in their exhibit, I can't
- 18 remember -- I'll refer to it, but there's a one, she went
- 19 straight to D3. But she said she didn't know, she didn't
- 20 know what I was, she didn't know who I was exactly, but
- 21 in -- in Ms. Villiers' evidence is, is the PalmPilot
- 22 that the first thing, the first thing that's noted is my --
- 23 is my flight, my privilege status, and my D3 status is
- 24 the -- was the last thing in evidence. I mean, the last
- 25 thing on that -- on that one.

- 1 So, they ignored that I was a member of the
- 2 Admirals Club. And that's all I was trying to point
- 3 out, that I was a member of the Admirals Club, that I
- 4 was a member of -- I was a platinum passenger. I said my
- 5 father worked for the company for 33 years, that we're on
- 6 the same team. I tried to explain, and she said, and
- 7 again, that doesn't mean S-H-I-T here. And that's where
- 8 -- and plus, my condition that I had to go to the
- 9 bathroom. And which I'll save that part for my
- 10 testimony.
- 11 JUDGE RAWALD: Understood, sir. So, she's already
- 12 covered the fact that she was aware of your status but
- 13 she didn't understand what that meant. I can't get much
- 14 more out of her on that so I'm not going to beat that any
- 15 further. So, but I will ask those other questions I
- 16 mentioned.
- So, Ms. Villiers, any objection to my considering
- 18 those questions before I bring them up, those topics?
- 19 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, yes, I do believe that
- 20 some of the questions are irrelevant.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 22 MS. VILLIERS: So, that's the objection. If you'd
- 23 like me to expand?
- JUDGE RAWALD: No. I will overrule the objection,
- 25 so I'm going to ask the questions.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 MS. VILLIERS: Right.
- 2 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. But it is noted for the
- 3 record, so it is --
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, they made no mention to my
- 5 medical condition at all.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Nunez, I understand. The
- 7 objection has been overruled, so I'm going to ask the
- 8 questions. It's important for them to put the objection
- 9 on the records so they can appeal it one day if I find in
- 10 $\,$ a certain way, and that way it can be articulated that I $\,$
- 11 did the wrong thing.
- 12 So, let's bring the witness back in, Ms. Booker.
- Okay. Ms. Sanchez, you have rejoined us, ma'am.
- 14 I have a few more follow-up questions for you.
- MS. SANCHEZ: Okay.
- 16 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. Do you recall Mr. Nunez explaining that he had
- 18 a medical condition when he was talking to you in the
- 19 flight?
- A. He has a medical condition?
- Q. Yes, ma'am. Did he ever say anything about
- 22 that?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Okay. The witness is shaking her head no and
- 25 said no.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- Okay. Do you -- when you mentioned putting
- 2 your palms up towards the witness, did you come into
- 3 physical contact with him at all? Did you touch his
- 4 face?
- 5 A. No, never.
- 6 Q. Okay. The witness said -- okay. And finally --
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Excuse me?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, Ms. Villiers?
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: Excuse me, Your Honor. I'm sorry.
- 10 Ms. Habib, I think she got kicked off the Zoom and she
- 11 just re-entered, so I just wanted to -- so, she's
- 12 actually in this room now, to move her --
- 13 JUDGE RAWALD: Yeah. It's confusing because it's
- 14 Karen Habib, then it's Ms. Habib, and so it's -- so,
- 15 we'll keep her in the witness waiting room.
- 16 So, Ms. Booker, if you could put Karen Habib back
- in here?
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: I'm not sure if it's her or Rebecca
- 20 Habib. So, thank you.
- 21 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. Ms. Sanchez, did Mr. Nunez mention to you that
- 23 his non-revenue status was due to a family member who had
- 24 been an employee of American Airlines?
- 25 A. No, he never explained me that. He was, like,

- 1 ashamed of when I told him. He was, like -- he was that,
- 2 we don't know that, like, how do we know, no. No, he was
- 3 not.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Ms. Villiers, any follow-up questions? Any
- 6 redirect based upon cross-examination?
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. I just have two
- 8 questions.
- 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 11 Q. Ms. Sanchez, did Mr. Nunez mention to you that
- 12 he had any sort of medical condition or disability?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. And also, just my final question on redirect,
- 15 how long were you in flight before returning to
- 16 Barranguilla?
- 17 A. I think the total, like, takeoff, like, one
- 18 hour. I don't remember exactly. More or less.
- 19 MS. VILLIERS: Okay. Thank you. No further
- 20 questions, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. With that, Ms. Sanchez, I'm
- 22 going to let her go back to her daily business.
- Ms. Villiers, any questions or concerns?
- Okay. So, Ms. Sanchez, you can go ahead and log
- off of Zoom, and thank you for your time and testimony.

2.4

25

10/8/2024 John Nunez 1 Okay. 2 MS. SANCHEZ: I'm finished? 3 JUDGE RAWALD: You are done. MS. SANCHEZ: Oh, yeah. Perfect. 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Booker, if you could please 5 help her get off of Zoom. Thank you. 6 7 MS. SANCHEZ: Yeah. 8 JUDGE RAWALD: And then, Ms. Villiers, Mr. Nunez, 9 we're going to take a break until 10:40 when we come 10 back. 11 Ms. Villiers, your next witness is going to be Ms. 12 Habib? MS. VILLIERS: It will be, Your Honor. 13 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, please work with Ms. 14 15 Booker to make sure she's online, that she's working, everything's good, and we'll start at 10:40 with her 16 17 promptly. Thank you. 18 (A recess was taken at 10:35 a.m. and 19 proceedings resumed at 10:40 a.m.) JUDGE RAWALD: We're back on the record, Ms. Dunn. 20 21 Thank you. 22 Ms. Villiers, are you prepared for your next 23 witness?

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

Complainant would like to call Ms. Rebecca Habib.

MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. At this time the

1 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Bring in Ms. Habib from the

- 2 witness waiting room, please, Ms. Booker.
- 3 Ms. Habib -- so, there's a Karen Habib. It's both
- 4 muted and not on audio. So, okay, if you could please
- 5 unmute as well. Thank you.
- 6 Okay. Ms. Habib, if you could please raise your
- 7 right hand.
- 8 MS. R. HABIB: Rebecca Habib.
- 9 MS. K. HABIB: Raise your right hand.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: I'm sorry, is there someone else
- 11 present who's giving her advice?
- MS. R. HABIB: Your Honor, I'm a little hard of
- 13 hearing. Can you speak slowly and loud, please?
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. I need to know, is there
- 15 someone else present in the room with you before we
- 16 begin?
- MS. R. HABIB: Yes.
- MS. K. HABIB: I'm her daughter. She's using my
- 19 Zoom account.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. If you're going to be
- 21 talking to her, I need to have you both on the video as
- 22 well, Ms. -- ma'am.
- MS. K. HABIB: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Habib, if you could
- 25 please raise your right hand.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 Whereupon,
- 2 REBECCA HABIB
- 3 A witness, called for examination, having first been
- 4 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Villiers?
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 9 Q. Good morning, Ms. Habib.
- 10 A. Good morning.
- 11 Q. Ms. Habib, can you please state your full name
- 12 for the record?
- 13 A. Rebecca Habib.
- 14 Q. Thank you. Ms. Habib, who is your current
- 15 employer?
- 16 A. I'm retired.
- 17 Q. Ms. Habib, are you familiar with American
- 18 Airlines Flight 1124 that occurred on June 3rd of 2022?
- 19 A. Yes, I remember it well.
- 20 Q. And how is it that you remember it well?
- 21 A. Okay. It all started in the VIP lounge. And
- 22 since it was an international flight, then we had to be
- 23 there like two and a half hours before. And this Mr.
- 24 Nunez was in the lounge, and he was very -- all the time
- 25 he was next to the bar and he was drinking. I don't know

1 if he was an alcoholic or not. He appeared to be

- 2 drinking. And he was very, very loud, and he was
- 3 disturbing the peace for everyone there.
- 4 Q. And did you see Mr. Nunez after you saw him at
- 5 that time?
- 6 A. Say that again, Kimberly?
- 7 Q. Did you see Mr. Nunez after that time?
- 8 A. Yes. First of all, let me finish. When we
- 9 were in the VIP, I went to the front desk and I asked the
- 10 girl there, can you please call security so that they can
- 11 ask him to leave because he's so loud and he's so rude.
- 12 And they asked me, no, we cannot do that because he
- 13 becomes very aggressive, and this is not the last -- the
- 14 only time that he's done this. He's done it before.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, just so you
- 16 understand, I'm not going to consider that evidence for
- 17 anything. It's --
- 18 MR. NUNEZ: Sir, Your Honor --
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: In nature.
- MR. NUNEZ: that's hearsay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: It's not hearsay, Mr. Nunez. It's
- 22 actually character evidence not admissible in this
- 23 proceeding. So, I'm not going to allow it.
- Ms. Villiers, if you could please continue.
- MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. And so, Ms. Habib, after that time, when next
- 3 did you see Mr. Nunez?
- 4 A. Okay. So, they let him in the flight, and he
- 5 was sitting in the seat behind mine.
- 6 Q. Okay. And what, if any, interaction did you
- 7 have with Mr. Nunez?
- 8 A. Well, actually, he was kicking and moving my
- 9 chair, and it was very disturbing. It was -- you know, I
- 10 was having a hard time.
- 11 Q. And so what did you do when he was, you said,
- 12 kicking and moving your chair?
- 13 A. I wouldn't dare do anything because I thought
- 14 he might become aggressive with me, and I was traveling by
- 15 myself, so I didn't do anything.
- 16 Q. And Ms. Habib, why did you think he was going
- 17 to become aggressive with you?
- 18 A. He appeared to be drunk, first of all. He was
- 19 very, very loud, and he was very rude, and he was agitated.
- 20 So, I didn't -- you know, I was scared. And I'm sure
- 21 everybody else also was scared because it was -- everybody
- 22 was very, very quiet. Nobody dared to get up to go to
- 23 the bathroom or anything. It was like a dead silence
- 24 there.
- 25 Q. And so, Ms. Habib, I have a few follow-up

1 questions. You said he was very loud. Who was he

- 2 speaking to?
- 3 A. Say that again, Kimberly?
- 4 Q. You said he was very loud. Who was he speaking
- 5 to?
- 6 A. Oh, first of all, he was, you know, very loud
- 7 speaking in the plane. And then he went back to the back
- 8 of the plane with the stewardess, and I could hear him.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry. And you could hear him speaking to
- 10 who?
- 11 A. To the stewardess.
- 12 Q. What was he saying to the stewardess?
- 13 A. All right, since I'm hard of hearing, I
- 14 couldn't hear the words he was saying, but I know that he
- 15 was agitated, and he was very, very loud.
- 16 Q. And how do you know that he was agitated? What
- 17 was he doing that made you think he was agitated?
- 18 A. Just the way he spoke. You know, like he was
- 19 angry.
- 20 O. Okay. And so, you said you heard him speaking
- 21 while he was at the back of the aircraft. Did you
- 22 observe anything else on the flight?
- 23 A. All right, when I noticed it, I remember that
- 24 there was going to be a hurricane in Miami. And when the
- 25 plane turned around, everybody figured maybe the

1 hurricane landed already and maybe we have to go back to --

- 2 you know, to Barranquilla. And so, I was very surprised
- 3 to see that the flight turned around. That's what I
- 4 noticed different that, you know, in that flight.
- 5 Q. Okay. And what happened, what else did you see
- 6 when the flight turned around?
- 7 A. So, we landed in Barranquilla and, you know, I
- 8 was -- I didn't know if I have to be in the hotel or stay
- 9 there, but when we landed, three policemen came to the
- 10 plane, and he removed Mr. Nunez from the plane, and
- 11 everybody was very relieved and they were applauding.
- 12 Q. Okay. And do you know why they removed Mr.
- 13 Nunez from the plane?
- 14 A. It was obvious. He was just bothering
- 15 everybody, the stewardess, the people. He was loud. He
- 16 was -- you know, you didn't know what he could do.
- 17 Everybody was scared.
- 18 Q. And when you say everybody was scared, does
- 19 that include you, or no?
- 20 A. Say that again, Kimberly?
- 21 Q. You just said everybody was scared, but who was
- 22 scared?
- 23 A. Well, because as I mentioned before, nobody
- 24 went to the bathroom the whole flight. Nobody got up and
- 25 then when they removed him from the plane, you know,

1 everybody applauded, which means, you know, they were

- 2 relieved.
- 3 Q. Yes, but why were they relieved?
- 4 A. Because they were scared. He seemed to be
- 5 violent, you know what I mean? He was kind of violent.
- 6 He appeared to be drunk even if he wasn't. I don't know
- 7 if he was or he wasn't, but he appeared to be.
- 8 Q. You use the word violent. What made you think
- 9 that he was violent?
- 10 A. The way he was talking with his hands and, you
- 11 know, yelling and screaming and being rude. You didn't
- 12 know what he could do, actually.
- 13 Q. And Ms. Habib, have you ever worked for
- 14 American Airlines?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you know any of the flight
- 17 attendants?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. During that flight?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. And how did you feel once Mr. Nunez was removed
- 22 from the aircraft?
- 23 A. Say that, Kimberly?
- Q. How did you feel once Mr. Nunez was removed
- 25 from the aircraft?

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 A. I was relieved. I was relieved, definitely.
- 2 Q. And can you explain why were you relieved?
- 3 A. Because I was scared the whole flight. And
- 4 then when they removed him like everybody else, you know,
- 5 I applauded. Meaning, oh, God, now we're going to have a
- 6 little peace.
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Understood. Your Honor, I have no
- 8 further questions for Ms. Habib.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Habib, before the trial,
- 10 Mr. Nunez gave me a list of questions he would like to
- 11 ask of you. I'm going to go through each question and
- 12 let you know when you should answer them. Some of them
- 13 I'm going to be not allowing, so if I say don't answer
- 14 it, don't worry about answering it.
- 15 So, the first question from Mr. Nunez is not
- 16 relevant and also could be viewed as intimidating, so I'm
- 17 not going to allow it. The second question is not
- 18 relevant as phrased, but I'm going to rephrase it.
- 19 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 20 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 21 Q. Essentially, you in your written statement said
- 22 that Mr. Nunez's behavior was the worst you've ever seen.
- 23 Could you tell me why it was the worst you've ever seen?
- 24 A. The worst I have seen? Mr. Nunez? I don't
- 25 understand the question.

- 1 Q. You described Mr. Nunez's behavior as being the
- 2 worst you've ever seen when you wrote your statement in
- 3 this case. Do you still believe it to be the worst
- 4 you've ever seen?
- 5 A. Yes, it was.
- 6 Q. Why?
- 7 A. I've never seen anything like that. I've never
- 8 been in a flight with anybody with that -- how do you say
- 9 that?
- MS. K. HABIB: Behavior.
- 11 A. Behavior.
- 12 Q. Okay. The third question -- yes, ma'am?
- MS. VILLIERS: Excuse me, Your Honor. I just
- 14 would like to clarify. You mentioned her statement. I
- 15 believe what you are referring to is the record of telephone
- 16 conversation with the aviation safety inspector, so I
- 17 just wanted to clarify that.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you. Questions 3, 4,
- 19 and 5 are all argumentative and don't give me any actual
- 20 relevant evidence. I'm not going to allow them.
- 21 Question 6 is calls for speculation, I'm not going to
- 22 allow that.
- 23 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. And Question 7. Do you know whether the staff
- 25 at the VIP lounge had any complaints about Mr. Nunez's

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 behavior?
- 2 A. (Spanish Response.)
- 3 MS. K. HABIB: Did the staff at the VIP lounge
- 4 have any complaints about Mr. Nunez?
- 5 MS. R. HABIB: Well, I have no idea. But since
- 6 they did -- yeah.
- JUDGE RAWALD: If you don't know, that's okay. I
- 8 just wanted to ask, did you know? If you do not, you do
- 9 not know. So, you said, I do not know. Then that's
- 10 fine.
- 11 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 12 Q. So, did you see him -- you have described Mr.
- 13 Nunez's behavior in the lounge as disruptive. Did you
- 14 see anyone from the staff at the lounge talk to him about
- 15 his behavior?
- 16 A. I wasn't looking, but I asked them if they can
- 17 remove him, and they told me this is not the first time
- 18 and they wouldn't dare ask him to leave.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. So, I guess they were not very happy either.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The ninth question calls
- 22 speculation. The tenth one is one that I will rephrase.
- 23 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. You mentioned that Mr. Nunez appeared to be
- 25 intoxicated to you. If you were told that he had passed

Trial John Nunez

ohn Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 a breathalyzer test after being removed from the
- 2 aircraft. Would that change your perception of his
- 3 behavior at all?
- 4 A. Would it change the perspective of what?
- 5 Q. Your perception of what happened if you found
- 6 that he was not, in fact, intoxicated.
- 7 A. I don't understand the question.
- 8 MS. K. HABIB: If you knew that he was not, in
- 9 fact, drunk, would it change your perception of him and what
- 10 happened?
- MS. R. HABIB: I don't think anybody should behave
- 12 like this, whether they're drunk or not is my point of
- 13 view.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. The twelfth question I'm not
- 15 going to ask. The eleventh question I'm not going to ask
- 16 because it's not relevant. The twelfth question calls
- 17 for speculation and argumentative in nature.
- 18 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 19 Q. The thirteenth question, you talked about the
- 20 flight was delayed for a number of hours, and during that
- 21 time, you had time to complain about Mr. Nunez's behavior
- 22 to the folks in the VIP lounge. Did you consider talking
- 23 to the police themselves about what you viewed to be
- 24 unsafe behavior by Mr. Nunez?
- MS. R. HABIB: (Spanish Response.)

1 MS. K. HABIB: And did you consider talking to the

- 2 police at the VIP lounge?
- 3 MS. R. HABIB: It wasn't my responsibility to do
- 4 that. There were people in the front desk, and I asked
- 5 them to do something about it and they didn't. So, you
- 6 know, I just -- you know, I didn't consider.
- 7 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 8 Q. The fourteenth question requires some
- 9 rephrasing.
- 10 Ms. Habib, when you got aboard the plane and
- 11 saw that Mr. Nunez was sitting behind you, how did that
- 12 make you feel?
- 13 A. (Spanish Response.)
- MS. K. HABIB: Behind you, how did that make you
- 15 feel?
- 16 MS. R. HABIB: Of course I was very scared, but I
- 17 couldn't ask to be changed seats because the plane was
- 18 full.
- 19 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. Did you consider asking to not be on the plane
- 21 because you felt this person was unsafe? Did you
- 22 consider saying, I don't want to fly?
- 23 MS. K. HABIB: Did you consider saying, I'm not
- 24 getting on the plane with that --
- 25 MS. R. HABIB: No. The thing is that I had to go

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

home, you know, and that was the last flight because of 1

- the hurricane so I had to be in that flight.
- JUDGE RAWALD: The fifteenth question --3
- 4 MS. R. HABIB: The captain didn't even give me
- 5 time to prepare or anything because, you know, we stayed in
- line, like, another hour or so before he took off again. 6
- 7 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 8 Okay. On the fifteenth question, I'm going to Q.
- 9 rephrase.

2

- 10 Did you consider talking to the captain or the
- crew about your concerns with Mr. Nunez's behavior in the 11
- 12 VIP lounge?
- 13 Α. This is not my responsibility to do that. And
- if I complain, you know, it was to the stewardess, but I 14
- 15 knew they were stressed. And, you know, they see an old
- lady going to the captain, you think they're going to let 16
- 17 me talk to him? No way.
- 18 Okay. So, even though you felt unsafe upon
- seeing Mr. Nunez, you didn't say anything to anyone about 19
- your concerns that you felt unsafe? 20
- 21 MS. K. HABIB: Did you say anything to anybody
- 22 that you felt unsafe?
- 23 MS. R. HABIB: No, because I saw he was back there
- 2.4 and they were having like their own argument, so there
- 25 was nobody to complain in the plane at that moment.

- 1 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. Okay. I understand. And that answers the
- 3 sixteenth question as well. Question 16, I'm going to
- 4 rephrase, because Ms. Habib has testified slightly
- 5 differently than what this question assumed.
- 6 Ma'am, you explained that you thought he appeared
- 7 intoxicated based upon his actions. Can you explain
- 8 exactly why you thought he was intoxicated? What made
- 9 you think he was drunk?
- 10 A. (Spanish response.)
- MS. K. HABIB: Why did you think he was
- 12 intoxicated?
- MS. R. HABIB: Well, he was very loud, he was
- 14 agitated, and he was a little aggressive.
- 15 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 16 Q. Okay. Do you have any sort of professional
- 17 qualification or training regarding identifying people
- 18 who are drunk, ma'am?
- 19 A. Training for what?
- 20 MS. K. HABIB: To identify people who are drunk.
- MS. R. HABIB: No, I do not.
- 22 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 23 Q. Okay. Thank you. And the eighteenth question,
- 24 you did mention that you heard the argument in the back
- of the plane between Mr. Nunez and the flight attendants,

10/8/2024

John Nunez

1 but you could not hear it well because of your hearing;

- 2 is that correct?
- 3 A. Yes. I couldn't understand the words, but I
- 4 could hear the noise and the loudness. You know, like
- 5 people talking loud.
- 6 Q. Okay. Were both Mr. Nunez and the flight
- 7 attendants yelling or only Mr. Nunez?
- 8 A. (Spanish response.)
- 9 MS. K. HABIB: Were both Mr. Nunez and the flight
- 10 attendants being loud or only Mr. Nunez?
- MS. R. HABIB: I can't recall.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Number 19 calls for
- 13 speculation.
- 14 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 15 Q. Number 20, when Mr. Nunez was taken off the
- 16 aircraft, do you recall if he was peaceful, or was he
- 17 belligerent as he left the aircraft?
- MS. K. HABIB: When they pulled him off the plane,
- 19 was he peaceful or belligerent as they took him off the
- 20 plane?
- MS. R. HABIB: Belligerent? He went peacefully,
- 22 yes. I mean, you have two policemen on each side, yes.
- 23 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. So, when he was removed from the aircraft, how
- 25 do you remember who was with him when he was removed from

- 1 the aircraft?
- 2 MS. K. HABIB: Who was with him when he was
- 3 removed from the aircraft?
- 4 MS. R. HABIB: No, just the two policemen, as I
- 5 recall.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Booker, if you could
- 7 please show the video of C-15 on the screen, please.
- 8 MS. R. HABIB: (Spanish response.)
- 9 MS. K. HABIB: They're going to show the evidence.
- 10 MS. R. HABIB: (Spanish response.)
- 11 MS. K. HABIB: They're going to start the
- 12 evidence.
- MS. R. HABIB: Okay.
- 14 (Exhibit C-15 video was played.)
- MS. K. HABIB: This is just to see if you can
- 16 recall.
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: There is no volume, ma'am. It's
- 18 just us watching this video. So, just hold on a minute.
- 19 Okay. Ms. Booker, if you could please stop the
- 20 video.
- 21 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 22 Q. Ma'am, do you recognize the person departing
- 23 the aircraft in that video?
- (No response.)
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Habib, are you frozen? It

- 1 seems like her screen may be frozen.
- 2 MS. R. HABIB: Yes --
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Habib, we're having
- 4 trouble with your connection. Okay. Now you're back.
- 5 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 6 Q. Ms. Habib, do you recognize the person in that
- 7 video who was departing the aircraft?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 MS. K. HABIB: Do you recognize the person exiting
- 10 the plane in the video?
- MS. R. HABIB: From the back? No.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: No, you do not? Okay. So, okay.
- 13 Thank you. Question 21 is not relevant. Question 22 is
- 14 irrelevant.
- 15 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. Question 23. Do you know what drinks
- 17 specifically Mr. Nunez was drinking in the VIP lounge?
- MS. K. HABIB: Do you know what drinks he was
- 19 drinking in the VIP lounge?
- MS. R. HABIB: No way. No, I don't know.
- 21 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 22 Q. Okay. And I think you said before that you
- 23 didn't know if they were alcoholic or not, is that right?
- 24 You did not know if he was drinking alcohol, correct,
- 25 ma'am?

1 MS. K. HABIB: You don't know if he was drinking

- 2 alcohol at the VIP lounge?
- 3 MS. R. HABIB: No, that's why I said he appeared
- 4 to be drunk. I don't know if he was drunk or he was
- 5 drinking.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you. Question 24 has been
- 7 asked and answered already. Question 25 is not relevant
- 8 or admissible. Okay. 26 is argumentative. 27 is
- 9 argumentative based on the evidence that we have before
- 10 us.
- 11 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 12 Q. Did you or are you aware of any media or
- 13 web-based articles regarding this incident that you were
- 14 part of that day?
- MS. K. HABIB: Do you recall any newspaper
- 16 articles or media coverage of the incident?
- MS. R. HABIB: Yeah, the next day. The next day I
- 18 was -- you know, the whole incident was in the newspaper.
- 19 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that at least one news
- 21 outlet published a retraction of their version of the
- 22 events of that day?
- 23 MS. K. HABIB: Were you aware that one publication
- 24 published a retraction? They took it back.
- 25 A. I don't know.

1 Q. Okay. Knowing now, as I've told you, that one

- 2 news outlet has retracted their version of the events,
- 3 does that affect your perception of what happened?
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, do you have an
- 5 objection?
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Yes.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Objection overruled.
- 8 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 9 Q. Ma'am, does it affect your memory knowing that
- 10 today that I've told you there was a retraction by one
- 11 news outlet?
- 12 MS. K. HABIB: Does it change your opinion of the
- incident knowing that one newspaper retracted?
- 14 MS. R. HABIB: It doesn't make any difference to
- 15 me because I'm telling you my experience with this Mr.
- 16 Nunez. It was very, very unpleasant, and I don't know
- 17 anything about the newspapers. I'm sorry.
- 18 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 19 Q. Okay. And that is fine. The 30th question is,
- 20 do you believe that your memories or your recollection of
- 21 events have been affected by anything you read in the
- 22 media afterwards?
- 23 A. What?
- MS. K. HABIB: Does your recollection of the
- 25 incident, was it affected by what you read in the media

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 afterwards?
- MS. R. HABIB: No.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And the 31st question
- 4 assumes facts that are not in evidence.
- Okay. Ma'am, Ms. Habib, we're going to put you
- 6 back in the witness waiting room while I talk to Mr. Nunez
- 7 and Ms. Villiers momentarily, and then we'll bring you back
- 8 in. Okay?
- 9 So, Ms. Booker, if you could move Ms. Habib to a
- 10 waiting room.
- Okay. Mr. Nunez, based on those questions and
- 12 what you've heard from Ms. Habib, what other areas do you
- 13 think need to be covered with her?
- MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, can we admit my
- 15 evidence, which is the forward view of that same video,
- 16 which shows --
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: It has already been admitted. Do
- 18 you want to show it to her?
- 19 MR. NUNEZ: Yeah, I want to show it to her,
- 20 because she says she doesn't know, she doesn't recognize me.
- 21 She said I was escorted by two police officers. That
- 22 gentleman is Nick Flores. My father gave him a job, just
- 23 so you know, Your Honor.
- 24 JUDGE RAWALD: That's fine. I won't consider that
- 25 fact in evidence because you are not under oath, but I

- 1 will certainly show her the video.
- MR. NUNEZ: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: So -- and so, Ms. Booker will bring
- 4 that up.
- 5 So, anything else you wish to cover on that yet?
- 6 MR. NUNEZ: Just one thing, Your Honor. And I
- 7 can't remember exactly what question it was, but about
- 8 raising the fear. You know, the police -- the Colombian
- 9 police were right outside the door. I know you alluded to
- 10 that. She had ample opportunity. She said that the
- 11 manager, they were afraid of me not to -- you know, they
- 12 didn't tell me anything.
- JUDGE RAWALD: But your point is already made,
- 14 sir, which is that if she's so afraid, she didn't tell
- 15 anyone else but that manager. And so, that's clear. And
- 16 she's agreed that she didn't tell anyone else but that
- 17 manager. And she --
- 18 MR. NUNEZ: She had ample amount of -- go ahead,
- 19 Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: And that argument has already been
- 21 made clear. I don't think there are any more questions
- 22 to be asked at this point about that issue.
- MR. NUNEZ: No, just --
- JUDGE RAWALD: Any objection to my showing her the
- 25 video and asking her if she recognizes Mr. Nunez from the

- 1 front in that video?
- MS. VILLIERS: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, okay. We'll bring that back,
- 4 and we will, of course -- so, Ms. Booker will be showing
- 5 R5(a) to the witness.
- 6 Okay. Let's bring back Ms. Habib, please.
- 7 Ms. Habib, you are back with us, and so we have
- 8 you back on the record.
- 9 Ma'am, we're going to show you one more video, and
- 10 I'm going to ask you if you recognize the people on the
- 11 video.
- 12 Ms. Booker, if you could please play R5(a) for us,
- 13 please. The video may be somewhat small, but if you can
- 14 see it.
- 15 (Exhibit R5(a) video was played.)
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, let's go ahead and take
- 17 that back down, Ms. Booker.
- 18 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 19 Q. Ma'am, having seen the video now of the person
- 20 being removed from the flight from the front, do you
- 21 recognize that person?
- MS. K. HABIB: Do you recognize him now that
- you've seen it from the front?
- 24 MS. R. HABIB: He looks -- he looks different now
- 25 that I look at him. But, of course, he seems, you know,

- 1 dressed up and everything, too.
- 2 MS. K. HABIB: From that day -- from that day, do
- 3 you recognize him?
- 4 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 5 Q. In the video, did you recognize the man who was
- 6 walking off the airplane?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Was that the man you were discussing with me
- 9 before?
- 10 MS. K. HABIB: Was this the same man you were
- 11 talking about, the guy from that day?
- MS. R. HABIB: Yes.
- 13 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 14 Q. You would agree, ma'am, there was no police
- officers there with him as he was leaving the airplane,
- 16 would you not?
- MS. K. HABIB: There was no police officers as he
- 18 was leaving the plane?
- MS. R. HABIB: No, definitely there was.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: But in the video you just saw --
- MS. R. HABIB: In the video, no. You did not see
- 22 any police officers there, but there were policemen,
- 23 definitely. They came up to the plane. There was one in
- 24 the front, one in the back. I think there were two or
- 25 three policemen.

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you, ma'am. So,
- 2 that's all the questions I have.
- 3 Ms. Villiers, any further questions for her?
- 4 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. Just a few
- 5 questions.
- 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 8 Q. Ms. Habib, in your testimony, you mentioned the
- 9 flight attendants looked stressed. What do you mean by
- 10 look stressed? What were you referring to?
- 11 A. I mean, everybody looked like they were scared,
- 12 you know? Like they didn't know what to expect from this
- 13 person.
- Q. Okay. Was there something else besides Mr.
- 15 Nunez happening on the aircraft? Why they looked, you
- 16 said, stressed, and you used the word scared?
- 17 A. Was there anything else, you mean?
- 18 Q. Yeah. Was there anything else happening, why
- 19 they looked stressed or scared?
- 20 A. No.
- JUDGE RAWALD: That's calls for speculation,
- 22 really, but I'll allow it since you already answered. Okay.
- MS. VILLIERS: Just one final question, Your
- 24 Honor, and this is just to clarify for the record.
- 25 BY MS. VILLIERS:

1 Q. Did you actually see Mr. Nunez drinking, Ms.

- 2 Habib?
- 3 A. In the VIP?
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Hold on. Drinking alcohol, is that
- 5 what you mean?
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Just, well, I mean, she wouldn't
- 7 know what's in the cup --
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Consuming a beverage in some way.
- 9 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 10 Q. Yes. Consuming a beverage. Did you see him
- 11 drinking anything?
- 12 A. I saw him drinking, yes. I didn't know what he
- 13 was drinking, though.
- MS. VILLIERS: Okay. Understood. Your Honor, no
- 15 further questions for Ms. Habib.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Then we can let Ms. Habib
- 17 go?
- 18 Ms. Villiers, you're okay with that? I'm going to
- 19 let her go.
- MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Habib, you can go ahead and log
- 22 off the Zoom. Thank you for your time and testimony.
- MS. R. HABIB: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Mr. Nunez, just because
- you're not familiar with my practice and probably haven't

- 1 read all my opinions in these cases, I do want you to
- 2 understand that when a witness says something that's
- 3 completely contradicted by evidence, I, of course
- 4 consider it as part of my credibility as a witness.
- 5 Obviously, this witness testified to the fact that
- 6 there were police officers with you. We have video
- 7 evidence showing there were no police officers with you.
- 8 I will consider that evidence. Nothing else needs to be
- 9 said about that, but you can, of course, argue that later
- 10 on. Okay.
- 11 Ms. Villiers, what is next?
- 12 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, we would like to call
- 13 FAA Aviation Inspector Ronny Moon.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And before we call Mr. Moon,
- 15 I do want to be clear on the record about what's happening
- 16 with the cross-examination questions that I did ask for
- 17 Mr. Nunez. I tried to be careful about reflecting what
- 18 ones I was asking or not asking.
- 19 For clarity, the file names themselves are going
- 20 to be Final Questions for Habib B4B.PDF and R's Final
- 21 Version of Questions for FAA.PDF. Both those files will
- 22 be sent to Ms. Villiers and the hearing docket after this
- 23 hearing today to be added to the docket as well as for
- 24 Ms. Villiers' records.
- 25 So, okay, with that being said, let's turn to Mr.

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

- 1 Moon.
- 2 Mr. Moon, if you could please raise your right
- 3 hand.
- 4 Whereupon,
- 5 RONNY MOON
- 6 A witness, called for examination, having first been
- 7 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Villiers,
- 9 your witness.
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 12 Q. Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Moon.
- 13 A. Good morning.
- 14 O. Can you state your full name for the record?
- 15 A. Yes, it's Ronny Moon.
- Q. And who is your current employer?
- 17 A. The FAA, Federal Aviation Administration.
- 18 Q. And how long have you been employed with the
- 19 FAA?
- 20 A. I've been employed 13 years.
- Q. And what is your position with the agency?
- 22 A. I'm an Aviation Safety Inspector, Cabin Safety
- 23 Inspector Specialty.
- Q. And what do you mean by Cabin Safety Inspector
- 25 Specialty?

1 A. That means that I was a flight attendant my

2 previous life career for 20 years at Southwest Airlines,

Trial

- 3 and then I retired and moved over to the FAA. So, I
- 4 focus mainly on the cabin flight attendants, but I have
- 5 been trained in the cockpit as well, and I do check rides
- 6 on flight attendants and pilots. Check ride means
- 7 observations, make sure they're played by the
- 8 regulations.
- 9 Q. Thank You. And how long have you been a cabin
- 10 safety specialty at the agency?
- 11 A. At the agency, American Airlines CMO, it's been
- 12 13 years. But for four of those years, I was on a
- 13 Special Agent detail, Unruly Passenger Task Force, for
- 14 four years. And I came back last October, but all 13
- 15 years I was a cabin safety ASI, but I was on a detail for
- 16 four years, specifically.
- 17 Q. Thank you. So, I have two questions for you.
- 18 First, what are your responsibilities as an ASI of cabin
- 19 safety -- with a cabin safety specialty?
- 20 A. There are multiple things I do. We observe
- 21 American Airlines training, make sure they're going by
- 22 all the regulations. We do check rides, like I said, on
- 23 pilots and flight attendants, from Dallas to wherever, go
- 24 back and forth, and just observe and make sure that
- 25 they're doing everything correctly. I do also hotline

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 complaints.
- Now, my detail is over with the unruly
- 3 passenger task force, so now the CMO, which is a
- 4 Certificate Management Office I work for back in
- 5 American, we now also handle unruly passenger events that
- 6 the individual specific airlines get. Also, I get
- 7 hotline complaints from Washington, which is customer
- 8 complaints. If they have issues, they write into
- 9 Washington. I have to interview, investigate, and see if
- 10 the airline did anything wrong.
- 11 Also, I do airport checks, maintenance
- 12 checks, ramp checks, meaning observing those employees as
- 13 well. We oversee American to make sure everything is done
- 14 correctly.
- 15 Q. Okay. Thank you. And you mentioned that you
- 16 said handle unruly passenger cases. What do you mean by
- 17 handle?
- 18 A. Handle cases, my front line manager will get an
- 19 air traffic control report, which is really generic.
- 20 They will assign it to someone in the office. I get that
- 21 assignment and then I begin an investigation. If they
- 22 say a passenger struck a flight attendant or whatever,
- 23 it's very generic. It doesn't get detailed, so I have to
- 24 request and investigate, get criminal reports, ask
- 25 American Airlines to be clear, documentation, all the

Trial

- information I need.
- 2 And after I get all that information, I have to
- 3 decide, does it warrant a violation of any regulation?
- 4 If no, we close it. Or if we feel there's enough
- 5 evidence that there could be a violation, we move
- 6 forward.
- 7 Q. Understood, thank you. And then you also
- 8 mentioned that you did a detail. Can you, just to
- 9 clarify the record, say where you did this detail? What
- 10 was the detail?
- 11 A. The detail was a Special Agent on the Unruly
- 12 Passenger Task Force. They were a group of 15 ASIs,
- 13 CSIs, that were specifically -- because there were so many
- 14 going on during the COVID period and so forth, we were
- 15 getting so many unruly passenger events, the CMOs, the
- 16 offices, couldn't handle them all. So, they took our
- 17 group, created, and that's all we did for four years,
- 18 just worked on these type of events.
- 19 Q. And just to clarify for the record, when you
- 20 say you worked on these type of events, what do you mean?
- 21 A. We do an enforcement report. We investigate
- 22 the situation, the event. We look for if there are
- 23 possible violations of regulations. Then we do the
- 24 reports, we document it, we create a package for AGC, the
- 25 legal team in Washington. And then we prepare all the

10/8/2024

John Nunez

1 information and then we supply it to the AGC, which is

- 2 the legal department in Washington, and they take it from
- 3 there and we step back. We notify if we believe there
- 4 could be a violation or not.
- 5 Q. Inspector Moon, you are going in and out.
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, are you able to hear
- 7 Mr. Moon?
- JUDGE RAWALD: I can hear him. He's moving around
- 9 for his microphone, although it's causing a little
- 10 difficulty. And I think Ms. Dunn is getting him fine.
- MS. VILLIERS: Okay. Perfect.
- 12 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 13 Q. Inspector Moon, have you testified in a court
- 14 proceeding before today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Okay. Have you testified as an FAA employee in
- 17 a court proceeding before today?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 19 Q. Okay. And how are you familiar with the
- 20 incident that occurred with American Airlines Flight 1124
- 21 on June 3, 2022?
- 22 A. This was an event that we received from the
- 23 Washington Operations Center. My front line received it,
- 24 and then he forwarded it on to me in an assignment.
- 25 That's how I found out about it. It was a very vague --

1 I documented in the EIR package what it looked like. But

- 2 yeah, it's just very basic information that will begin
- 3 our investigation.
- 4 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, if I may turn your
- 5 attention to Exhibit C-1.
- 6 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Would you like to have it
- 7 brought up?
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, thank you.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Booker, could you bring
- 10 up C-1, please? Okay. And C-1 is on the screen.
- 11 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 12 Q. Inspector Moon, do you recognize this document?
- 13 A. Yes, this is the initial notification from the
- 14 Washington Operations Center that my front line received.
- Q. Okay. And did you review this document?
- 16 A. Correct. I have read it.
- 17 Q. And so what did you do after you reviewed this
- 18 document?
- 19 A. After reading this document, the main thing
- 20 that stood out real quick is returning back to Colombia
- 21 due to a Level 2 disturbance. At that point, that is
- 22 enough information for us to move forward to at least
- 23 begin the investigation to get all the documentation,
- 24 evidence to confirm or deny a violation occurred.
- 25 Q. And Inspector Moon, you mentioned a Level 2

- 1 disturbance. What does that mean?
- 2 A. American Airlines has a four-level disturbance
- 3 they go by in their manuals. A Level 1 is someone who is
- 4 being disruptive, just loud and so forth. A Level 2
- 5 would be physical touching, physical contact, or
- 6 threatening behavior. Level 3 is life-threatening
- 7 behavior. And Level 4 is a breach of contact or attempt
- 8 to -- breach a cockpit. I'm sorry. Breach of cockpit.
- 9 And that's what flight attendants and pilots decide which
- 10 way they're going to go with their procedures.
- 11 Q. Okay. So, what did you do next once receiving
- 12 this notification?
- 13 A. When I received this notification, I saw enough
- 14 evidence to move forward with -- at least, off both
- 15 sides, because it's from a neutral party in this
- 16 investigation. I wanted to give both sides enough time
- 17 to get their evidence, so I sent an RFI for information
- 18 to American Regulatory Affairs to get crew reports and
- 19 witness statements and all that, as well as we began to
- 20 send out a letter of investigation to Mr. Nunez to let
- 21 him get his side of the story.
- Q. Understood.
- MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, if I may bring up for
- 24 the witness and turn to your attention Exhibit C-3.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. C-3, please. C-3 is on the

- 1 screen.
- 2 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 3 Q. Inspector Moon, do you recognize this document?
- 4 A. Yes, this is the Regulatory Affairs package
- 5 American sent me with all the information I requested.
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Okay. Your Honor, if we could, if
- 7 Ms. Booker could just kind of scroll so we can see the,
- 8 just, full document. Thank you.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, just to be clear,
- 10 I've obviously -- I've read all the documents. I'm going to
- 11 read it. I want him to go over it for me, but there's no
- 12 reason to belabor it.
- 13 MS. VILLIERS: Understood. Understood, Your
- 14 Honor.
- 15 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 17 Q. And so, what -- what did this, what next did you
- 18 do in your investigation, Mr. Moon?
- 19 A. Well, at this point, like I said, the letter of
- 20 investigation had already been sent out to Mr. Nunez. At
- 21 this point, I had reached out because there was the
- 22 witness statement, or I had a witness phone number which
- 23 was Ms. Habib. Which they didn't give me the report, so
- 24 I did call her and -- which I showed in the evidence of
- 25 the record of telephone call, is how we document that.

- 1 And then at that point -- at that point, we just went
- 2 forward.
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: Understood. And, Your Honor, if
- 4 I --
- 5 MR. MOON: Sorry. I should say, by reading the
- 6 reports, reading all this whole package, by that evidence
- 7 it looked like we had enough evidence to move forward
- 8 with a possible violation.
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: And, Your Honor, if I may turn your
- 10 attention to Exhibit C-6.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. C-6, Ms. Booker?
- 12 C-6 is now on the screen.
- 13 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Mr. Moon, do you recognize this document?
- 15 A. Yes, this is the crew report from Rosa Selly.
- 16 She was the number one flight attendant/purser/lead.
- 17 There's three different verbiages that people use. It's
- 18 just the flight attendant who works at the front of the
- 19 cabin. They deal with the pilots and do all the
- 20 paperwork. This is her report.
- Q. Okay. And did you review this report?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. And what impact did this have on your
- 24 investigation once you reviewed this report?
- 25 A. By reading this report, and while still waiting

- for Mr. Nunez's information, that there is possible
- 2 violation of 121.580, which is interference with crew
- 3 duties.
- 4 Q. And based on your investigation, why did you
- 5 think that?
- 6 A. The whole investigation or just this report?
- 7 Q. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear you clearly.
- JUDGE RAWALD: He asked the whole package or
- 9 simply this exhibit, C-6?
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Just simply this exhibit.
- 11 MR. MOON: I looked at the part where the
- 12 passenger was screaming, being disrespectful, and then when
- 13 given the -- whenever they advised him -- it's PIDR,
- 14 passenger in-flight disturbance report, when they gave it to
- 15 him that he still was combative and argumentative.
- MS. VILLIERS: Okay.
- MR. MOON: And that form, it does tell him the
- 18 consequences of the behaviors that they said he was
- 19 doing.
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: Understood. And, if Your Honor, if
- 21 we could turn to exhibit C-5.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. C-5, Ms. Booker? It's now
- 23 on the screen.
- BY MS. VILLTERS:
- Q. Mr. Moon, do you recognize this exhibit?

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

1 A. Yes, this is Captain Jaffe's report. The

- 2 captain.
- 3 Q. And, what impact, if any, did this have on your
- 4 investigation?
- 5 A. This one also gave me enough information to
- 6 move forward. The return back to the gate is a big
- 7 event. There could be possible delays, financial issues
- 8 with the company and other passengers, so the return back
- 9 to the gate is a big one for me.
- 10 Also, the threat level 2, because that's just
- 11 going up a little bit higher and now that's possible
- 12 physical threat whenever there's a threat to a flight
- 13 attendant. That is one of the key things of regulation
- 14 121-580, is threatening or interfering.
- 15 Q. So, why did you say that turning back the
- 16 aircraft you said is a big one for you? What do you mean
- 17 by that?
- 18 A. Well, that is a big inconvenience for the whole
- 19 aircraft. Passengers, flight attendants, pilots. When a
- 20 flight has to return back to gate or go back to the
- 21 original airport, like I said, that causes further
- 22 delays, fuel, gate space, missed connections. So,
- 23 there's lots of issues. It's not just the crew, the
- 24 American Airlines. Now we're interfering with
- 25 passengers' lives and connections. They might get stuck

- 1 at that airport. Like Ms. Habib said earlier, that was
- 2 the last flight. If they can't get back out, everybody's
- 3 stuck.
- 4 Q. So, you mentioned an inconvenience to
- 5 passengers. Are you aware approximately how many
- 6 passengers were on this flight?
- 7 A. On this flight, it was an A319, capacity of
- 8 128. I believe there was 112.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, I'm not worried about
- 10 the interference of passengers' life. It's interference
- 11 with the crew members' performance of duties. Let's
- 12 focus on that, okay?
- 13 MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor. My
- 14 question was just related to, kind of, the amount of the --
- 15 JUDGE RAWALD: I understand, but let's move on.
- MS. VILLIERS: Okay. Understood.
- 17 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 18 Q. And did you review any other evidence from any
- 19 of the other flight attendants?
- 20 A. Yes, I've read all the reports.
- Q. Okay. And so, based on your reading of the
- 22 reports, how did that impact your investigation?
- 23 A. It confirmed, in my opinion, that there was a
- 24 violation 121.580.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Booker, if you could please

Trial
John Nunez
10/8/2024

- 1 bring down the exhibits.
- Okay. Ms. Villiers?
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: Thank You.
- 4 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 5 Q. And by mentioning 121.580, Mr. Moon, what do
- 6 you mean?
- 7 A. The crew member duties, there are multiple.
- 8 Like, they had spoke earlier of the duties, they included
- 9 just the basic customer service is part of a flight
- 10 attendant's duty, and that was not done. So, the whole
- 11 aircraft, 112 passengers, did not get drink service.
- 12 That's part of the flight attendants' duties.
- 13 Security duties, safety duties, like they
- 14 mentioned as well, were not able to be accomplished
- 15 because they weren't coming out of the galley. They had
- 16 even put the cart up and they were staying back in the
- 17 galley, so they weren't able to walk the cabin and check
- 18 for compliance, make sure all safety features are going,
- 19 all the security aircraft and just do their normal safety
- 20 security checks through the cabin. They stayed in the
- 21 back galley because they were afraid of Mr. Nunez.
- Q. And based on your experience, besides the ones
- 23 that you listed, what are some other flight attendant
- 24 duties or what are some other duties that flight
- 25 attendants would carry out during that time?

1 A. Well, it's crew duties, so it would also

- 2 include the pilots. And that is also very important
- 3 because pilots, as we know, it's a big job flying a big
- 4 plane with a lot of people, so safety is -- they need to
- 5 be aware of at all times. When crew members stop what
- 6 they're doing, their duties, their service, and they're
- 7 calling the captain and advising the captain of what they
- 8 see or what they think, that now is taking the pilots out
- 9 of safety mode, and now they're going and looking through
- 10 their manuals and trying to figure out Level 2, as the
- 11 captain said, Captain Jaffe. He now knows that it's a
- 12 Level 2, and he follows standard operating procedures for
- 13 a Level 2. So, he's taking his focus off ahead of him,
- 14 out the windows, and he's looking down and talking to air
- 15 traffic control and getting dispatched figuring out this
- 16 return to gate. So, it takes everybody out of their
- 17 element when you have this type of event.
- 18 Q. Understood. And this flight, you know, based
- 19 on your investigation, what type of flight was it?
- 20 A. You mean commercial revenue flight?
- 21 O. Correct.
- 22 A. I didn't understand the question, but it's a
- 23 commercial 121 revenue flight.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Right. I think her question was
- 25 regarding whether it was covered by 121, sir.

- 1 MR. MOON: Yes, 121 commercial.
- 2 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 3 Q. And based on your investigation, what did the
- 4 captain do -- what did the captain do once he initiated
- 5 the level 2 threat?
- 6 A. After speaking with the crew?
- 7 Q. Sir, based on your investigation, what did the
- 8 captain do when he initiated a level 2 threat?
- 9 A. He made a call to Dispatch and Air Traffic, and
- 10 he made the decision to return back to Colombia, the
- 11 airport.
- 12 Q. Okay. And what happened when he returned back
- 13 the aircraft?
- 14 A. He requested law enforcement to meet the
- 15 aircraft to remove Mr. Nunez.
- 16 Q. And do you know if law enforcement -- based on
- 17 your investigation, do you know if law enforcement in
- 18 fact met the aircraft?
- 19 A. Yes, they did meet the aircraft.
- Q. And how do you know this?
- 21 A. By speaking to the gate agents. Yes, and just
- 22 experience of four years of doing it, they stay at the
- 23 jetway door, because American Airlines procedures, if
- 24 they're not physically combative they will come on board
- 25 and leave with management so it causes less chaos. They

- 1 will come on board, and if the passenger will not leave
- 2 the aircraft on their own will, that's when police come
- 3 on.
- 4 Q. Understood. Thank you for clarifying. And so,
- 5 based on your investigation -- sorry, Your Honor, strike
- 6 that.
- 7 Based on your investigation, what action, if
- 8 any -- any other action was taken against Mr. Nunez?
- 9 A. Mr. Nunez himself advised me in his response to
- 10 my letter of investigation that he was no longer able to
- 11 fly on American Airlines. He was on the do not fly list,
- 12 which has nothing to do with FAA, but he did advise me
- 13 that.
- Q. Okay. And based on your experience, what does
- 15 the do not fly list mean?
- 16 A. It means he can no longer make reservations and
- 17 travel on American Airlines.
- 18 Q. Okay. Perfect --
- 19 A. You're good.
- 20 Q. And based on your investigation, or at the time
- 21 of the -- well, strike that.
- 22 Are you aware -- are you aware if he's allowed
- 23 to travel on American Airlines at this time?
- JUDGE RAWALD: I'm not sure that's relevant,
- 25 Counsel. Let's focus on the issue.

1 MS. VILLIERS: Understood. Understood, Your

- 2 Honor.
- 3 I have no further questions for Inspector Moon at
- 4 this time, Your Honor.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Mr. Nunez, you have
- 6 questions for Mr. Moon?
- 7 MR. NUNEZ: Yes, I do, Your Honor. I'll do my
- 8 best -- I'll do my best not to mess it up.
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 11 Q. Inspector Moon, good morning. How are you?
- 12 A. I'm good.
- 13 Q. Okay. Okay. Inspector Moon, during your
- 14 investigation, do you recall informing me that you
- 15 contacted the general police number in Bogota, Colombia?
- 16 A. I did not recall that.
- Q. Okay. Okay. At the time, did I suggest that
- 18 the appropriate police department to contact would be the
- 19 airport police in Barranquilla where the flight landed?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. Inspector Moon, did I offer to assist by
- 22 providing you the follow-up contact information for
- 23 Barranquilla airport authorities?
- 24 A. Yes, and I transferred it to our department.
- Q. Okay. After our conversation, did you make any

1 further attempts to contact the Barranquilla police

- 2 directly?
- MS. VILLIERS: Excuse me, Your Honor, objection.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. What's the objection?
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Just relevance.
- 6 JUDGE RAWALD: I'm going to allowed it. He's
- 7 allowed to inquire into the extent of the investigation
- 8 that was performed by Mr. Moon, so I'm going to allow it.
- 9 The objection is overruled.
- 10 Mr. Moon?
- MS. VILLIERS: Understood.
- 12 MR. MOON: We have our law enforcement agency at
- 13 the FAA, they make the phone call, and we as a CMO don't
- 14 make the phone call. So, we transfer it to the law
- 15 enforcement agency program.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: So, it sounds like you didn't call
- 17 anyone, sir?
- MR. MOON: I did not, no.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Nunez?
- BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 21 Q. Inspector Moon, did you document the
- 22 conversation where I offered assistance in reaching the
- 23 Barranquilla authorities?
- 24 A. I documented our conversation.
- 25 Q. Inspector Moon, can you provide a record of any

further attempts to contact the Barranquilla police or

- 2 other relevant local authorities after our discussion?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. In hindsight, do you believe contacting the
- 5 Barranquilla police directly would have provided more
- 6 accurate and crucial evidence for this investigation?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Inspector Moon, are you aware that a
- 9 breathalyzer test was administered by the Colombian
- 10 National Police at my request and returned a negative
- 11 result for intoxication?
- MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, objection.
- 13 JUDGE RAWALD: What was the basis of your
- 14 objection, Counsel?
- 15 MS. VILLIERS: It's relevance, Your Honor.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: Exhibit C-1 says that the reason
- 17 for diverting the plane was an intoxicated passenger. So,
- 18 that's part of the issue in this case, so we're going to
- 19 go ahead and go there. The objection is overruled.
- 20 Counsel -- Mr. Moon?
- Mr. Moon, are you aware of that fact?
- 22 MR. MOON: Can you repeat the question? I'm lost,
- 23 Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Sure.
- 25 BY MR. NUNEZ:

10/8/2024

John Nunez

- 1 Q. Inspector Moon, are you aware that a
- 2 breathalyzer test was administered by the Colombian
- 3 National Police at my request and returned a negative
- 4 result for intoxication?
- 5 A. Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. Okay. Inspector Moon, did you request
- 7 documentation of the breathalyzer test results from the
- 8 local police department or the airport authorities in
- 9 Barranquilla?
- 10 A. No, it's irrelevant for the 121 violation.
- 11 Q. Inspector Moon, if you did not obtain this
- 12 document -- well, you just explained that to me. Strike
- 13 that.
- 14 Did you attempt to speak directly with the
- 15 officer who administered the breathalyzer test to verify
- 16 the results?
- 17 A. Once again, I cannot contact them per our
- 18 policy procedure.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: So, you didn't?
- MR. MOON: Well, exactly. Yes, I did not.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 23 Q. Inspector Moon, given that the breathalyzer
- 24 test is a critical piece of exculpatory evidence, do you
- 25 believe it should have been included in your report?

- 1 A. Not at all. No.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Villiers, you can ask a
- 3 follow-up question on that, obviously, when you are on
- 4 redirect. So, I see you had unmuted there. That's for
- 5 redirect.
- 6 Okay. Mr. Nunez?
- 7 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 8 Q. Inspector Moon, does the FAA Order 2150.3C
- 9 require an investigator to gather all the available
- 10 evidence before making conclusions?
- 11 A. Off the top of my head, I don't know that
- 12 regulation or its equivalent. But for violation of
- 13 121.580, intoxication has nothing to do with it. If you
- 14 weren't, I believe that you weren't. I believe you.
- 15 Q. Thank you. Inspector Moon, how did your
- 16 actions in this case align with that standard, that you
- 17 have to gather everything possible?
- 18 A. I gather pertinent information, what would help
- 19 prove evidence of a violation of 121.580. But we weren't
- 20 going after an intoxicated passenger, which is 121.575.
- 21 Q. Inspector Moon, in your opinion, does calling a
- 22 general number in Bogota without direct follow-up with
- 23 Barranquilla authorities meet the FAA standards for a
- 24 thorough investigation?
- 25 MS. VILLIERS: I'm objecting, Your Honor.

- 1 Compound question.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Well, it's getting argumentative.
- 3 I mean, it's very clear Mr. Moon did not do anything that
- 4 involved the police, and so he's made it very clear. And
- 5 Mr. Nunez's opinion is that that was not the appropriate
- 6 full duty of the investigator. Mr. Moon says he has to
- 7 get everything to the fullest extent he could, or he
- 8 should have under the requirements he has.
- 9 Anything further is going to be argumentative, so
- 10 I'm not going to allow it. The objection is sustained.
- 11 Let's move on.
- 12 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 13 Q. Inspector Moon, does FAA protocol encourage
- 14 making persistent and reasonable efforts to gather the
- 15 evidence with local authorities, especially in cases
- 16 involving serious allegations like passenger misconduct?
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Nunez, this is the same point,
- 18 which is that he's going to say he did everything he
- 19 needed to do.
- MR. NUNEZ: I'll move on.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yeah, he said he did everything he
- 22 needed to do.
- MR. NUNEZ: Understood. I'll move on.
- 24 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 25 Q. Inspector Moon, I'm almost done. The crew

10/8/2024

John Nunez

1 reported that the passengers were moved due to my

- 2 behavior. How did you verify that whether or not
- 3 passengers in 17 were moved?
- 4 A. There's no way to verify that without --
- 5 moving, if passengers' moved seat, there's no way to
- 6 verify if passengers' moved seats.
- JUDGE RAWALD: So, I guess just to be clear, Mr.
- 8 Moon, you didn't in any way try to verify who or how many
- 9 people were moved on the flight?
- MR. MOON: No, I did not.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Because there's no way for you to
- 12 do that, in your opinion?
- MR. MOON: No, there is not. No.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 16 Q. Inspector Moon, did you attempt to contact the
- 17 passengers who were seated near me to confirm if they
- 18 were moved and how they perceived the situation?
- 19 A. I spoke with Ms. Habib seated in front of you.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: So, Ms. Habib was the only
- 21 passenger you reached out to on the aircraft? Why her and
- 22 no one else?
- 23 MR. MOON: Because that was the phone number that
- 24 American gave as a witness.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you.

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 Mr. Nunez?
- 2 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 3 Q. Inspector Moon, if you did not speak to the
- 4 passengers directly, how can you be sure that the crew's
- 5 account of moving them was accurate?
- 6 A. There's no violation that we're running on that
- 7 they moved the passengers, that's what's wrong.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Right. I guess what Mr. Nunez is
- 9 getting at is, other than simply taking the flight
- 10 attendant at their word, was there anything else done on
- 11 your part to verify that passengers were, in fact, moved
- 12 because of Mr. Nunez's behavior?
- MR. MOON: No.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 15 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 16 Q. Inspector Moon, were there any responses or
- 17 statements from passengers seated near me documented?
- 18 And if so, can you provide those records?
- 19 A. No. We've received no reports from other
- 20 passengers.
- 21 Q. Understood. Inspector Moon, the report
- 22 mentions that Captain Jaffe made the decision to return
- 23 the plane based on reports from the crew. Did Captain
- 24 Jaffe personally witness any part of the alleged
- 25 incidents involving me?

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

1 A. No.

- Q. Was there any independent verification from
- 3 Captain Jaffe beyond the crew's reports that he made the
- 4 decision to divert the flight?
- 5 A. There's no way, no.
- 6 Q. Given that Captain Jaffe is no longer available
- 7 to testify, does this impact your confidence in the
- 8 decision to return to flight?
- 9 A. Does it change my opinion? I'm sorry, is that
- 10 the question?
- 11 Q. Correct. Now that he's not here to defend
- 12 himself.
- 13 A. It changes nothing.
- JUDGE RAWALD: So, just to be clear with Mr.
- 15 Nunez, the question of whether the flight was prudentially
- 16 diverted is not the question I have to answer. The
- 17 question I have to answer is whether your conduct on the
- 18 plane interfered with the crew members in the performance
- 19 of their duties. Part of the evidence of that is that
- 20 the plane was diverted because they felt what you were
- 21 doing was so severe.
- But whether, in fact, Captain Jaffe made the right
- 23 call on diverting the plane is not something I can second
- 24 guess. So, it was done, you know. That's not my
- 25 question. My question is whether or not what you did was

- 1 interfering to them, just so we're clear.
- 2 MR. NUNEZ: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Any other questions for Mr. Moon?
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: Yeah, almost done, sir.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: That's fine.
- 6 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 7 Q. Without Captain Jaffe's firsthand account, how
- 8 did you ensure that the decision to return the plane was
- 9 based upon complete and accurate information?
- 10 A. The Captain's decision? I'm kind of confused
- 11 on the question. Could you clarify?
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Right, I think this is exactly the
- 13 point I was getting at, sir, is that Mr. Moon nor myself,
- 14 neither one of us are second-quessing or looking at the
- 15 position of whether the diversion was correct.
- 16 MR. NUNEZ: Understood. I'll move on.
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: That's not what we -- that's not
- 18 our ambit.
- 19 MR. NUNEZ: I'll move on.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, the question is -- the
- 21 objection is -- yes.
- MR. NUNEZ: I'll move on.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes.
- MR. NUNEZ: Let me just, I'm just going to -- I
- 25 answered a couple, let me just, I had a bunch of

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

- 1 questions.
- JUDGE RAWALD: That's fine.
- 3 MR. NUNEZ: Just to save your -- the Court's time.
- 4 Let's see.
- 5 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 6 Q. Inspector Moon, were you aware of any local
- 7 laws or procedures in Colombia that might have
- 8 facilitated better communication with the Barranquilla
- 9 authorities?
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, objection. I'm sorry,
- 11 objection.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: It's not relevant. The objection
- 13 is sustained. Okay.
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you.
- MR. NUNEZ: Three more questions.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 17 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 18 Q. Inspector Moon, do you believe that the
- 19 investigation was conducted as thoroughly as possible,
- 20 given the challenges in reaching the local authorities
- 21 and gathering certain pieces of evidence?
- 22 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Last question. Considering the key
- 24 evidence that was not obtained, do you believe the FAA's
- 25 investigation was fully comprehensive and met its

- 1 investigatory standards?
- JUDGE RAWALD: It's argumentative. It's
- 3 argumentative. He believes he did the job he needed to
- 4 do. You believe he did not. He doesn't think that
- 5 breathalyzer evidence is important. You do think it's
- 6 important. I understand the position of the parties, so
- 7 the question is not going to be productive. Anything
- 8 further?
- 9 MR. NUNEZ: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Any redirect, Ms. Villiers?
- MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 15 Q. Mr. Moon, in your -- based on your experience,
- 16 what would you identify as key evidence in this case?
- 17 A. The aggressive behavior.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Well, that's not evidence, right.
- 19 We heard that, but what are the pieces of evidence.
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: Key evidence that you reviewed.
- 21 Correct. Key evidence that you reviewed in this
- 22 incident.
- MR. MOON: The crew reports.
- 24 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Okay. Is there anything else?

1 A. (The witness shook his head.)

- JUDGE RAWALD: You're shaking your head, no.
- 3 Okay.
- Q. Okay. Also, if -- would your -- would you have
- 5 done your investigation differently had you not been --
- 6 had the information not said that Mr. Nunez was, and I
- 7 quote, intoxicated? Would that have, would that change
- 8 the outcome of your investigation?
- 9 A. Well, no. Actually, intoxication in the past,
- in my over 300 cases I've worked -- it's the behavior.
- 11 That actually makes it worse that he wasn't intoxicated
- 12 and the behavior was as severe as the captain would
- 13 return the aircraft, which, once again, is a big event.
- 14 And if he wasn't intoxicated, that makes it worse.
- 15 Q. And would evidence that he was not intoxicated
- 16 be exculpatory evidence?
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: Or the issue of an interference
- 18 violation, ma'am.
- MS. VILLIERS: I'm sorry, Your Honor?
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: The issue of interference.
- So, Mr. Moon, the question is, the fact that he
- 22 was not intoxicated, would that have in any way been
- 23 exculpatory to him in the question of interference given
- 24 the reports you have before you?
- MR. MOON: No, not at all.

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers?
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 3 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Did you -- during your review, was there any
- 5 inconsistency in the witness statements?
- 6 A. The only thing I think contradicting that I
- 7 would -- was about the two police officers escorting him
- 8 off. But nothing else.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry, when you said two police officers,
- 10 this is from whose statement?
- 11 A. Ms. Habib.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. You said statements, so I'm thinking of all of
- 14 them.
- 15 Q. Understood. But were the flight attendants'
- 16 statements consistent, based on your investigation?
- 17 A. Correct. The flight attendants all lined up.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, just so you
- 19 understand, I'm going to perform my own credibility analysis
- 20 and his is going to be not what's important to me. I'm
- 21 going to view the statements myself and see if they're
- 22 credible.
- 23 MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor. Thank you.
- BY MS. VILLTERS:
- 25 Q. And final question. You testified that based

- 1 on your knowledge, Captain Jaffe didn't personally
- 2 witness the disruption. But based on your investigation,
- 3 how was he made aware of the disruption?
- 4 A. He was aware. He was saying there were
- 5 multiple conversations on the intercom, the headset. The
- 6 crew were describing to the pilots exactly what was going
- 7 on in the back of the airplane.
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.
- 9 Your Honor, no further questions for the witness.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Then, okay. Mr. Moon is no
- 11 longer -- that's fine.
- So, Ms. Villiers, what else do you have? You have
- 13 three exhibits that you were reserving thought on. So,
- 14 what have you decided about, what was it, C-7 and -8 and
- 15 C-13; is that correct?
- 16 MS. VILLIERS: Right. Your Honor, so no need to
- 17 admit C-7 and -8. And I believe there's no need to admit
- 18 C-13 as well.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Well, your belief is not important.
- 20 Do you want to introduce those exhibits? Because it's
- 21 your last chance.
- MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, I don't believe that we
- 23 will admit those exhibits.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Once again, it's a turn of phrase,
- 25 Ms. Villiers. So, are you seeking admission of the

- 1 document or are you not?
- MS. VILLIERS: The Complainant is not, Your Honor.
- 3 JUDGE RAWALD: Are you resting at this point or do
- 4 you have anything further for me?
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: We are resting at this point, Your
- 6 Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Good.
- 8 Okay. So, Ms. Nunez, here's where we are. So,
- 9 now it's your case-in-chief. You have your witnesses keyed
- 10 up to come here at 1 o'clock with our interpreter, so I
- 11 want to see you back on the record at 12:45 so we can go
- 12 ahead and get things started and talk about that. If you
- 13 want to testify, you'll have a chance to do that as well.
- 14 Sir, you have the evidence I've admitted. So, you
- 15 have three documents the Government has not admitted that
- 16 they offered before that you may have thought would be
- 17 admitted, Documents C-7, C-8, and C-13. You can, of
- 18 course, seek their admission if you think that you want
- 19 them to be admitted for me to consider. So, I do want to
- 20 make sure you are aware of that fact. So, if you wish
- 21 them to be admitted, let me know as well.
- MR. NUNEZ: I would like to, Your Honor.
- 23 JUDGE RAWALD: You would like me to have those
- 24 admitted as Defendant's -- as Respondent's Exhibits?
- 25 Okay. So, I will admit -- so, C-7, C-8, and C-13 will be

10/8/2024

John Nunez

1 admitted as R -- as Respondent's 8, Respondent's 9, and

- 2 Respondent's 10. So, C-7 will become Respondent's 8.
- 3 C-8 will become Respondent's 9. And C-13 will become
- 4 Respondent's 10. Clarifying in the record because Mr.
- 5 Nunez let me review those documents. We have all the
- 6 other exhibits you have.
- 7 (Respondent's Exhibits Nos. R-8, R-9, and R-10
- 8 were admitted into evidence.)
- 9 So, Mr. Nunez, we will come back, we will hear
- 10 your witnesses, and then we will hear Ms. Villiers in
- 11 rebuttal, and that will be the day. So, at this point,
- 12 we will go ahead and take a break for lunch. We will be
- 13 back at 12:45 to continue with this hearing.
- 14 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, so I will be allowed to
- 15 testify, yes?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Of course.
- 17 MR. NUNEZ: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: And would you like to testify
- 19 before your witnesses or after your witnesses?
- MR. NUNEZ: Before.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Then let's get back at 12:30
- 22 then. We will take a 40-minute lunch. That way you can
- 23 testify and then we will get back with your folks. Does
- that sound good to you?
- 25 MR. NUNEZ: Great. Thank you, Your Honor.

JUDGE RAWALD: So, we will be back here at 12:30

- 2 on the record. Thank you. Everyone just stay on your Zoom,
- 3 but go ahead and turn off your microphones and your video
- 4 for now. Thank you all.
- 5 (A recess was taken at 11:50 and proceedings
- 6 resumed at 12:30 p.m.)
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. We're back on the record in
- 8 the hearing, and when we left off, Mr. Nunez said he was
- 9 ready to testify.
- 10 So, sir, are you still ready to go?
- 11 MR. NUNEZ: Let's do it.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Please raise your right
- 13 hand.
- 14 Whereupon,
- 15 JOHN NUNEZ
- 16 A witness, called for examination, having first been
- 17 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Go ahead and testify.
- 19 RESPONDENT'S NARRATIVE TESTIMONY
- 20 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, to begin, thank you for
- 22 seeing me today. I'd like to introduce myself first. My
- 23 name is John Nunez, I'm 50 years old, I'm a retired stock
- 24 broker from Flushing, Queens.
- 25 The reason I was on that flight, I have a 7-year-

1 old son who lives -- I'm sorry, let me disable this. I had

- 2 taken a phone call before, I'm sorry.
- 4 Barranquilla, Colombia, to visit my -- monthly, to visit my
- 5 7-year-old son, Shay. So, from time to time -- first, to go
- 6 back, my father was an employee for American Airlines for
- 7 over 30 years. I understand, you know, the best gift in the
- 8 world a parent could ever give their child is the gift of
- 9 travel. I saw the world many times over, and I know that
- 10 it's a privilege; it's not a right. I know exactly.
- 11 I've flown over -- I mean, documented, you have, as a
- 12 paying revenue passenger over 120,000 miles. Those are
- 13 exactly 55 flights to Barranquilla, Colombia, to visit my
- 14 son. That is the motive for my flight.
- I suffer from BPH, which is an enlarged prostate
- 16 and kidney stones, which have all been introduced as
- 17 evidence. Now, that day -- that day I was -- my normal
- 18 routine, I go visit my son two or three days, I fly back, I
- 19 fly back, I frequent that VIP lounge while I wait for the
- 20 flight. Now, 55 flights, 55 times I've been in that
- 21 lounge, never been in an incident. I've never had any
- 22 incidents with American Airlines. I was just -- it was
- 23 just a misunderstanding between humans, that's all.
- Now, I know the facts of the case are that I
- 25 disobeyed an order, that I got up from an airplane. I'm

- 1 not here -- we've already, the witnesses have already
- 2 testified. They gave their side of the story. My side of
- 3 the story is as follows.
- 4 The incident -- the incident started simply
- 5 because this was just a misunderstanding. In all the years
- 6 that I've traveled with American Airlines, as a child and as
- 7 a revenue passenger, I've witnessed, you know, there's this
- 8 systematic behavior amongst employees, how they treat the
- 9 children or lesser classification passengers like D3. To
- 10 be honest with you, and again, this is my opinion, at
- 11 American Airlines, D3 passengers are treated like,
- 12 they're not treated correctly. Now, you know, there's
- 13 different classifications, D1, D2 and D3. I've been
- 14 treated over the years differently. As a non-revenue
- 15 passenger, I've been treated with the utmost respect in
- 16 first class. But once we move into coach or any other
- 17 class, the treatment becomes different.
- Now, in the witnesses' testimony, they mentioned
- 19 the D3 status, and that evidence that's been introduced has
- 20 shown that. But never once have they ever, have they
- 21 ever mentioned my status as a revenue passenger, which I
- 22 am treated totally different, Your Honor. I mean, if I
- 23 could recite and if I could introduce into evidence the
- 24 American Airlines policy exhibit R-1C? I'm not going to
- 25 go through the whole thing. I'm just going to point out

- 1 a couple of things, if we can pull that up.
- 2 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor. Objection.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Hold on. It's not admitted. It's
- 4 not admitted.
- 5 MR. NUNEZ: Oh, it's not admitted?
- 6 JUDGE RAWALD: Right, it wasn't admitted because
- 7 that was not produced in discovery so it hasn't been
- 8 admitted.
- 9 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 10 MR. NUNEZ: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. So, I'll go
- 11 according to American Airlines policy for a passenger of
- 12 my status -- and again, I'm not better than anyone, I'm a
- 13 peaceful person. I did not -- this was all a
- 14 misunderstanding that just went overboard when I tried to
- voice my views and say, hey, man, we're on the same team.
- 16 Why are we doing this?
- 17 And then I said, look -- by the way, I had pulled
- 18 out my Admirals Club card and my City Advantage Executive.
- 19 I was like, I understand all of what you're saying to me,
- 20 but you can't treat me like this. Number one, the
- 21 argument started when -- I can't remember which one of the
- 22 flight attendants said it, but when I was -- when she had
- 23 made it known, she had made it known that I was a D3
- 24 passenger in front of all the passengers. So, I think it
- 25 was Ms. Sanchez, the second one. She's like, you can't,

- 1 you know, you know, your status. You cannot, you cannot
- 2 come here saying these things or whatever.
- Now, my reasons for getting up. I did not --
- 4 first of all, for the record, Your Honor, I never got up. I
- 5 never got up during takeoff, okay. I got up -- I got up
- 6 simply when I had to use the bathroom. Now, if you
- 7 review the records, a person with BPH, at this moment, I
- 8 have to go to the bathroom. I just went 30 seconds ago.
- 9 You know, it's a painful process. It's very frustrating.
- 10 And I'm just -- you know, I was pleading for empathy with
- 11 the flight attendants. I was not, you know -- but they
- 12 were totally discounting me through the whole flight.
- I did not use any profanity in calling them those
- 14 words that they use. I have a mother. And if my father,
- 15 my father, may he rest in peace, had he been alive, he
- 16 would have killed me, then he would have died.
- MS. VILLIERS: Objection as to relevance.
- JUDGE RAWALD: What's the objection, Counsel? Why
- 19 isn't it relevant?
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, because this has -- you
- 21 know, the Respondent is speaking about matters that don't
- 22 impact or didn't occur on board the flight 1124.
- 23 JUDGE RAWALD: He's talking about being on the
- 24 plane, he talking about the language he used. He said he
- 25 didn't use the language, and he never would have used such

1 language because he has a mother and his father wouldn't

- 2 have allowed it. So, that's okay, that's color. So, I'm
- 3 going to allow it. The objection is overruled.
- So, Mr. Nunez, so you're explaining that you did
- 5 not use the language that the flight attendant said you
- 6 used.
- 7 MR. NUNEZ: I did not, Your Honor. I did not get
- 8 up from my seat during takeoff either. And my reasons for
- 9 getting up is because I had to go to the bathroom now.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: No, I heard you say that. I just
- 11 want to be very clear on the language because that's
- 12 where we got derailed here. So, you, did you call them
- 13 -- so, this is the word that this -- so, Ms. Sanchez said
- 14 you called them bitches? Did you use that language?
- 15 MR. NUNEZ: I did not, Your Honor. I did not.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: And Ms. Quiroz said that you said,
- 17 you can't treat me like shit. Did you say that to her?
- 18 MR. NUNEZ: I did.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: You did?
- 20 MR. NUNEZ: Why would I say that, Your Honor?
- 21 Because I was being treated unfairly.
- 22 JUDGE RAWALD: You did say those words or you did
- 23 not?
- MR. NUNEZ: I did say that.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And she said that you --

- 1 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 2 MR. NUNEZ: Okay. But I explained, but there was
- 3 -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. There was -- I said, I had tried
- 4 to explain my status. I said, you cannot treat me like
- 5 this, before that. And then I, at the same time, I had
- 6 to go to the bathroom. If, again, if anyone -- if anyone
- 7 ever suffered from this condition, you know how painful
- 8 it is. So, now I'm arguing with some overzealous,
- 9 unsympathetic flight attendants. So, we're not trying to
- 10 -- Ms. Quiroz, when I explained to her, she goes, that
- 11 doesn't mean anything here. So, my frustration came from
- 12 being discounted, so I said, had I paid, had I been a
- 13 paying passenger on this flight, I would have been
- 14 treated differently.
- Now, as far as me being a violent or aggressive
- 16 person, as you can see, I speak with my hands. I'm from
- 17 New York City. I was a stockbroker for 15 years. A lot
- 18 of times I had to signal, so it's force of habit. And I
- 19 speak like this. I'm a passionate person.
- 20 And Ms. Habib's account of my behavior, that would
- 21 have never happened. The Colombian police were right
- 22 outside the door. She could have went to them anytime if
- 23 I was any threat to be perceived. They would have simply
- 24 went outside and got the police officers, they had ample
- 25 chance. The flight was delayed three hours, no one ever

- 1 said anything. I was sitting in the VIP lounge, I was
- 2 having a cranberry juice with Tim Anderson, Sr.
- Now, the reason for the loud behavior was that I
- 4 was sitting next to Tim Anderson's father. Tim Anderson, a
- 5 couple days before, had hit a home run at the Field of
- 6 Dreams for the Yankees, so we were just celebrating that.
- 7 And my culture is very unforgiving. I am of Colombian
- 8 descent, and I can tell you that I was profiled because
- 9 of the way I was dressed. I was profiled because of my
- 10 behavior. I wasn't offending anybody, I was minding my
- 11 own business. If Ms. Habib had felt that I was a threat,
- 12 she got on the plane first. As a non-revenue passenger,
- 13 I board last.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: That's not what I want or I need to
- 15 hear. That's speculation. So, let's focus on what you
- 16 were doing. So, the fact is that when you were in the
- 17 lounge, you were being loud because you were talking
- 18 about something that was exciting to you.
- 19 MR. NUNEZ: Baseball. We're talking about
- 20 baseball, sir.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, you were being loud in
- 22 the lounge for that reason. You were drinking non-alcoholic
- 23 drinks, you're saying?
- MR. NUNEZ: Correct.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And there were police

- outside the lounge who didn't bother you at all and nobody
- 2 from the lounge did anything to intercede about your
- 3 loudness?
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: No, no. And if I were a problem where
- 5 she mentioned that I act like this so they couldn't say
- 6 anything to me, she just portrayed me to be like a mafia
- 7 don.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: That's argumentative, though. I
- 9 understand that, sir. Your testimony is the facts are --
- 10 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 11 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, I know every ticket agent,
- 12 every gate agent, every baggage, police officer, you
- 13 know, 55 times in a small airport. Flights were missed.
- 14 It's only one flight out of Barranquilla a day. So, you
- 15 know, I was inconvenienced, too. I had somewhere to be.
- 16 You know, I had a business dealing that I had to take
- 17 care of, that didn't happen. I was fully aware of what
- 18 was going on. Now, the fact that I'm loud, I didn't know
- 19 that was a crime, but that's what's being said about me.
- 20 But I hope the record shows that my medical records do
- 21 show that I do have an affliction. I'd like you to take
- 22 into consideration how that physically makes a person
- 23 feel.
- 24 My reasoning for getting up is I have to go to the
- 25 bathroom. The flight attendants did not make it easy for

1 me. Their systematic bullying worked. Again, this is my

- 2 opinion, and I know I'm stating from what I've
- 3 encountered in the 40 years that I've flown with American
- 4 Airlines. They're bullies. They treat you like garbage
- 5 because you didn't pay. I know that's my opinion, but if
- 6 I could go to -- I'd just like to recite three lines from
- 7 American Airlines' policy regarding me. "Respect and
- 8 attentiveness. Flight attendants and ground staff
- 9 usually offer a high level of service to platinum
- 10 executive passengers."
- 11 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, objection. I believe
- 12 he's reading from a document not admitted into evidence.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I'm going to allow him to tell me
- 14 what he's saying. So, whether I believe it or whether I
- 15 give it credibility will, of course, come down to what
- 16 the basis of the statement is, but the objection is
- 17 overruled.
- 18 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 19 MR. NUNEZ: That's point number one, Your Honor.
- 20 They mentioned that they may be more attentive to your
- 21 needs, addressing you by your name, and ensuring that you
- 22 have comfortable experience throughout your journey.
- 23 Number four, proactive problem solving. If any
- 24 issues arise, whether it's your seating, baggage, or
- 25 other services, staff are typically proactive in

- 1 resolving them. They are trained to ensure your
- 2 experience meets the high expectations associated with
- 3 your status.
- 4 One more, Your Honor. Quick resolution of
- 5 complaints. If you do have a complaint or an issue, it's
- 6 typically addressed quickly with focus on maintaining
- 7 your satisfaction and your loyalty. The airline often
- 8 goes the extra mile to ensure any negative experiences
- 9 are rectified.
- 10 Lastly, complementary upgrades. Complementary
- 11 upgrades to the next class of service, such as main
- 12 cabin, first or extra class on eligible flight subject to
- 13 availability. Your Honor, all I tried to do was point
- 14 out that they were treating me unfairly. They never once
- 15 mentioned that in the Complainant's exhibit of my
- 16 classification, those flight attendants ignored it. D3
- 17 was the last thing that's noted there.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: That's argument, sir. I want to
- 19 focus in on your testimony.
- 20 COURT'S EXAMINATION
- 21 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 22 Q. So, on the plane, you admit that you went to
- 23 the back. You said it was later on, though, after the
- 24 plane, not during takeoff, but later on?
- 25 A. Correct.

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

1 Q. Did you try to go to the first class cabin?

- 2 A. Because at the time, the coach cabin was being
- 3 used at that time. Now, this is not a big deal amongst,
- 4 you know, different people act differently. You know,
- 5 the thing was that flight crew, you know, they were,
- 6 again, in my opinion, they said they weren't under
- 7 stress, but they were.
- 8 They were very rude to me from the beginning.
- 9 I said, excuse me, can I have something to drink? When
- 10 Ms. Sanchez said, you know, you're D3, you can't ask for
- 11 anything. That's when I was embarrassed because she did
- 12 it in front of all the passengers. You know, that kind
- of stuff, it's not what you say, it's how you say it. We
- 14 could have got up, we could have went to the back, and we
- 15 could have spoke about it. Now, when I got up to go to
- 16 the back, to the aft part of the plane was to go to the
- 17 bathroom, which they blocked off so I couldn't go to the
- 18 bathroom.
- 19 The video that was entered as an exhibit from
- 20 the flight attendant, you can't see me, but I'm there.
- 21 I'm peacefully walking back to my seat. I'm not
- 22 disruptive -- the one with the orange juice. If you look
- 23 at that video very well, you'll see that I'm walking back
- 24 to my seat. I sat down, and I'm like, I can't believe
- 25 that this is going on.

1 JUDGE RAWALD: The Respondent is referring to, for

- 2 the record, Exhibit C-14, which is admitted in evidence.
- 3 BY JUDGE RAWALD:
- 4 Q. So, okay, so I just want to be very clear, sir,
- 5 because I want to make sure we're very clear on what
- 6 happened here.
- 7 So, you did not get up from your flight seat until
- 8 after takeoff. You did get up to go to the bathroom in
- 9 the front, you were told you could not. You tried to go
- 10 to the bathroom in the back, and you did not because you
- 11 were blocked from going to the bathroom in the back.
- 12 A. Well, the first time is because someone was -- it
- 13 was being occupied.
- 14 Q. Okay.
- 15 A. The second, I had to go up again, Your Honor.
- 16 I had to get up and go again, so they're perceiving this
- 17 as me denying orders. I have to go. I mean, what was I
- 18 supposed to do, just go there, you know?
- 19 Q. That's okay. That's argument, sir. I want to
- 20 get to the facts. So, when you went to the back of the
- 21 plane, did you request a drink that was alcoholic?
- 22 A. Yes, to give it to Tim Anderson's father.
- Q. Okay. And when you asked for an alcoholic
- 24 drink, were you told you could not have one?
- 25 A. Yes.

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. But it wasn't because they perceived me to be
- 3 intoxicated. They were imposing their will just to deny
- 4 me anything.
- 5 Q. Well, that's speculation, sir. What I want to
- 6 get to is you asked for a drink, and you were told you
- 7 could not have one at all?
- 8 A. Correct. I could have asked for anything and
- 9 they didn't give it to me.
- 10 Q. You could have, but I want to get to what you
- 11 did do.
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. You asked for an alcoholic drink and you were
- 14 told, no?
- 15 A. I did.
- Q. Did you ask for a non-alcoholic drink?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Okay. Did you, when speaking --
- 19 A. I asked for a Coke to take my medicine. Yes, I
- 20 did. I'm sorry.
- Q. When did you ask that in this storyline? At
- 22 what time?
- 23 A. I can't recall. It must have been a couple
- 24 minutes later.
- Q. Okay. So, when you asked for a Coke, you were

- 1 told no?
- 2 A. Yes. I was denied.
- 3 Q. You asked for the Coke. Were you standing up
- 4 in the aisle by the cart, or were they coming by the
- 5 aisle with the cart?
- 6 A. When I asked for the Coke, I was sitting down.
- 7 Q. And so they were giving beverage service when
- 8 you asked for the Coke?
- 9 A. Yes, they were.
- 10 Q. Okay. So, other people were being served
- 11 Cokes, and you were not served a Coke?
- 12 A. Yes, correct.
- 13 Q. Okay. And then, were you given a notice from
- 14 the purser saying you were on order -- notice that you are
- 15 disturbing the flight?
- 16 A. Your Honor, it wasn't a notice of that. It was
- 17 a notice about mask restrictions for COVID.
- 18 Q. Okay. So, the purser handed you a note about
- 19 mask restrictions?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. And for the record, Your Honor, my interaction,
- 23 I had nothing to say. I took the paper, and I sat there.
- 24 I just sat there when Captain Jaffe just came on the
- 25 loudspeaker and said, we're turning the plane around. He

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

1 gave no explanation. He just pulled a U-turn and headed

- 2 back to Barranquilla. Now, I don't understand. I mean,
- 3 we were already on the way. The need to return, I mean,
- 4 he could have turned me over to the American authorities.
- 5 Q. That's speculation, though. I want to get to
- 6 the facts as you remember them.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. So, let's stick to -- well, you're testifying
- 9 here. This isn't argument. So, your testimony is you
- 10 took the paper, and you sat there. You did not argue
- 11 with the purser?
- 12 A. I did not, Your Honor. And for the record,
- 13 Your Honor, those passengers were not moved. If you
- 14 could look, I mean, it's going to be hard -- I don't know
- 15 if it matters or not, but if you look very well at that
- 16 video, you can see that there's people sitting in those
- 17 seats.
- 18 Q. Okay. So, the passengers around you were not
- 19 moved?
- 20 A. No one was moved because the flight was packed,
- 21 Your Honor. I mean, there was some seats, but no one was
- 22 in fear. No one was -- I mean, there was a lot of men on
- 23 that flight. I mean, if I was a perceived threat, those
- 24 -- I mean, Your Honor.
- 25 Q. Okay. That's argument, though. Let's focus in

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 on the facts.
- 2 A. Again, again, I've been painted in a
- 3 negative light. Ms. Habib, you know, this is all coming
- 4 from, you know, everybody's recollection is coming from a
- 5 viral video.
- 6 Q. You can mention that in closing argument. All
- 7 your testimony is, what are the facts as you remember
- 8 them being? And so, any other facts of the flight that
- 9 you want to share with me now?
- 10 A. Let me just take one moment to think about
- 11 that. Your Honor, they never -- again, they constantly
- 12 discounted my need to go to the bathroom. I tried to
- 13 explain to them that I have a condition. They just --
- 14 again, no empathy for me. That's what caused my
- 15 frustration because, you know, we're humans. You know,
- 16 why would you treat somebody? I mean, where is this
- 17 classism, you know, that I can't -- you know. That's
- 18 what I'm saying.
- 19 Q. I understand. That's the argument, sir. So,
- 20 the question, you did tell them that you had a medical
- 21 need to go to the bathroom?
- 22 A. T did.
- Q. And it was disregarded. Okay.
- 24 A. I did. When I asked for the Coke -- when I asked
- 25 for the Coke it was to take my medicine.

- 1 Q. Okay. Any other facts about the flight that
- 2 you want me to know?
- 3 A. No, Your Honor. No.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Okay. So, this is Mrs.
- 5 Villiers' opportunity to ask you questions on
- 6 cross-examination then.
- 7 So, okay, Mrs. Villiers, what do you want to
- 8 cross-examine?
- 9 (No response.)
- JUDGE RAWALD: You're muted. Okay.
- 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 0. Good afternoon, Mr. Nunez.
- 14 A. Good afternoon, Ms. Villiers.
- 15 Q. So, I have a few questions for you. Initially,
- 16 when you were denied the use of the -- first -- firstly, do
- 17 you remember your seat number? Where were you seated?
- 18 A. I was in 17 C.
- 19 Q. Okay. So, isn't that in the middle of the
- 20 aircraft?
- 21 A. It is.
- Q. Okay. And so, why did you proceed to the -- why
- 23 did you proceed to the laboratory in the business class
- 24 section at the front?
- 25 A. Well, number one, the bathroom was being

- 1 occupied at that time.
- JUDGE RAWALD: The bathroom in coach?
- 3 A. In coach, correct. So, my assumption, I didn't
- 4 think it was a big deal because I've done it many times
- 5 on American flights. Most of the time, you know, like I
- 6 said, I know the flight attendants very well from my
- 7 frequent flying so it's never an issue. I didn't know
- 8 this crew, they didn't know me, but I tried to explain
- 9 again. And then, again, this -- I don't know if this is
- 10 important or not, but I wasn't sitting in first class
- 11 because it was full, but I had requested it. And I don't
- 12 know if this matters, but, you know, we're treated the
- 13 same. If I'm not sitting in first class, I'm still
- 14 treated the same, I'm still given the same privileges.
- 15 I'm treated normally. Nine out of ten times, this is not
- 16 an issue.
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: So, sir, as I understand it,
- 18 because of your status as a frequent flyer, you felt like
- 19 you still had the same ability or access to the first class
- 20 cabin, even though you were seated there?
- MR. NUNEZ: Yes. Also, that I'm a D3 employee.
- 22 We're on the same team. I made an assumption. Again,
- 23 for many years, this was, this is not a big deal. This
- 24 is not a big deal.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: But the answer to Ms. Villiers'

1 question is you went to the front because you felt like

- 2 you could go there and because the bathroom in the back
- 3 was closed.
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: Correct.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, next question.
- 6 MR. NUNEZ: Thank you. Thanks, Your Honor.
- 7 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 8 Q. So, when you were denied use of the front
- 9 lavatory, that made you upset, right, Mr. Nunez?
- 10 A. Yes. Correct.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Frustrated. Frustrated because I had to go to
- 13 the bathroom.
- 14 Q. And when you proceeded to the bathroom in the
- 15 back, you spoke with the flight attendants; correct?
- 16 A. I did. I did.
- 17 Q. Okay. And then it was after you spoke to the
- 18 flight attendants that you used the lavatory; is that
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. No, I used the bathroom first. I can't recall
- 21 the series of events, but I believe I used the bathroom
- 22 first. And when I was leaving, when I left the bathroom,
- 23 I got into the discussion with the flight attendants
- 24 about, you know, hey, we're on the same team. My father
- 25 was a mechanic for 33 years at American. Why are you

- 1 treating me like this? You know, I wouldn't do this to
- 2 you. And then when I said that I would file a complaint
- 3 against them is when they took action.
- 4 Q. So, you expressed your frustration at how upset
- 5 you were to the flight attendants?
- A. Yes, they were demonstrating clear bias to me.
- 7 They were treating me -- I've never been treated like
- 8 that as a passenger ever. Ever. You know, and -- you
- 9 know, they again -- I was dressed, you know, I was -- I was
- 10 dressed casually. I feel -- I feel like that maybe they
- 11 perceive me as something more because of the way I was
- 12 dressed. I think they -- again, my opinion.
- JUDGE RAWALD: That's speculation. That's
- 14 speculation.
- MR. NUNEZ: I normally have not been like that.
- 16 I'm not treated like that, never.
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: I understand. I understand.
- 18 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 19 Q. But you were highly upset. This, of course,
- 20 upset you?
- 21 A. I was. And plus, because of the discomfort I
- 22 was feeling due to my condition, I mean, I had, you know,
- 23 right -- I speak to you right now with that same pain
- 24 right now. You know, I have to deal with it for a while,
- 25 you know, until I could treat it. But I have to deal

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 with it. Right now, I have to go to the bathroom.
- 2 Q. Did you notify American Airlines -- did you
- 3 notify American Airlines, you know, that you had any form
- 4 of a disability or medical condition?
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: So, before the flight, you mean?
- 6 Is that what you are saying?
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Correct.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: So, before the flight, did you say
- 9 you were disabled or in any way needing any special
- 10 medical care?
- MR. NUNEZ: No, Your Honor, because I thought it
- 12 wouldn't be a big deal, that didn't allow me to go
- 13 frequently.
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: It's okay, we just want --
- MS. VILLIERS: We just want to clarify, right?
- 16 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 17 Q. And so then after that happened, you said that
- 18 you requested an alcoholic beverage; is that correct?
- 19 A. Correct. Correct.
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, if I could refer to
- 21 Respondent's Exhibit 5-A.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 23 MS. VILLIERS: And I believe there are two 5-As,
- 24 but it's the one of him exiting the aircraft and saying --
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: There's only one 5-A that I have,

Trial
John Nunez 10/8/2024

1 ma'am. Anything else that is listed as 5A is not 5A.

- 2 MS. VILLIERS: Okay.
- 3 JUDGE RAWALD: So, you may have received things
- 4 that are 5A that are incorrect. 5A is the article with the
- 5 embedded video within it.
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Yes.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: So, yes.
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: That one, Your Honor. Thank you.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: So, if we could just play that
- 11 really quickly. Will it play with audio, Your Honor?
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Let's see. It played with audio
- 13 before when we showed this to Ms. Habib, so we'll see.
- 14 MS. VILLIERS: Just want to listen to the audio
- 15 clearly.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: As he walks out of the plane?
- 17 MS. VILLIERS: Yes.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Sure.
- 19 (Exhibit R-5A video was played.)
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: So -- thank you. Thank you, Your
- 21 Honor.
- Thank you, Ms. Booker.
- 23 JUDGE RAWALD: So, Ms. Booker has played 5-A and
- 24 now we're putting it down. Thank you.
- BY MS. VILLIERS:

- 1 Q. So, again, you know, based on your testimony,
- 2 Mr. Nunez, what upset you the second time was that they
- 3 didn't -- you requested an alcoholic beverage and didn't
- 4 receive it?
- 5 A. No, Your Honor.
- 6 O. Is that correct?
- 7 A. No, Your Honor.
- 8 Q. But that's what you testified to prior. You
- 9 said, and I quote, yes, I requested a drink that had
- 10 alcohol.
- JUDGE RAWALD: But then he also requested a
- 12 non-alcoholic drink, too. He said he requested both.
- MS. VILLIERS: Understood.
- MR. NUNEZ: I requested both.
- 15 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 16 Q. Understood. But, if I may finish, but you told
- 17 the pilot that all you wanted was a soda; is that
- 18 correct?
- 19 A. I did, Your Honor. I mean --
- 20 Q. It's understood. No worries. So, the crew
- 21 members further denying your drink even made you even
- 22 more upset; is that correct?
- 23 A. No, Your -- no. I was already upset from the
- 24 beginning just for the bias alone. I mean, we can forget
- 25 about -- you know, we can forget about what I asked for. I

- 1 was already upset.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers' question really is,
- 3 were you getting increasingly upset at this situation?
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: I was not. I was already --
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: You already were at your upset
- 6 level?
- 7 MR. NUNEZ: Yes.
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: You were already super upset?
- 9 MR. NUNEZ: I was not super upset. I was upset,
- 10 not super. If you look at the video, you can see I went
- 11 back to my seat peacefully. Your video shows me going back
- 12 to my seat peacefully.
- 13 JUDGE RAWALD: Understood.
- 14 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 15 Q. So, Mr. Nunez, when you were seated, didn't you
- 16 get up and go back to speak with the flight attendants
- 17 again?
- 18 A. I had to use the bathroom. Again.
- 19 O. That was the first time?
- 20 A. Again. Again.
- 21 JUDGE RAWALD: The second time he said he had to
- 22 go to the bathroom as well and he could not at that time
- 23 because of the cart being in the way.
- MR. NUNEZ: Again. Yes.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: Is what he testified to, Ms.

1 Villiers. So, the second time he went back was to go to

- 2 the bathroom again.
- 3 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. And so, when did you express your frustration
- 5 about being denied an alcoholic beverage, Mr. Nunez?
- A. I never -- my expression of my frustration was
- 7 a blanket frustration of how I was being treated and the
- 8 bias that I was being treated with, that I felt that I
- 9 was being treated unfairly. I never made a mention
- 10 about, hey, give me the drink or nothing like that. I
- 11 said, look, I don't appreciate how I'm being treated
- 12 right now. I just said, God's golden rule, treat people
- 13 how you want to be treated. That's all. I just wanted
- 14 to be treated fairly.
- And if I raised my voice to voice my
- 16 frustration, it was because I was in pain, Your Honor.
- 17 Plus, that plane is pretty loud. It's a 757, two
- 18 engines. It was loud. It wasn't like it was quiet on
- 19 the plane. You know, you have to raise your voice.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I understand.
- 21 Ms. Villiers, next question?
- MR. NUNEZ: And this is how I speak.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I understand.
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 25 BY MS. VILLIERS:

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

1 Q. So, I want to discuss your medical records that

- 2 were included as exhibits.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, are you contesting
- 4 the fact that he has BPH or that he has an enlarged problem?
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: No, Your Honor. There is something
- 6 in the medical record that I would like to have the
- 7 witness clarify.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Which medical record is
- 9 that?
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Can we first look on the medical
- 11 record at 3-A?
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. 3-A, Ms. Booker. And I'll,
- 13 of course, note that all this is in Spanish. So, the
- 14 translation that we received in my office -- because it's
- 15 not a certified translation, it's through the use of
- 16 Google Translate, but we'll go and look at 3-A.
- 17 So, Ms. Booker, can you please bring up 3-A?
- Okay. Where do you want her to go?
- MS. VILLIERS: And if you could scroll to page 2,
- 20 in particular, I believe.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Second page.
- 22 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. And Mr. Nunez, the date of this flight was June
- 24 3rd, 2022. What is the date of this medical record?
- 25 A. June 7th, 2000 -- I'm sorry, because Colombia

- 1 is different. July 25th, 2022.
- Q. Do you want to take another look? It looks
- 3 like, isn't it July 22nd, 2022?
- 4 A. No, no. It's July 25th, 2022.
- 5 Q. On page 2?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: It looks like it says 22/7/22 for
- 8 the service. And the impression of when the actual -- was
- 9 on the 25th. So, there's two dates there, ma'am.
- MR. NUNEZ: Oh, okay.
- 11 MS. VILLIERS: Understood.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: But what's important here, sir, is
- 13 Ms. Villiers is trying to make the point that this is
- 14 after the flight, correct, this medical record?
- 15 MS. VILLIERS: Correct. Correct, Your Honor.
- 16 MR. NUNEZ: I have medical records before that. I
- 17 didn't just --
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Just so we're clear though, this
- 19 medical record you would agree is from after the flight;
- 20 correct?
- MR. NUNEZ: Okay.
- MS. VILLIERS: Correct.
- 23 JUDGE RAWALD: You would agree with that, Mr.
- 24 Nunez?
- MR. NUNEZ: Yes.

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you, Your Honor. And then if
- 3 we could turn to Exhibit R-3D.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Right. Ms. Villiers, if we're
- 5 going to look at dates, once again, I can look at dates.
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Perfect.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: If you want to confront him with
- 8 them, that's fine. But I think he's going to say the
- 9 nature of what the dates are.
- 10 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 11 Q. And that is the same issue with Exhibit 3-D.
- 12 So, Mr. Nunez, just to confirm that these medical records
- 13 are dated after the flight in question; isn't that
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. All right, you can bring
- 17 that down, Ms. Booker. Okay. Ms. Booker, bring down the
- 18 exhibit please?
- 19 Okay. Ms. Villiers?
- 20 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. On to another point, Mr. Nunez, you had
- 22 mentioned earlier that there were no Colombian police in
- 23 the video; is that correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Okay. But a moment ago, you mentioned in your

1 testimony, Colombian police were right out the door?

- JUDGE RAWALD: These are at the VIP lounge, ma'am.
- 3 VIP lounge.
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: VIP lounge.
- 5 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 6 Q. So, were you met with Colombian police after
- 7 you exited the aircraft?
- 8 A. Of course.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And just so we're not
- 10 getting off course, Ms. Villiers, the only reason why that
- 11 came up was because the witness said that he was taken off
- 12 the plane by police. And it's very obvious to all of us who
- 13 watched the video that he was not taken off by the
- 14 police. So, that's the whole reason why we had the
- 15 discussion. Let's move on. Next point.
- 16 MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor. I have
- only a few more questions for Mr. Nunez.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 19 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. So, Mr. Nunez, did you believe that you were
- 21 entitled to a drink because of your elite status?
- 22 A. I felt that I deserved better treatment overall
- 23 regarding my condition.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I guess, so as I understand, Mr.
- 25 Nunez, I'll throw this out a little more so I understand

10/8/2024

Trial John Nunez

1 the background to the question. So, as a passenger in

- 2 coach, you can receive an alcoholic beverage if you pay
- 3 for it; is that correct?
- 4 MR. NUNEZ: At any time.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Right. And so, were you offering
- 6 to pay for that beverage when you ordered it?
- 7 MR. NUNEZ: I was not. I was not.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, if you had been charged
- 9 for it, would you have paid for it?
- 10 MR. NUNEZ: No, because I would bring it up, I
- 11 would give them my card, and then if they follow procedure,
- 12 they'll see that.
- JUDGE RAWALD: So, you believe you were entitled
- 14 to a free beverage, an alcoholic beverage, because of your
- 15 status on the flight, as a flight member?
- 16 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, I believe I was regardless
- 17 to what I asked for, or I deserved it, my frustration was
- 18 I should have been treated better from the beginning.
- 19 Even if I wasn't an elite passenger, due to my condition,
- 20 I should have been -- you know, this boils down to me --
- 21 I mean, we're here now, wasting the Government's money
- 22 and our time over a bathroom, me using the bathroom, and
- 23 some overzealous flight attendants who felt they wanted
- 24 to enforce their draconian policy on me. And that's why
- 25 I was frustrated. That's all, that's all.

THA

John Nunez 10/8/2024

JUDGE RAWALD: I understand. I understand, sir.

- 2 I just want to be very clear --
- 3 MR. NUNEZ: You know, Your Honor, Your Honor --
- JUDGE RAWALD: So, when you asked for a drink, you
- 5 believe that you were entitled to a drink, both as a
- 6 passenger, and also based upon your status, you believe
- 7 this should have been free?
- 8 MR. NUNEZ: No, no, not as a passenger. Not as,
- 9 again, I don't -- the drink was a circumstance. I
- 10 believe I was -- I feel I was entitled to use the
- 11 bathroom.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: All right. That's not the question
- 13 from Ms. Villiers. Ms. Villiers' question was simply,
- 14 did you believe you were entitled to a drink? And you
- 15 said yes, and I just want to be clear about that.
- MR. NUNEZ: Yes, yes, yes.
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, next question.
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: We can't hear you, Ms. Villiers.
- 20 You're not muted, but we can't hear you. Your lips are
- 21 moving.
- 22 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Oh, I'm sorry about that. Okay.
- So, Mr. Nunez, just for the record, you know,
- 25 are you an American Airlines frequent flyer?

- 1 A. Not anymore.
- Q. At the time of the incident, were you an
- 3 American Airlines frequent flyer?
- 4 A. I was. I was a Platinum Executive, something
- 5 like that. It was the highest -- Platinum Executive Pro
- 6 Passenger.
- 7 Q. And if you can finish your statement, you were
- 8 saying it was the highest?
- 9 A. It was the highest level of frequent flyer that
- 10 American Airlines had.
- 11 Q. And when you said not anymore, what do you mean
- 12 by that?
- A. Ever since the incident, I've been banned from
- 14 any association with American Airlines.
- 15 Q. Any association with American Airlines. What
- 16 do you mean by that?
- 17 A. All my flight privileges have been revoked, my
- 18 advantage, everything has been revoked.
- 19 O. So, Mr. Nunez, and of course this is a
- 20 question, and so why was it revoked?
- 21 A. Because of this incident.
- Q. Okay. So, you said because of this incident.
- 23 Do you mean because of your behavior during this
- 24 incident?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Well, that's argumentative,

Trial

John Nunez

10/8/2024

- 1 Counsel. I'm not going to allow it.
- 2 MS. VILLIERS: Understood.
- JUDGE RAWALD: He believes it wasn't justified.
- 4 So, I think we're going to get into a real derailment here.
- 5 Okay. Next question, please.
- 6 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, I have no further
- 7 questions for Mr. Nunez.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And I have no further
- 9 questions about what I've heard today, Mr. Nunez. So, I
- 10 appreciate your testimony. So, as I said, you'll have
- 11 time to argue. So, we'll have a closing argument which
- 12 is when you can argue all the points you want to make as
- 13 far as who was inconsistent and why you think it's not
- 14 okay. But what's important is testimony is about
- 15 evidence, and it's what facts you want to offer me.
- 16 So, I think we got all the facts that you want to
- 17 offer, right? Any other facts you want to offer at this
- 18 point? Anything else that you did on the plane that you
- 19 want to make sure I understand that you did on the plane
- 20 or did not do on the plane?
- MR. NUNEZ: Yeah. The motive for me getting up.
- 22 The fact that I didn't take off during takeoff. Your
- 23 Honor, I'm 50 years old. I know where we were. I knew
- 24 we were 35,000 feet above the air. I should have known
- 25 better, and I apologize about all of this. I should have

- 1 never gone down to that level with them.
- But I just felt, I mean, it wasn't one or two, it
- 3 was three times that they disrespected me. And I just
- 4 felt, when is this not okay? Because I felt I was being
- 5 treated differently because of my appearance, because I
- 6 was a D3 employee. I understand that this holds no
- 7 weight here because no one here works for American
- 8 Airlines. But I can tell you that -- I can tell you that
- 9 this is a systematic treatment. Just like, you know, you
- 10 can't deny that --
- JUDGE RAWALD: That's argument. That's argument,
- 12 sir. The facts are on the flight you got up because you
- 13 felt like you had to go to the bathroom.
- 14 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, I had to go. I didn't
- 15 feel it, I had to.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: I'm sorry. I did not mean to
- 17 denigrate or to dismiss your concern.
- MR. NUNEZ: I mean, this is the main reason why
- 19 we're here.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: I understand. You had the need to
- 21 go to the bathroom, so you got up. And that's why you got
- 22 up multiple times. You felt frustrated, as you
- 23 explained, and we understand that.
- 24 Any other facts of the flight that you want to
- 25 make sure you share with me before you're no longer

- 1 testifying?
- 2 MR. NUNEZ: I had -- and I could prove it. I
- 3 didn't feel it was necessary, but I could prove it to the
- 4 Court that I've had this, this is a preexisting condition
- 5 prior to the flight months before.
- 6 JUDGE RAWALD: All right. It's up to the
- 7 Government to put a rebuttal at this point to show that you
- 8 did not have it. We have ample evidence you have the
- 9 condition.
- 10 Ms. Villiers made the point that it was -- the
- 11 records postdate this. I'm not all that concerned about
- 12 that. If she wants to put in evidence in rebuttal today
- 13 about the fact that you didn't have it before this, she
- 14 can do that. We'll see how she does the rebuttal.
- 15 MR. NUNEZ: I never kicked Ms. Habib's chair. I
- 16 never, I never did. I never -- she --
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 18 MR. NUNEZ: This is all perpetuated because of
- 19 what's transpired.
- Now, if possible, I'd like to call my witness,
- 21 Major Diego Pineda.
- 22 JUDGE RAWALD: Yes. We can call him next as long
- 23 as you're done telling me all the facts, if you're done with
- 24 all your testimony.
- MR. NUNEZ: I'm done with my testimony.

111

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Good. So, now the next
- 2 thing is Maj. Pineda. Is he here? Is he ready to go?
- 3 MR. NUNEZ: Yes. Let me check where he is. If
- 4 you could just give me one second.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Booker, is he logged in yet to
- 6 the Zoom?
- 7 MS. BOOKER: He is not logged in yet.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 9 MR. NUNEZ: Hold on. Hold on. Let me just --
- 10 MS. BOOKER: The interpreter is here, though.
- MR. NUNEZ: Who?
- JUDGE RAWALD: The interpreter is here.
- MR. NUNEZ: Oh. Would you mind --
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: Let's go ahead and take a -- let's
- 15 go ahead and go off the record. Yes. I'll stay on the
- 16 Zoom, but let's go ahead and go off the record, Ms. Dunn.
- 17 (A recess was taken at 1:08 p.m. and
- proceedings resumed at 1:10 p.m.)
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: We're back on the record. We're
- 20 here now waiting for Mr. Pineda --
- 21 MR. NUNEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry. Can you --
- 22 is it possible to send him a link again, because he just
- 23 requested that.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Ms. Booker, if you could
- 25 please send a link to Maj. Pineda again?

- 1 We'll go off the record for a moment.
- 2 (A recess was taken at 1:11 p.m. and proceedings
- 3 resumed at 1:16 p.m.)
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Let's all come back on the
- 5 record, please.
- 6 Ms. Klejman, while we're waiting, if you could
- 7 please raise your right hand, I'll go ahead and
- 8 administer an oath to you while we're waiting for the
- 9 witness to log in.
- 10 Whereupon,
- 11 KATHERINE KLEJMAN
- 12 A court interpreter, called for interpretation, having first
- 13 been duly sworn, interpreted the proceedings as follows:
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And so, ma'am, as I noted,
- 15 there will be at least one witness here that will need
- 16 you.
- So, Mr. Nunez, you will ask questions in English.
- 18 The interpreter will interpret them to the witness in
- 19 Spanish. He will then respond in Spanish and then we
- 20 will go back.
- So, it is important, Mr. Nunez, you ask your
- 22 question in English because we are transcribing this in
- 23 English and I don't want the interpreter to have to
- 24 interpret you as well.
- MR. NUNEZ: Understood.

JUDGE RAWALD: When the witness does get here and

- 2 get logged in, I will administer an oath to him, which I
- 3 will go to the interpreter, and then I will briefly
- 4 explain to him the need to pause before answering a
- 5 question so the question can be interpreted. And, of
- 6 course, when he responds, you should pause before asking
- 7 a question, Mr. Nunez, so the interpreter can interpret
- 8 for us, okay?
- 9 (No response.)
- JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Nunez?
- MR. NUNEZ: Yes. (Nodding.)
- JUDGE RAWALD: You're nodding your head, yes.
- 13 Okay. Thank you.
- We're still waiting for the witness to arrive.
- MR. NUNEZ: I apologize, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 17 THE INTERPRETER: And, Your Honor, quick question.
- 18 If in case of a break, normally I try every 60 minutes or
- 19 70 minutes or so. Would it be okay to let you know? I
- 20 will try not to interrupt, obviously, testimony.
- JUDGE RAWALD: That is fine. We will not go that
- 22 long, ma'am.
- THE INTERPRETER: Okay.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. We have somebody connecting
- 25 on audio.

Good afternoon. Okay. So, is this -- hold on a

- 2 second.
- 3 So, is this Maj. Pineda?
- 4 MAJ. PINEDA: Yes, Your Honor, this is Maj.
- 5 Pineda. Good afternoon.
- 6 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Maj. Pineda, I'm going to
- 7 ask do you have a video, the ability to be on video, or are
- 8 you going on audio today?
- 9 MAJ. PINEDA: Audio, Your Honor.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Then I ask him to please
- 11 raise your right hand, and I will administer an oath to him.
- 12 Whereupon,
- 13 MAJOR DIEGO PINEDA
- 14 A witness, called for examination, having first been
- 15 duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Mr. Nunez is going to ask
- 17 you questions in English that will be interpreted by our
- 18 interpreter. Please do pause for her to interpret, and
- 19 then give your answer in Spanish, and then she will
- 20 interpret it back for us.
- 21 MAJ. PINEDA: Okay. Understood, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you. Mr. Nunez, your
- 23 witness.
- 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 25 BY MR. NUNEZ:

- 1 Q. Maj. Pineda, good morning.
- 2 A. Good morning.
- 3 Q. Maj. Pineda, please state your full name, rank,
- 4 and position within the National Police of Colombia for
- 5 the record.
- I'll shut that off. I'm sorry.
- 7 Would you like to --
- 8 THE INTERPRETER: May I begin?
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: She can proceed. Go ahead, ma'am.
- 10 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requested to pause
- 11 one second. There's a lot of information regarding rank,
- 12 Your Honor. One second.
- 13 A. My full name is Diego Armada Rivera Pineda. I
- 14 am with the National Police of Colombia, and I work at
- 15 the Airport of San Andres.
- 16 THE INTERPRETER: If the interpreter can request
- 17 again from the Respondent to please repeat the specifics
- 18 of the rank, the airport, and after San Andres, so I'm
- 19 not missing information and can request pauses from the
- 20 Respondent.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter's request to pause.
- 23 A. Yes, I am a Major of the National Police of
- 24 Colombia. I have a specific service in San Andres and in
- 25 Santa Catalina, and I am a commander. I cover and

1 control mostly the national and international flights.

- 2 And right now I'm in that commission, and I take charge
- 3 of the departments that I just mentioned.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Nunez?
- 5 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 6 Q. Maj. Pineda, how long have you served in your
- 7 current capacity, and what are your responsibilities
- 8 regarding incidents at the airports?
- 9 A. In this commission and in this department, I've
- 10 been working for two years and nine months. And during
- 11 the same amount of time, I've been in charge of
- 12 controlling incidents that take place in the commission
- 13 around those different units.
- Q. Maj. Pineda, what is your experience in
- 15 handling situations involving passengers allegedly
- 16 intoxicated at airports?
- 17 A. Well, procedures regarding when an airline has
- 18 to take charge in regards to a passenger that's been
- 19 either disruptive, it can be through either alcohol or
- 20 intoxication, the airline then -- the procedure it follows
- 21 is the airline informs us and then we remove the person.
- 22 And then an immigration seal is presented, and then the
- 23 airline ends up coordinating if that person is allowed to
- 24 travel or not travel, or if that person needs to fly with
- 25 another airline.

1 MR. NUNEZ: I'm sorry, Your Honor. He said that

- 2 my immigration stamp is removed. That's what he meant to
- 3 say. The seal -- my immigration stamp, my visa, is
- 4 removed, is what he meant to say.
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Objection. Objection.
- 6 JUDGE RAWALD: Hold on a second. Yes, because
- 7 she's -- that's exactly right. So, the interpreter is
- 8 interpreting.
- 9 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, thank you. Your Honor. I
- 10 was going to clarify that as well.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Nunez, hold on a second. Let
- 12 the interpreter interpret. I can't have you testify on his
- 13 behalf. That cannot happen.
- 14 THE INTERPRETER: Yes, Your Honor. I would like
- 15 to clarify. I apologize, that's -- I don't know a lot about
- 16 airline-specific or travel-specific immigration
- 17 terminology in regards to that topic.
- 18 So, can Mr. Nunez repeat the sentence? And if we
- 19 can request that information again from the Respondent?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, so what do you want the
- 21 Respondent to clarify that we have for testimony?
- 22 Because what you offered is not testimony, Mr. Nunez.
- 23 So, what do you want him to clarify for testimony?
- MR. NUNEZ: What I want to clarify is what the
- 25 translator translated as my seal. There's no seal. It's

Mai

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 a stamp, my passport stamp. My visa was revoked. The
- 2 visa to leave, my passport.
- 3 JUDGE RAWALD: So, what you have to do is ask in
- 4 the form of a question. Say, Maj. Pineda, was my seal
- 5 removed from my passport upon my arrival, or whatever
- 6 question you want to ask. I can't have you testify. I
- 7 can't have you interpret. I know you speak Spanish, but
- 8 you cannot interpret for the witness.
- 9 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, I'm trying to correct the
- 10 translator.
- 11 JUDGE RAWALD: I understand. I understand. But
- 12 you have to do it through the form of a question, sir. So,
- 13 if you view the interpretation as bad, ask a follow-up
- 14 question of the witness. So, please. What is the
- 15 follow-up question? So, the question is regarding the
- 16 passport stamp being removed?
- 17 MR. NUNEZ: Yes.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, ask the question, was
- 19 your passport stamp removed as part of this process? And if
- 20 this is about you personally, we're talking about in
- 21 general. So, if we're going to talk about you
- 22 personally, let's talk about you personally.
- 23 MR. NUNEZ: I don't have a question. I just
- 24 wanted to clarify that to the translator.
- 25 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, if it's okay to

1 clarify with Mr. Nunez to understand, because, again, I

- 2 didn't know that that's exactly what it meant. Verify in
- 3 Spanish, would that be okay?
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 5 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter wants to clarify
- 6 that Mr. Nunez, in Spanish, has clarified that that day he
- 7 got denied, which has to do with his visa, it has been
- 8 revoked. He wants to be clarified for the record.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Well, the question that was asked
- 10 to Maj. Pineda about Mr. Nunez, it was asked in general
- 11 about what he does with disruptive passengers. If Mr.
- 12 Nunez has a question about his own passport, he can ask a
- 13 question about his own passport.
- 14 Mr. Nunez, if you have a question, go ahead and
- 15 ask that. But otherwise, you're not testifying.
- MR. NUNEZ: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 18 Q. Were you called -- Maj. Pineda, were you called
- 19 to respond to an incident on June 2nd, 2022, involving a
- 20 passenger on American Airlines flight 1124 at
- 21 Barranquilla Airport?
- 22 A. Yes, correct.
- 23 Q. Maj. Pineda, can you confirm that I was the
- 24 passenger involved in the incident?
- 25 A. Yes, Mr. John was the passenger.

1 Q. Maj. Pineda, what details were given about the

Trial

- 2 incident before my arrival?
- 3 A. Yes, that the flight staff and the captain had
- 4 made the decision to take and remove a passenger off the
- 5 plane due to being in some sort of altercation inside of
- 6 the cabin.
- 7 Q. Maj. Pineda, when you first approached me, how
- 8 would you describe my behavior and attitude?
- 9 A. No, no. When they informed us, we requested
- 10 and asked if we needed to use the police techniques to
- 11 get rid of the passenger. But when I saw Mr. John, he
- 12 was in a normal demeanor. He was walking out and he was
- 13 cooperative with the authorities and with anything that
- 14 the authorities requested of him.
- 15 Q. Maj. Pineda, did I appear intoxicated,
- 16 agitated, or belligerent?
- 17 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, objection.
- JUDGE RAWALD: What's the objection, Ms. Villiers?
- 19 MS. VILLIERS: The objection is that it's
- 20 irrelevant and also outside of the scope, Your Honor. This
- 21 is taking it after the fact of the aircraft when this
- 22 incident relates to his behavior on the aircraft.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Yeah, but it also could be
- 24 mitigating if he was, in fact, not intoxicated or if he was
- 25 not belligerent at this point. So, furthermore, it could

1 also just help me understand the whole situation. So, he

- 2 obviously, at least the testimony is that he was
- 3 belligerent and violent on the plane. So, when he gets
- 4 off the plane, if he is not violent or belligerent, it at
- 5 least helps me understand the situation. So, I'm going
- 6 to allow the objection be to overruled.
- 7 Interpreter, please ask the question.
- 8 MAJ. PINEDA: No, in no instance at all.
- 9 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 10 Q. Maj. Pineda, what were your immediate
- impressions of my condition?
- 12 A. Well, he appeared calm and collaborative with
- 13 the process and the procedures at hand. He was just
- 14 asking what happened, but that was normal. There was no
- 15 need to use force or any other sort of medium in order to
- 16 remove him from the aircraft. He was very collaborative,
- 17 even through the process of immigration and all
- 18 throughout the process in immigration.
- 19 Q. Maj. Pineda, did you observe any signs such as
- 20 slurred speech, unsteady movements, or other indications
- 21 that I was under the influence of alcohol or any other
- 22 substances?
- A. No. And I'm really not someone that's an
- 24 expert on the subject of alcohol or substances, but from
- 25 my point of view and what I was able to notice, he did

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 not show any of the symptoms mentioned earlier.
- Q. Maj. Pineda, did you receive any specific
- 3 complaints from the airline staff or passengers regarding
- 4 my behavior before or after my arrival?
- 5 THE INTERPRETER: Interpreter requests to pause
- 6 one second so I don't miss information. I will continue in
- 7 one second.
- 8 A. No. At that moment when he came into the room,
- 9 we then received a call from the airline. But after the
- 10 event took place inside the plane, the staff did inform
- 11 us that we needed to remove him, but it looks as though
- 12 the passenger voluntarily got out of the aircraft. So,
- 13 he had chosen to voluntarily leave the plane and we took
- 14 care of was accompanying him in order to remove him from
- 15 the airport through the immigration protocols. But there
- 16 was no other information from the airline.
- 17 Q. Maj. Pineda, was I administered a breathalyzer
- 18 test during the incident?
- 19 A. Yes. These people arrived from the transit
- 20 police, and they came in order to do the procedure and
- 21 have the breathalyzer test done. But they also had been
- 22 informed that it had been negative. It was not
- 23 necessary, I believe, because the gentleman in no moment
- 24 appeared aggressive and that he was opposed to the
- 25 procedures at all.

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 Q. Maj. Pineda, based on your professional
- 2 experience, did I fully comply with your instructions and
- 3 cooperate throughout the process?
- 4 A. That is correct, yes.
- 5 Q. Maj. Pineda, is it standard protocol to
- 6 administer a breathalyzer test when there are suspicions
- 7 of intoxication?
- 8 A. That is correct, yes.
- 9 Q. Maj. Pineda, is it standard protocol to
- 10 administer a breathalyzer test when there are suspicions
- 11 of intoxication?
- 12 A. No, it is not standard. It is only done in
- order to verify, but it is not standard. It is just, it
- 14 is not a function that is currently or used to be done in
- 15 those situations.
- Q. Maj. Pineda, who ordered the breathalyzer test?
- 17 A. Yes, it was a top position person within the
- 18 airport police department.
- 19 Q. Maj. Pineda, are you aware that I was the one
- 20 who requested the breathalyzer to Officer Milena?
- 21 A. Yes, she informed me that they were going to do
- 22 that procedure. Yes, some time has passed, but yes, she
- 23 was in charge of doing that procedure.
- Q. But Maj. Pineda, are you aware that it was I
- 25 that make the request so she could fulfill it?

Trial
John Nunez 10/8/2024

1 A. Yes, she had informed me that they were going

- 2 to do that procedure. Yes, she informed me that he had
- 3 requested it in order to do this analysis.
- 4 Q. Maj. Pineda, based on your observations and the
- 5 results of the breathalyzer test, would you say I posed
- 6 any threat or acted disorderly during the incident?
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, objection.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Speculation. So, he certainly
- 9 doesn't know how you acted during the incident. He can
- 10 certainly talk about how you were on the ground, but he
- 11 doesn't know about how you were on the plane. I'm not
- 12 going to allow it, the objection is sustained.
- 13 Mr. Nunez?
- 14 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- Q. Maj. Pineda, did you witness any behavior from
- 16 me that would justify the airline staff's claims that I
- 17 was intoxicated or belligerent?
- 18 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, objection again.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. I'll overrule the objection.
- BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 21 Q. Maj. Pineda, in your professional capacity --
- 22 JUDGE RAWALD: No, no, no. The question is
- 23 allowed, so he needs to answer it.
- MR. NUNEZ: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought -- I'm
- 25 sorry.

10/8/2024

John Nunez

- 1 JUDGE RAWALD: I overruled the objection.
- THE INTERPRETER: Maj. Pineda is asking to ask the
- 3 question again.
- 4 MAJ. PINEDA: Well, when I received the procedure,
- 5 I noticed that he was just a normal person. I didn't see
- 6 any of the symptoms mentioned, but again, I'm not an
- 7 expert, and I don't have the capabilities to determine if
- 8 someone is intoxicated or under drugs. I would say that
- 9 he was in his right mind and he didn't commit any
- 10 incorrect or inappropriate act.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you.
- 12 Mr. Nunez, you may ask your next question.
- 13 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- Q. Maj. Pineda, in your professional capacity, how
- 15 important is it to accurately document and report
- 16 incidents like this?
- JUDGE RAWALD: I'm not going to allow that
- 18 question, Counsel. So, we're certainly not going to go and
- 19 impeach the FAA's investigation with the Respondent's own
- 20 investigatory skills. So, the objection -- I'm not going
- 21 to allow the question.
- Next question, Mr. Nunez.
- 23 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- Q. Maj. Pineda, do you believe your testimony
- 25 today reflects the truth about my behavior and the events

- 1 that occurred that day?
- JUDGE RAWALD: He is sworn in under oath, sir, so
- 3 I hope he is telling the truth. I'm not going to question
- 4 that. So, the objection -- I'm not going to allow that
- 5 question.
- 6 Next question, sir.
- 7 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 8 Q. Were there any other passengers or witnesses
- 9 who observed my behavior and could corroborate your
- 10 assessment of my sobriety?
- 11 A. Well, it would be probably the personnel in
- 12 regards to the police that took the procedures at hand
- 13 and did the procedures, but aside from that, no, because
- 14 the event took place inside of the plane. This was at --
- 15 there were no other witness in the platform, the event
- 16 took place inside of the plane, we received him in this
- 17 room. But in regards to his behavior I would say that he
- 18 appeared sober and calm.
- 19 Q. Last question. Maj. Pineda -- forget that
- 20 question.
- 21 Maj Pineda, are you familiar with my motives
- 22 for travel?
- 23 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, objection. The
- 24 relevance is not clear for that question.
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: So, Counsel, what -- Mr. Nunez,

- 1 what is the relevance of this question?
- 2 MR. NUNEZ: I'm just trying to establish a pattern
- 3 of frequent travel.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. But nobody is contesting the
- 5 fact that you travel a lot or that all those things
- 6 happen. So, that's not -- the issue isn't whether you
- 7 travel to Colombia often or whether you are there to
- 8 visit your son. That's not the issue at all. No one is
- 9 contesting that fact at all, so I'm not going to allow
- 10 you to go down that path.
- 11 BY MR. NUNEZ:
- 12 Q. Last question. Maj. Pineda, have I ever
- demonstrated any behavior like this since you've met me?
- MS. VILLIERS: I'm sorry, objection.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I just want to be clear on the
- 16 question. So, any behavior like what you're alleged to
- 17 have done here on the flight?
- 18 MR. NUNEZ: Correct.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: So, I guess the question, as I will
- 20 allow it, would be, Maj. Pineda, has there ever been an
- 21 occasion where you observed Mr. Nunez to act in a manner
- 22 that was belligerent or intoxicated when on or near a
- 23 flight? So, that's the question I'll allow. Okay?
- MAJ. PINEDA: No, no, no. I've never experienced
- 25 situations with Mr. Nunez such as the one that occurred.

- 1 He travels constantly, and he never presented those
- 2 characteristics.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Mr. Nunez, any other
- 4 questions?
- 5 MR. NUNEZ: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 6 Thank you, Maj. Pineda.
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, any questions for Maj
- 8 Nunez?
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: I do.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: Maj. Pineda, I'm sorry. Not Maj.
- 11 Nunez, excuse me. So, Maj. Pineda, you do. Okay.
- MR. NUNEZ: I was Sergeant Nunez.
- 13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 15 Q. Good afternoon, Maj. Pineda.
- 16 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Based on the last question, outside of this
- 18 incident, do you know Mr. Nunez?
- 19 A. No, but in regards to procedures that have to
- 20 do inside of the airport, we normally inspect and check
- 21 all passports from all passengers, and we have sort of a
- 22 log. And I know that he travels a lot, he travels
- 23 constantly, so we normally check the passports, people
- 24 that come in, and we would see that he would travel often
- 25 to Colombia, especially through Barranquilla.

1 Q. So, to clarify, so you don't know Mr. Nunez

- 2 outside of this incident?
- A. No, he's simply a frequent flyer to
- 4 Barranquilla when I was commissioned at the Barranquilla
- 5 airport.
- 6 Q. And so you cannot speak to Mr. Nunez's demeanor
- 7 outside of this incident?
- 8 A. Well, in regards to the normal behavior of
- 9 passengers who travel, he never, sort of, had another
- 10 issue or altercation or irregular sort of behavior when
- 11 flying.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Anything else, Ms. Villiers?
- MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 15 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 16 Q. Maj. Pineda, did you conduct the breathalyzer
- 17 test in this incident?
- JUDGE RAWALD: No, he already said he didn't.
- 19 Q. Have you ever administered a breathalyzer test?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Ms. Villiers, hold on. He said he
- 21 doesn't have this area of expertise, this is not his
- 22 area.
- 23 MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor. If I may
- 24 ask one more question, but let me know if it's not
- 25 appropriate.

Trial

John Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 2 Q. Do you know if the device that was used here
- 3 was functioning the way it should at the time the test
- 4 was administered?
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- A. Yes, I would say that this equipment is well
- 7 taken care of and calibrated up to the date in order for
- 8 the operation that is going to be done to take place in a
- 9 correct manner. I would say that these are trustworthy
- 10 equipments for the process.
- 11 Q. Maj. Pineda, are you a part of the team or are
- 12 you the individual that maintains these devices?
- 13 A. No, I am not. You would say that they are
- 14 policemen who work in another division and the lieutenant
- 15 requested them for this procedure, but I do not have
- 16 direct contact with them, nor do I manipulate the
- 17 equipment.
- 18 Q. All right. Maj. Pineda, last question on this
- 19 point, so you cannot speak to the fact that this
- 20 particular device functioned the way that it should have
- 21 at the time that it was used?
- 22 A. Well, the functionaries that took and the
- 23 people that administered the test make sure that the
- 24 equipment is capable and that it is calibrated. So, when
- 25 they do these procedures and use the equipment, they make

- 1 sure that it is in working order.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Anything else, Ms. Villiers?
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: Moving on to another point, Your
- 4 Honor.
- 5 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 6 Q. Maj. Pineda, do you believe that the Respondent
- 7 could have calmed down upon seeing the police?
- 8 A. Well, I can't say specifically that he calmed
- 9 down as he got to the room, but I would speak to how calm
- 10 he seemed and how collaborative and how helpful he was
- 11 with the authorities.
- JUDGE RAWALD: We can't hear you.
- 13 THE INTERPRETER: Me, Your Honor?
- JUDGE RAWALD: No, Ms. Villiers.
- Ms. Villiers, we cannot hear you. We cannot hear
- 16 you. Okay, yeah, but wherever your microphone is, it's
- 17 not working. Now I can hear you a little bit.
- MS. VILLIERS: Can you hear me now?
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: Yes, but whatever you're doing with
- 20 your microphone, when you move away from it, it's hard to
- 21 hear. Go ahead and speak.
- MS. VILLIERS: Okay. Understood. Thank you.
- 23 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. So, you were not on the aircraft before?
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: Come back to the microphone, ma'am.

- 1 You're going away from the microphone. I cannot hear
- 2 you. You need to be closer.
- MS. VILLIERS: Are you hearing me now, Your Honor?
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: Yes. Yes.
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: Okay. That's odd. That has never
- 6 happened before.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I know, but you keep moving around,
- 8 and as you move around, it's making it hard to hear. So,
- 9 stay where you are, please.
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor.
- 11 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 12 Q. So, Maj. Pineda, you were not on the aircraft
- 13 at the time of the incident, so is it true that you do
- 14 not know how he acted while on board the aircraft?
- 15 JUDGE RAWALD: I mean, the answer is obvious. He
- 16 wasn't on the plane. He doesn't know how he acted. I'm
- 17 not allowing the question.
- MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor.
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: Right, his testimony is merely
- 20 about how the gentleman acted upon seeing him in a room that
- 21 he was taken to after being deplaned.
- MS. VILLIERS: And just a few more questions, Your
- 23 Honor.
- BY MS. VILLIERS:
- Q. Maj. Pineda, did you speak with any of the crew

- 1 members that was aboard that flight?
- 2 A. No, I didn't speak to any member of the crew
- 3 members of the aircraft.
- 4 Q. Okay. So, did your investigation include any
- 5 statements from anyone that was on that flight?
- 6 A. No, I did no investigation and there was no
- 7 investigation done. They just informed us that there was
- 8 a disruptive passenger, and then all we did was accompany
- 9 him because he had to go through immigration procedures
- 10 since the flight had been done and the crew had mentioned
- 11 that he was not flying.
- 12 Q. Understood. In earlier testimony, and just to
- 13 clarify, why was his passport stamp removed? Why was his
- 14 visa revoked?
- 15 A. Well, I don't know anything in regards to the
- 16 visa because it has to do with immigration with Colombia,
- 17 but when a passenger is traveling and this occurs, they
- 18 normally take away the seal, the stamp, and they remove
- 19 his exit, and this is what they do in regards to the
- 20 procedures when it comes to travel.
- Q. Understood. And I have one last question, Your
- 22 Honor.
- 23 And so, just to clarify, was it mentioned in
- 24 his testimony that a visa was revoked?
- 25 JUDGE RAWALD: No, he didn't say that. Just to be

- 1 very clear, he talked about general things he does. Mr.
- 2 Nunez then tried to properly interpret what was
- 3 misinterpreted by the Spanish interpreter. Nothing was
- 4 said about what happened to Mr. Nunez. There was nothing
- 5 about Mr. Nunez's passport, nothing relevant. Simply,
- 6 the immigration folks simply said he didn't exit because
- 7 he did not exit the country. He's back in the country.
- 8 So, no confusion here, let's move on. That point is not
- 9 important to me today.
- 10 Anything else, ma'am?
- 11 MS. VILLIERS: Sir, we're just trying to ascertain
- 12 whether or not it was because of this incident.
- 13 JUDGE RAWALD: Let's move on.
- MS. VILLIERS: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 15 No further questions.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Any redirect based on cross,
- 17 Mr. Nunez?
- MR. NUNEZ: Excuse me, Your Honor?
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: Any redirect, any additional
- 20 questions based on cross-examination?
- MR. NUNEZ: No, Your Honor.
- 22 JUDGE RAWALD: Then we will go ahead and let Maj.
- 23 Pineda go.
- 24 If you could interpret for me.
- 25 Mr. Pineda -- Maj. Pineda, thank you for your

1 time. You can please go ahead and log off of Zoom. We

- 2 appreciate your testimony.
- 3 MAJ. PINEDA: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
- 4 JUDGE RAWALD: So, Mr. Nunez, so we've heard from
- 5 Maj. Pineda. You had one more witness on your witness
- 6 list. Are you calling Mr. Cura?
- 7 MR. NUNEZ: Mr. Cura is unavailable and I guess
- 8 we're going without him. That's it. I rest.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, he's rested.
- 10 Ms. Villiers, any rebuttal from the Complainant?
- Oh, I'm sorry. Ms. Klejman, you can go ahead and
- 12 log off now. Your time has been appreciated, but we no
- 13 longer need your services.
- 14 THE INTERPRETER: Thank you so much, Your Honor.
- 15 Thank you so much, everybody. Have a great day.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you.
- 17 Ms. Villiers, now turning to you. Any rebuttal
- 18 from the FAA?
- 19 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, may we just have a
- 20 break or adjourn for five minutes?
- JUDGE RAWALD: You may have five minutes. We'll
- 22 be back at 2:07.
- 23 MS. VILLIERS: Okay. Perfect. Thank you.
- 24 (A recess was taken at 2:02 p.m. and
- proceedings resumed at 2:07 p.m.)

JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. It's 2:07. Let's get back

- on the record, folks. Okay. Ms. Dunn, let's get back on
- 3 the record.
- Okay. Ms. Villiers, we took a five-minute recess.
- 5 We're back on the record now. Is there a rebuttal from
- 6 your side?
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. We would like to
- 8 call for ASI Ronny Moon to speak to one particular point.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Mr. Moon, I remind you, you
- 10 are under oath. So, please, sir, you still remain under
- 11 oath.
- 12 Your witness, Ms. Villiers.
- MS. VILLIERS: Thank you.
- 14 REBUTTAL EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MS. VILLIERS:
- 16 Q. Mr. Moon, during your investigation, did you
- 17 come across any information regarding Mr. Nunez's police
- 18 interaction?
- 19 JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Moon, you are muted. Thank
- 20 you.
- 21 A. Yes, in the report, the IRS report, the
- 22 enforcement report, Nick DelaJose, who is a gate agent at
- 23 that airport, when they returned back to the gate, and in
- 24 his report, he repeated what the flight attendants had
- 25 told him and the captain had told him, but he spoke of

- 1 the local airport police stating in his report that --
- MS. VILLIERS: I'm sorry, Mr. Moon. Before you
- 3 continue, I'm sorry to interrupt. Your Honor, I'd just
- 4 like to bring your attention to Exhibit C-9.
- 5 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Would you like to do a run-
- 6 up? Is that what you're asking, Ms. Villiers?
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Exhibit C-9, please. Okay.
- 9 But if you're going to repeat this report, I've already
- 10 have it in evidence. That's not rebuttal. It's already
- 11 in evidence, so.
- MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor. But I
- 13 would like to take this opportunity just to highlight one
- 14 thing in the report, but before I do --
- 15 JUDGE RAWALD: That's not rebuttal. This is
- 16 evidence, we already have it. You can bring it up in
- 17 argument. Is there new evidence -- is there some evidence
- 18 he's responding to here, that he's offering, or is he
- 19 simply going to tell us what's in C-9?
- MS. VILLIERS: It's just simply one point on Mr.
- 21 Nunez's interaction with local police.
- JUDGE RAWALD: To be clear, is the witness
- 23 offering me information that's outside C-9, or will I be
- 24 able to read it when I read C-9?
- 25 MS. VILLIERS: No, you will see when you read C-9,

- 1 Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: That's not rebuttal, then. Let's
- 3 go. What else do you have? Anything else?
- 4 MS. VILLIERS: No, Your Honor. That's it.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you. Okay. Let's go ahead
- 6 and put the exhibit down. I have the exhibit in evidence.
- 7 I read it. I will read it again, okay, in the context of
- 8 Mr. Nunez's evidence.
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: Okay.
- 10 JUDGE RAWALD: Anything else from you on rebuttal,
- 11 ma'am?
- MS. VILLIERS: No, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you. Then we'll go
- 14 ahead and do argument.
- So, Ms. Villiers, you're up first. What argument
- 16 do you have to offer?
- MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, one second, if I may.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 19 MS. VILLIERS: Just pull up my relevant documents
- 20 here. Your Honor, if I may begin the closing argument?
- JUDGE RAWALD: You may.
- MS. VILLIERS: So, Your Honor, the FAA holds the
- 23 burden of proof here to prove by preponderance of
- 24 evidence that the Respondent, Mr. John Nunez, interfered
- 25 with crew member duties while on board American Airlines

1 flight 1124 from Barranquilla, Colombia, to Miami, Florida,

- on June 3rd, 2022, as it operated under Part 121.
- 3 Your Honor, the complaint in this case alleges
- 4 that the Respondent's behavior was in violation of Section
- 5 121-580 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, better known
- 6 as the Interference Rule. Furthermore, the FAA's
- 7 proposed civil penalty of \$10,500 is reasonable and
- 8 justified based on the totality of circumstances in this
- 9 case surrounding the violations. As such, the Agency's
- 10 position is that, based on the decisions of this Court,
- 11 Judge finds in favor of the FAA's warranty.
- 12 So, as you are aware, Your Honor, it's not
- 13 disputed that Respondent was a passenger on the
- 14 aforementioned flight. He has also admitted that the flight
- 15 was returned to Barranquilla, and we have been given no
- 16 indication that any other passengers were escorted off
- 17 the aircraft, only Mr. Nunez. So, based on the
- 18 overwhelming evidence, which is substantial, probative,
- 19 and reliable, the Respondent acted in a disruptive manner
- 20 and was argumentative with cabin crew.
- So, further, Your Honor, here today you heard
- 22 evidence that Respondent acted even aggressively towards
- 23 the cabin crew. Respondent wanted to use the business
- 24 class lavatory and was denied, and after being directed
- 25 to the lavatory for the main cabin, he was aggressive to

1 the flight attendants. Today, evidence from members of

- 2 the cabin crew and a fellow passenger who was on board
- 3 flight 1124 and, of course, the FAA's investigator
- 4 testimony supports the violation that was charged.
- 5 The testimony from the two flight attendants, Ana
- 6 Maria Sanchez and Maria Quiroz, show that on two
- 7 occasions the Respondent was aggressive with them as they
- 8 were stationed at the back of the aircraft. We heard
- 9 particularly that he was yelling and acting so aggressive
- 10 when denied alcoholic beverages that the flight
- 11 attendants felt afraid of him and used the beverage cart
- 12 to block or provide protection from Mr. Nunez.
- Passenger Rebecca Habib, who doesn't know the
- 14 flight attendants and has never worked for the airline, also
- 15 testified that she heard him yelling at the stewardess or
- 16 stewardesses at the back of the aircraft, and that because
- 17 of that situation created by the Respondent on flight
- 18 1124, the flight attendants appeared, using passenger
- 19 Habib's words, stressed.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: I do want to make clear that the
- 21 witness, Ms. Habib, actually said she could identify who
- 22 was yelling. She just heard yelling from the back of the
- 23 aircraft. She wasn't clear if it was the Respondent or
- 24 the flight attendants. Her credibility, of course, is
- 25 not the best compared to the other witnesses, but let's

- 1 move on.
- MS. VILLIERS: So, all three witnesses who were
- 3 present on the flight observed Respondent's behavior and
- 4 said unequivocally that he was aggressive and that they
- 5 were actually scared while on board due to his behavior.
- 6 Even the Respondent, Your Honor, has admitted that he was
- 7 upset and he was frustrated. He further admitted that he
- 8 was loud, right, albeit because of his New York demeanor.
- 9 He has admitted, Your Honor, that his behavior was loud,
- 10 he was upset, he was frustrated.
- 11 JUDGE RAWALD: I just want to understand, is there
- 12 any room for justification in your office's mind? So, is
- 13 there any time where being loud or aggressive or
- 14 frustrated and expressing that is justified in any way?
- 15 As the Respondent here is arguing, it would be because of
- 16 his medical condition.
- 17 MS. VILLIERS: So, Your Honor, here, the medical
- 18 condition raised by your Respondent, by the Respondent,
- 19 does not justify his behavior.
- 20 JUDGE RAWALD: I just want to understand, just
- 21 hypothetically speaking, is there a medical condition
- 22 such that will justify it? Or is it your position that
- 23 there's never any justification for this kind of
- 24 behavior?
- 25 MS. VILLIERS: I mean, Your Honor, so there is a

1 wide spectrum of medical conditions, right, that may

- 2 result in an individual acting out of the ordinary,
- 3 right? So, those that perhaps suffer from mental or
- 4 emotional illnesses aboard the aircraft, for instance, an
- 5 individual that perhaps maybe suffers from schizophrenia
- 6 and attempts to breach the cockpit. Not that that
- 7 behavior is allowed, but if it is supported by valid
- 8 medical evidence, valid and relevant medical evidence,
- 9 then perhaps there are hypothetical cases. But, Your
- 10 Honor, the FAA's position here is that in this case, no
- 11 medical condition has been asserted or documents asserted
- 12 to justify a Respondent's behavior, right.
- So, just in terms of -- and Your Honor, I will
- 14 skip to his medical condition as you had brought it up. But
- 15 if this is his defense, one, it doesn't satisfy a
- 16 Respondent's burn of proof, right, based on a
- 17 preponderance of evidence, right. And he did have access
- 18 to use a lavatory during the flight, right. He was
- 19 seated in row 17C, which we all know is a bit of a ways
- 20 from the front of the aircraft cabin. And so at not one
- 21 point in time was he denied, based on the evidence in the
- 22 record and the testimony here today, he was never denied
- 23 access to use the main cabin laboratory.
- 24 Respondent was disgruntled because he was not
- 25 allowed to use the business class cabin. Also, based on

1 Respondent's testimony, it seems his frustration was also

- 2 that he was a priority passenger and normally has access
- 3 to the business class lavatory and seemingly felt
- 4 offended that he was denied use. So, this case does not
- 5 appear to be about his unfortunate medical condition as
- 6 he had full access to the laboratory.
- Now, again, based on the testimony provided here
- 8 today, he also again got into a confrontation with the
- 9 flight attendants over not serving him a beverage. Your
- 10 Honor, the Respondent in his testimony, in looking on the
- 11 medical records which have been admitted into the
- 12 exhibit, are dated after the flight -- are dated months
- 13 after the flight. Therefore, there's no evidence that
- 14 has been provided, no documentary evidence provided on
- 15 the record that he was suffering from this medical
- 16 condition at the time of flight.
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: Well, I guess I would disagree. I
- 18 mean, I think the circumstantial evidence you can draw
- 19 from the evidence that we have is the record close in
- 20 time of the flight to understand the condition he may
- 21 have been around at the time of the flight. What's
- 22 important to me is the fact that you didn't in rebuttal
- 23 then disprove that he had it. So, I think you've raised
- 24 enough information to find it. So, I would look at that
- 25 in that lens.

1 But let's go off the medical record. Let's talk

- 2 about the fact that you now think that there was no basis
- 3 for him, no justification for his actions. So, and
- 4 that's why you want to get to the penalty you want to get
- 5 to.
- 6 Anything else you want to talk about the actual
- 7 offense of interference?
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. Just to mention,
- 9 I would like to -- of course, the crew members testified to
- 10 how they felt threatened and we also provided video
- 11 evidence. Just a note, Your Honor, on the point that
- 12 Respondent raised in terms of intoxication. It is the
- 13 Complainant's position that Respondent has misplaced a
- 14 lot of this case here focusing on whether or not he was
- 15 intoxicated. Your Honor, a determination of whether or
- 16 not he was intoxicated, or a position is not relevant in
- 17 proving the violation in this case. This is not a case
- 18 where we are alleging a violation of 121-575, which is,
- 19 of course, where a passenger consumes his or her own
- 20 alcohol on board a flight. And so, for an interference
- 21 violation, a passenger may very well be sober and still
- 22 be found in violation of 121-580.
- 23 JUDGE RAWALD: I understand. I also actually
- 24 heard a witness say that he actually views it to be worse if
- 25 someone's interfering and they're sober versus being

1 intoxicated, because at least there's some sort of reason

- 2 to think they would do it.
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: Right. So, I was also -- correct,
- 4 Your Honor. I was also about to say that Inspector Moon
- 5 even testified to the fact that whether or not even if he
- 6 was sober, that wouldn't have been exculpatory evidence,
- 7 right? And that is the reason why, of course, he did not
- 8 see the need to seek irrelevant evidence from the
- 9 Colombian police on the matter of intoxication. So,
- 10 simply put, the amount of alcohol that Respondent had or
- 11 have in his system plays no role in this case. But, Your
- 12 Honor, however, this certainly gives food for thought
- 13 because based on testimony from multiple witnesses heard
- 14 today, Respondent's behavior was so seemingly outlandish
- 15 and disruptive that multiple individuals thought that he
- 16 was under the influence. Therefore, Your Honor, that
- 17 paints a picture of how out of the ordinary that
- 18 Respondent's behavior was.
- 19 Further, Your Honor, the Complainant would like to
- 20 note in terms of the testimony of Maj. Pineda, Maj.
- 21 Pineda, of course, testified to a large extent or a great
- 22 degree about the test results from this breathalyzer
- 23 test. Your Honor, even Maj. Pineda said himself he is no
- 24 expert on alcohol or being under the influence, right?
- 25 So, he has never administered a breathalyzer test.

1 And as a matter of fact, as you know, the scope of

- 2 this complaint is Respondent's conduct on board an
- 3 aircraft being operated on the part 121. Maj. Pineda was
- 4 not on board the aircraft. Maj. Pineda did not speak to
- 5 any of the other crew members or passengers on the
- 6 aircraft to get another impression of Respondent's
- 7 behavior while on the aircraft.
- 8 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Anything else?
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: On the matter of interference, Your
- 10 Honor, FAA's Inspector Moon, who testified that he has
- 11 been an inspector specializing in cabin safety for 13
- 12 years, he also has extensive experience as a flight
- 13 attendant. Flight Attendant Ana Maria Sanchez and both
- 14 Maria Quiroz have each -- one has 9 years of flight
- 15 attendant experience, and then the other one has actually
- 16 34 years of experience. And they all testified that
- 17 flight attendant duties, to paraphrase Your Honor,
- 18 includes responding to medical emergencies, responding to
- 19 any security issues, et cetera, et cetera, on board. And
- 20 we heard testimony today that because of Respondent's
- 21 conduct, in essence, it took them away from performing
- 22 their regular duties.
- 23 Your Honor, as a matter of fact, there are
- 24 decisions, for instance, in Reconder, where it states
- 25 that, you know, the cabin crew is required to be fully

- 1 ready to deal with matters or situations which pose a
- 2 risk to safety and security of the flight. Another case
- 3 in the matter of David Gilbertson, that initial decision
- 4 was before Administrative Law Judge J.E. Sullivan. And
- 5 that, in that case, they cited, kind of, several cases
- 6 which spoke about disruptive passenger behavior sews
- 7 destruction and chaos in an environment where law and
- 8 order is paramount, right. In that --
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Just so you know, Ms. Villiers,
- 10 other ALJ's decisions are not binding precedent.
- MS. VILLIERS: Understood, Your Honor.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: So, while I appreciate you bringing
- 13 it up, the language is not helpful. I have extensive
- 14 number of cases in this area, as you may know, and Mr.
- 15 Moon knows because he's been a witness in them before.
- 16 And so, let's move on. So, I have the case law. What
- 17 else?
- 18 MS. VILLIERS: Okay. You have the case law. So,
- 19 I will move kind of past the case law. One thing that I
- 20 wanted to point out is case law has also shown that using
- 21 profane language has found to be causing interference
- 22 with crew member duties as well as disobeying
- 23 instructions, et cetera. And here, we heard flight
- 24 attendant testimony that responded hurled insults at the
- 25 flight attendant, right? Flight attendant Sanchez stated he

1 called them bitches, right, and hurled further insults as

- 2 well, which is also noted in their now admitting
- 3 contemporaneous statement, right?
- 4 So, based on this case, based on both documentary
- 5 evidence, witness testimony evidence, also video
- 6 evidence, we see where the flight crew members or the
- 7 cabin crew members, I should say, the flight attendants,
- 8 particularly the two that testified here today, had to
- 9 take protective measures because of the Respondent's
- 10 conduct. And of course, the captain himself had to
- 11 divert from his duty, getting the flight safely to Miami,
- 12 to return the flight to Barranquilla, even though they
- 13 had a substantial ground over the course of their flight,
- 14 to return it to Colombia.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Anything else?
- 16 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. The Respondent
- 17 has also asserted a potential or alluded to potential bias
- 18 from the flight attendants based on the type of ticket
- 19 that he was traveling on. But both flight attendants
- 20 testified that they treat all passengers the same, right.
- 21 And they also explained in their testimony that it was
- 22 his behavior initially, his disruptive behavior initially
- 23 that led them to look him up in the system.
- So, therefore, Your Honor, based on a
- 25 preponderance of evidence, both heard here today and in the

- 1 record, the FAA's position is just that the Respondent
- 2 interfered with the crew members' duties -- multiple crew
- 3 members' duties aboard flight 1124.
- 4 Your Honor, if I may now turn to the proposed
- 5 sanction.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: It is our position that the
- 8 sanction in this case is appropriate. So, the Complainant
- 9 in the complaint, we have proposed a sanction of \$10,500 in
- 10 the present case. As you know, FAA Order 2150.3C discusses
- 11 policies and guidance related to the FAA's compliance and
- 12 enforcement program and was implemented to promote
- 13 standardization and uniformity of the same. So, FAA
- 14 Order 2150.3C, particularly Chapter 9, provides sanction
- 15 determination guidance for all FAA legal enforcement
- 16 actions. When a sanction is imposed for a punitive or
- 17 deterrent purpose as opposed to a remedial one, Your
- 18 Honor, civil penalties are appropriate.
- 19 Civil penalties assessed in enforcement actions
- 20 are assessed in enforcement actions against commercial
- 21 airline passengers because they are not certificate
- 22 holders. In this present case, Respondent violated the
- 23 interference rule. When he boarded flight 1124 --
- 24 JUDGE RAWALD: You already told me this. Let's
- 25 move on to why you think the civil penalty --

Trial
John Nunez 10/8/2024

1 MS. VILLIERS: All right, Your Honor.

- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: So, the sanction guidance on
- 4 2150.3C provides particular steps. So, step 1 is the use of
- 5 the table of violations in Figure 9-9 that allows you to
- 6 identify the severity of the violation. Step 2 --
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: What's the severity here?
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: Severity 2, Your Honor.
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Thank you.
- 10 MS. VILLIERS: Then you identify the culpability
- 11 of the violator.
- 12 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- MS. VILLIERS: From there --
- 14 JUDGE RAWALD: And here's the culpability as what,
- 15 ma'am?
- 16 MS. VILLIERS: The culpability here, Your Honor,
- 17 is reckless or intentional.
- 18 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 19 MS. VILLIERS: The Respondent's conduct was
- 20 reckless at a minimum, but, you know, was likely
- 21 intentional. Okay. Again, he has admitted to being upset
- 22 and frustrated and explained his reasons.
- 23 So, from there, we proceed to the sanction ranges
- 24 table.
- JUDGE RAWALD: I think, actually, you go to the

1 sanction matrix, ma'am, but that's okay. So, if you were

- 2 to follow --
- 3 MS. VILLIERS: You go to the sanction matrix.
- 4 Correct. You go to the sanction matrix, which tells you
- 5 whether or not the sanction -- it tells you the
- 6 appropriate sanction range. From there --
- 7 JUDGE RAWALD: And in this case, it's what, ma'am,
- 8 in your opinion?
- 9 MS. VILLIERS: In this case, the appropriate
- sanction range is between 7,500, Your Honor, and 10,500.
- 11 JUDGE RAWALD: So, you believe it to be a fine in
- 12 the sanction matrix, and then you go to the sanction
- 13 range?
- MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And so, it's 7,500 to
- 16 10,500?
- MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: And so, this is the maximum
- 19 possible out of that range?
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: Correct. And then the next step is
- 21 to apply any aggravating or mitigating factors to
- 22 determine the appropriate sanction.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. So, what aggravating factors
- 24 are you using to get to 10,500?
- 25 MS. VILLIERS: Your Honor, so, of course, as you

- 1 know, there are a number of aggravating factors. And
- 2 2150.3C states that the list is --
- JUDGE RAWALD: I just want to know the ones that
- 4 apply in this case.
- 5 MS. VILLIERS: So, the ones that apply in this
- 6 case, Your Honor, is we're looking on the degree of hazard.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 8 MS. VILLIERS: And then we are also looking at the
- 9 mere fact that this flight with 100 passengers was
- 10 diverted back to Barranquilla. Again --
- 11 JUDGE RAWALD: That's not aggravating. It's
- 12 listed in the rules, right, is what you're saying to
- 13 consider.
- MS. VILLIERS: Well, 2150.3C says that the list in
- 15 2150.3C is not exhaustive, and that, of course, we can
- 16 consider other factors as aggravating.
- 17 JUDGE RAWALD: So, the diversion of a flight over
- 18 100 people, you believe is aggravating in addition to the
- 19 degree of hazard. Okay. Anything else?
- 20 MS. VILLIERS: Correct. So, the degree -- Your
- 21 Honor, would you like me to go through the -- may I go
- 22 through the --
- 23 JUDGE RAWALD: No, no. I want to understand. So,
- 24 those are the two aggravators, correct? That's what you
- 25 believe them to be?

Trial John Nunez

ohn Nunez 10/8/2024

- 1 MS. VILLIERS: Correct, Your Honor.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. And I will -- and you will,
- 3 of course, agree that Mr. Moon didn't testify to either one
- 4 of these. They are coming from you and from an argument;
- 5 correct? He did not imply that there were any
- 6 aggravators in his testimony.
- 7 MS. VILLIERS: If he didn't -- if Mr. Moon didn't
- 8 apply any aggravating --
- 9 JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Moon was not asked the question
- 10 on direct examination whether he viewed there to be any
- 11 aggravation; correct? There's no testimony regarding
- 12 aggravation, is there, Ms. Villiers?
- 13 MS. VILLIERS: There isn't, Your Honor. But his
- 14 testimony is just as it pertains to his particular
- 15 investigation.
- 16 JUDGE RAWALD: That's fine. You didn't ask him
- 17 the question of whether there was any aggravation in his
- 18 opinion, so we don't have his opinion on the matter.
- 19 Okay. So, in your opinion, as Counsel, you're saying the
- 20 degree of hazard and the fact that the plane was diverted
- 21 with over 100 people is worthy of aggravation. Now, tell
- 22 me about those things.
- 23 MS. VILLIERS: Yes, Your Honor. So, as heard in
- 24 -- so, first, we're -- in terms of degree of hazard, right.
- 25 So, as heard in the testimony of the flight attendants.

- 1 Your Honor, you know, they were terrified of him, right.
- 2 They were terrified of his behavior. They had multiple
- 3 interactions with him and to that degree, they used the
- 4 service cart to block themselves. So, that tells you,
- 5 you know, how out of the ordinary that his behavior was.
- 6 This type of, kind of, blatant behavior, of course, poses
- 7 a huge safety risk on an aircraft and warrants this type
- 8 of aggravation to be applied to the sanction.
- 9 Furthermore, Your Honor, the returning of the
- 10 aircraft. So, the mere fact that the flight was well on
- 11 its way, and of course, as a flight attendant testified,
- 12 you know, the aircraft was in flight for approximately
- 13 about an hour. That flight was returned to Barranquilla.
- 14 Passenger Habib also testified that, you know, they were
- 15 actually expecting a hurricane in Miami, which further
- 16 goes towards, kind of, giving background, painting the
- 17 picture of how important the captain felt it was to
- 18 return the aircraft an hour -- approximately an hour away
- 19 back to Barranguilla.
- 20 For these two reasons, Your Honor, we believe that
- 21 it justifies moving up from the midpoint to the amount
- 22 \$10,500. The Aviation Safety Inspector also testified to
- 23 just the gross inconvenience of returning such a
- 24 returning flight.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Okay. Anything else?

1 MS. VILLIERS: Therefore, Your Honor, just to,

- 2 kind of, close, the civil penalty amount of \$10,500 as
- 3 proposed in the complaint represents a reasonable
- 4 sanction, we believe, for the underlying conduct that is
- 5 consistent with the administrator's sanction guidance.
- JUDGE RAWALD: Mr. Nunez, do you have anything to
- 7 offer in closing? This is your chance to argue to me why
- 8 you think I should find in your favor?
- 9 (No response.)
- You're muted.
- 11 MR. NUNEZ: Excuse me. Just allow me a second
- 12 to --
- 13 JUDGE RAWALD: Okay.
- 14 MR. NUNEZ: Your Honor, thank you for allowing me
- 15 the opportunity to present my case. As we reach the
- 16 conclusion of this trial or hearing, it is important to
- 17 remember what the case is really about. It's not about
- 18 an intoxicated or disruptive passenger. It's about a
- 19 situation that was mishandled. The abuse of authority
- 20 and the failure to treat me with basic human respect and
- 21 dignity, most importantly. This case isn't about money.
- 22 It's about principles and fairness, truth, and
- 23 accountability.
- The evidence has shown that I suffer from kidney
- 25 stones and prostate issues, conditions that require

1 frequent access to the lavatory on the day of the

- 2 flight. I requested access to the bathroom because I was
- 3 in significant discomfort. Instead of allowing me
- 4 access, the flight attendants used beverage carts to
- 5 block me from reaching the lavatory. This wasn't just a
- 6 misunderstanding. This was a deliberate action that
- 7 ignored my medical needs and escalated the situation
- 8 unnecessarily.
- 9 Despite the growing frustration due to my physical
- 10 pain, I did not use profane language and did not act
- 11 aggressively. Frustrated I was, but I communicated my
- 12 need to calmly use the bathroom, and yet I was treated
- 13 unfairly and dismissed. The claim that I was intoxicated
- 14 I know is not the key point. It's been thoroughly proven
- 15 by the evidence, and after landing, I voluntarily
- 16 requested a breathalyzer test to clear my name, and it
- 17 was administered by the Colombian National Police. Under
- 18 the investigations and supervision of Maj. Pineda, the
- 19 test results were negative, proving I was not
- 20 intoxicated. Maj. Pineda's testimony confirmed that I
- 21 remained calm and cooperative throughout the process, and
- 22 the video evidence of me peacefully departing further
- 23 proves that I was not disruptive. I did not use
- 24 profanity, and I was not aggressive. These claims were
- 25 exaggerated.

1 The credibility of the witnesses, particularly 2 Habib, is questionable. Despite providing testimony, 3 Habib couldn't even recognize me, yet they are expected to be reliable witnesses. How could someone who cannot 4 5 identify me be trusted to give an accurate account of the events that transpired? Furthermore, it's clear that the 6 7 flight attendants knew exactly who I was, but they chose to treat me differently. I was flying as a non-revenue 8 passenger, and I believe this played a significant role 9 in how I was dismissed and treated during the flight. My 10 11 request for a basic accommodation was discounted, and I was subjected to an unnecessary escalation of events, 12 13 including a viral video that has led to ongoing public 14 harassment. 15 What we've seen in this case is a broader pattern 16 of flight attendants overstepping their authority, similar 17 to what we've seen in other incidents, such as those involving Terrell Davis and the six black men on an 18 American Airlines flight. In my case, I believe flight 19 20 attendants exaggerated their investigation and inflated 21 the situation to a level 2 incident.

would I risk everything, knowing the consequences? I was on the plane, just like everyone else, and I was

You've got to ask yourself, Your Honor, why would

For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

I act like this, knowing how much I have to lose?

22

23

24

25

- 1 inconvenienced as well. I had no reason to act
- 2 inappropriately, especially considering my extensive
- 3 flying history.
- 4 It's important to note that since the incident
- 5 I've flown for over two years, almost 50 times, for the same
- 6 motive. I have been a responsible traveler, following
- 7 all the protocols and conducting myself appropriately.
- 8 This case was an isolated incident that occurred because
- 9 of a series of misunderstandings and unnecessary
- 10 escalation by flight attendants.
- 11 Your Honor, another critical point is the fact
- 12 that Captain Jaffe, the person who made the decision to
- 13 return the plane, has since withdrawn from the case. If the
- 14 situation was as serious as the flight attendants have
- 15 claimed, why would Captain Jaffe remove himself from
- 16 these proceedings? His withdrawal raises serious doubts
- 17 about the validity of the decision to divert the flight,
- 18 further weakening the FAA's case.
- 19 Your Honor, this case isn't about financial
- 20 compensation, it's about standing up for the principles
- 21 of fairness and dignity and respect. What happened to me
- 22 was a violation of my rights, and I was subjected to
- 23 mistreatment by flight attendants who abused their
- 24 authority. This trial is about addressing the lack of
- 25 moral conscience and holding people accountable for their

1 actions when they fail to treat others with empathy.

- I fight this case not only for myself, but for my
- 3 son, too. I show him the importance of standing up for
- 4 what is right, even when facing false accusations. I do
- 5 this in the memory of my father, who worked for American
- 6 Airlines for over 33 years and taught me the values of
- 7 fairness and integrity. It is deeply painful to have
- 8 been treated this way by the airline that he dedicated
- 9 his life to.
- 10 In closing, Your Honor, the facts are clear. I
- 11 was not intoxicated. I did not use profanity. I was not
- 12 disruptive. I was a passenger in pain seeking medical
- 13 assistance, and instead of being treated with empathy, I
- 14 was dismissed, belittled, and subjected to public
- 15 humiliation. The breathalyzer test results, Maj. Pineda's
- 16 testimony, and the video of my peaceful deplaning supports
- 17 my innocence. Additionally, Habib's inability to recognize
- 18 me, the use of the cart to block the bathroom, and Captain
- 19 Jaffee's withdrawal all raise serious doubts about the
- 20 credibility of the accusations made against me.
- This case was blown out of proportion, and it has
- 22 caused me unnecessary harm. Your Honor, I respectfully
- 23 ask that the charges against me be dismissed. This is
- 24 not about money. This is about standing up for
- 25 principles of fairness and respect.

Trial

John Nunez

10/8/2024

1 Thank you very much for your time, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE RAWALD: Thank you to both Parties for participating in the virtual hearing. I will consider 3 the testimony provided as well as the documents submitted 4 5 in reaching my decision in this matter. So, you will each receive a digital copy of the transcript once it is 6 7 completed, and you will also get a written decision from me at the email address of the most recent certificate of 8 9 service. I will have that decision now in 30 days, so look forward to hearing from me on November 7th, 2024. 10 With that being said, I appreciate everyone's 11 professionalism and your cooperation today. 12 13 MR. NUNEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 JUDGE RAWALD: With that, the hearing is now 15 closed. 16 (Whereupon, at 2:43 p.m. the hearing was 17 adjourned.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

10/8/2024

Trial

John Nunez

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, Melissa Dunn, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were transcribed by me by voice reporting; that I am neither counsel for, or related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which these proceedings were reported; that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. olissa Llunn MELISSA A. DUNN, CVR